

Stoke Ferry Neighbourhood Plan

Comments from the Qualifying Body on the Regulation 16 responses

Respondent	Summary representation/ comments	Stoke Ferry Parish Council (Qualifying Body) response
Anglian Water	Support for Policy SF5 Design and Character	Noted
	Suggest minor wording change to final paragraph of Policy SF15 Drainage and Floodrisk	Agree to amend accordingly
	Support for Policy SF19 energy Efficiency and Sustainability	Noted
	Design Code Factual amendments required to correctly refer to Anglian Water Assets	Agree to amend accordingly
	Design Code : Figure 76 – Character Area CA6 Factual amendments required to correctly refer to Anglian Water Assets	Agree to amend accordingly
Anglian Water email dated 24.10.22	Correspondence with BCKLWN re documents	Noted
Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk	Figure 9; Conservation Area Map - better map required	Agree to find appropriate map
	Policies and Projects – suggest contact Conservation Officer and change 'request' to explore	Agree to amend accordingly
	Policy SF1 – remove reference to takeaway outlets	Agree to amend accordingly
	Para 8.5 factual update	Agree to amend accordingly
	Para 8.32 – amend last sentence	Agree to amend accordingly
	Factual update to para 4.5 and 4.7	Agree to amend accordingly
	Paras 9.13 and 10.1 – factual update	Agree to amend accordingly
	Wording change – Policy SF12	Agree to amend accordingly

Andy Hayward, Chair of NPSG	Request to be kept updated	Noted
Historic England (letter dated 10.10.22)	Does not relate to Stoke Ferry Neighbourhood Plan	Noted
Natural England	No specific comments	Noted
Email from Natural England dated 14.11.22	Refers to formal response (above) No further comments	Noted
Email between BCKLWN and R Fuller dated 12.10.22	Requesting hard copy of the Plan	Noted
Email from National Highways dated 30.09.22. Email from National Highways dated 13.10.22	Updated consultee contact. No comments	Noted Noted
Email from NCC as LLFA dated 04.10.22	LLFA to provide comment as part of co-ordinated response from NCC	Formal NCC response never received?
Email from WMA dated 03.10.22	No comments (not in catchment)	Noted
Emails from Christopher Hesketh-Harvey (dated 11.10.22 and 14.10.22)	Confirmation that the churchyard surrounding the former church of All Saints, and its secondary overflow churchyard, to the south, which is known informally as the Victorian Churchyard are private property. A third area - the area known as 'the Park', which lies adjacent to and has over many centuries been in the curtilage of Park House - is similarly private property, Footnote 26 should apply to both 5 and 6 in the list in Policy SF18 and Church of All saints should be qualified as 'Former' Church of All Saints	The response refers to Important Public Green Spaces. The Neighbourhood Plan identifies Local Green Spaces which can be in public or private ownership. Paragraph 10.39 of the Neighbourhood Plan makes it clear that identification of an areas as Local Green Space does not change any access rights. Footnote 26 in Policy SF18 makes it clear that the Victorian Cemetery does not have public access. Agree to amend accordingly. Footnote could also make it clear that not only is there no public access but that both spaces are in private ownership

