## Appendix C. Risk Assessment Methodology

Land contamination: risk management guidance from the Environment Agency<sup>1</sup> provides the technical framework for applying a risk management process when dealing with contaminated land.

The Borough Council's Contaminated Land Strategy has identified priority sites based on mapping and documentary information. The Contaminated Land Inspection Report collates all the existing information on the site and develops a conceptual site model to identify and assess potential pollutant linkages and to estimate risk.

The risk assessment process focuses on whether there is an unacceptable risk, which will depend on the circumstances of the site and the context of the decision. The Council has used a process adapted from CIRIA C552, Contaminated Land Risk Assessment, a guide to good practice<sup>2</sup> to produce the conceptual site model and estimate the risk of harm to defined receptors. This involves the consideration of the probability, nature and extent of exposure and the severity and extent of the effects of the contamination hazard should exposure occur.

The probability of an event can be classified as follows:

- Highly likely: The event appears very likely in the short term and almost inevitable over the long term, or there is evidence at the receptor of harm or pollution;
- Likely: It is probable that an event will occur, or circumstances are such that the event is not inevitable, but possible in the short term and likely over the long term;
- Low likelihood: Circumstances are possible under which an event could occur, but it is not certain even in the long term that an event would occur and it is less likely in the short term;
- Unlikely: Circumstances are such that it is improbable the event would occur even in the long term.

The severity of the hazard can be classified as follows:

- High: Short term (acute) risk to human health likely to result in 'significant harm' as defined by the Environment Protection Act 1990, Part IIA. Short term risk of pollution of sensitive water resources. Catastrophic damage to buildings or property. Short term risk to an ecosystem or organism forming part of that ecosystem (note definition of ecosystem in 'Contaminated Land Statutory Guidance, April 2012');
- Medium: Chronic damage to human health ('significant harm' as defined in 'Contaminated Land Statutory Guidance, April 2012'), pollution of sensitive water resources, significant change in an ecosystem or organism forming part of that ecosystem (note definition of ecosystem in 'Contaminated Land Statutory Guidance, April 2012');

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> gov.uk/guidance/land-contamination-how-to-manage-the-risks

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> www.brebookshop.com/samples/142102.pdf

- Low: Pollution of non-sensitive water resources. Significant damage to crops, buildings, structures and services ('significant harm' as defined in 'Contaminated Land Statutory Guidance, April 2012'). Damage to sensitive buildings, structures or the environment.
- Minor: Harm, though not necessarily significant harm, which may result in financial loss, to expenditure to resolve. Non-permanent human health effects (easily prevented by use of PPE). Easily repairable effects of damage to buildings, structure and services.

Once the probability of an event occurring and hazard severity has been classified, a risk category can be assigned from the table below:

		Hazard					
		High		Medium	Low	Minor	
Probability	High Probability	Very High Risk		High Risk	Moderate Risk	Moderate/Low Risk	
	Likely	High Risk		Moderate Risk	Moderate/Low Risk	Low Risk	
	Low Probability	Moderate risk		Moderate/Low Risk	Low Risk	Very Low Risk	
	Unlikely	Moderate/Low Risk		Low Risk	Very Low Risk	Very Low Risk	
Very High Risk			There is a high probability that severe harm could arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard, OR, there is evidence that severe harm to a designated receptor is currently happening  This risk, if realised, is likely to result in a substantial liability.  Urgent investigation (if not undertaken already) and remediation				
High Risk			are likely to be required.  Harm is likely to arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard.				
			Realisation of the risk is likely to present a substantial liability.  Urgent investigation (if not undertaken already) if required to clarify the risk and to determine the potential liability. Some remedial work may be required in the longer term.				
Moderate risk			It's possible that harm could arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard. However, it is relatively unlikely that any such harm would be severe, or if any harm were to occur it is more likely that harm would be relatively mild.				
Moderate/Low risk			It is possible that harm could arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard. However, if any harm were to occur it is more likely that harm would be relatively mild.				
Low Risk		It is possible that harm could arise to a designated receptor from an identified hazard, but it is likely that this harm, if realised, would at worst normally be mild.					
Very Low Risk			There is a low possibility that harm could arise to a receptor. In the event of such harm being realised it is unlikely to be severe.				

## Appendix D. Determination of contaminated land – Contaminated Land Statutory Guidance, April 2012

#### **Human Health**

## Category

- The local authority should assume that a significant possibility of significant harm exists in any case where it considers there is an unacceptably high probability, supported by robust science-based evidence that significant harm would occur if no action is taken to stop it. For the purposes of this Guidance, these are referred to as "Category 1: Human Health" cases.

  Land should be deemed to be a Category 1: Human Health case where:
  - (a) The authority is aware that similar land or situations are known, or are strongly suspected on the basis of robust evidence, to have caused such harm before in the United Kingdom or elsewhere; or
  - (b) The authority is aware that similar degrees of exposure (via any medium) to the contaminant(s) in question are known, or strongly suspected on the basis of robust evidence, to have caused such harm before in the United Kingdom or elsewhere;
  - (c) The authority considers that significant harm may already have been caused by contaminants in, on or under the land, and that there is an unacceptable risk that it might continue or occur again if no action is taken. Among other things, the authority may decide to determine the land on these grounds if it considers that it is likely that significant harm is being caused, but it considers either: (i) that there is insufficient evidence to be sure of meeting the "balance of probability" test for demonstrating that significant harm is being caused; or (ii) that the time needed to demonstrate such a level of probability would cause unreasonable delay, cost, or disruption and stress to affected people particularly in cases involving residential properties.
- Land should be placed into Category 2 if the authority concludes, on the basis that there is a strong case for considering that the risks from the land are of sufficient concern, that the land poses a significant possibility of significant harm, with all that this might involve and having regard to Section 1. Category 2 may include land where there is little or no direct evidence that similar land, situations or levels of exposure have caused harm before, but nonetheless the authority considers on the basis of the available evidence, including expert opinion, that there is a strong case for taking action under Part 2A on a precautionary basis.
- Land should be placed into Category 3 if the authority concludes that the strong case described in 4.25(a) does not exist, and therefore the legal test for significant possibility of significant harm is not met. Category 3 may include land where the risks are not low, but nonetheless the authority considers that regulatory intervention under Part 2A is not warranted. This recognises that placing land in Category 3 would not stop others, such as the owner or occupier of the land, from taking action to reduce risks outside of the Part 2A regime if they choose. The authority should consider making available the results of its inspection and risk assessment to the owners/occupiers of Category 3 land.

### **Human Health**

## Category

- The local authority should consider that the following types of land should be placed into Category 4: Human Health:
  - (a) Land where no relevant contaminant linkage has been established.
  - (b) Land where there are only normal levels of contaminants in soil, as explained in Section 3 of this Guidance.
  - (c) Land that has been excluded from the need for further inspection and assessment because contaminant levels do not exceed relevant generic assessment criteria in accordance with Section 3 of this Guidance, or relevant technical tools or advice that may be developed in accordance with paragraph 3.30 of this Guidance.
  - (d) Land where estimated levels of exposure to contaminants in soil are likely to form only a small proportion of what a receptor might be exposed to anyway through other sources of environmental exposure (e.g. in relation to average estimated national levels of exposure to substances commonly found in the environment, to which receptors are likely to be exposed in the normal course of their lives).

## **Ecological system effects**

## Relevant types of receptor

Any ecological system, or living organism forming part of such a system, within a location which is:

- A site of special scientific interest (under section 28 of the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981)
- A national nature reserve (under s.35 of the 1981 Act)
- A marine nature reserve (under s.36 of the 1981 Act)
- An area of special protection for birds (under s.3 of the 1981 Act)
- A "European site" within the meaning of regulation 8 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010
- Any habitat or site afforded policy protection under paragraph 6 of Planning Policy Statement (PPS 9) on nature conservation (i.e. candidate Special Areas of Conservation, potential Special Protection Areas and listed Ramsar sites); or
- Any nature reserve established under section 21 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949.

## Significant harm

The following types of harm should be considered to be significant harm:

- Harm which results in an irreversible adverse change, or in some other substantial adverse change, in the functioning of the ecological system within any substantial part of that location; or
- Harm which significantly affects any species of special interest within that location and which endangers the long-term maintenance of the population of that species at that location.

In the case of European sites, harm should also be considered to be significant harm if it endangers the favourable conservation status of natural habitats at such locations or species typically found there. In deciding what constitutes such harm, the local authority should have regard to the advice of Natural England and to the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010.

# Significant possibility of significant harm

Conditions would exist for considering that a significant possibility of significant harm exists to a relevant ecological receptor where the local authority considers that:

- Significant harm of that description is more likely than not to result from the contaminant linkage in question; or
- There is a reasonable possibility of significant harm of that description being caused, and if that harm were to occur, it would result in such a degree of damage to features of special interest at the location in question that they would be beyond any practicable possibility of restoration.

Any assessment made for these purposes should take into account relevant information for that type of contaminant linkage, particularly in relation to the ecotoxicological effects of the contaminant.

## **Property effects**

#### Significant harm **Significant** Relevant types of receptor possibility of significant harm Property in the form of: For crops, a substantial diminution in Conditions would exist vield or other substantial loss in their for considering that a value resulting from death, disease significant possibility of Crops, including or other physical damage. For significant harm exists to timber: domestic pets, death, serious the relevant types of Produce grown disease or serious physical damage. receptor where the local domestically, or on For other property in this category, a authority considers that allotments, for substantial loss in its value resulting significant harm is more consumption; from death, disease or other serious likely than not to result physical damage. from the contaminant Livestock; linkage in question, The local authority should regard a taking into account Other owned or substantial loss in value as occurring relevant information for domesticated animals; only when a substantial proportion of that type of contaminant the animals or crops are dead or linkage, particularly in Wild animals which otherwise no longer fit for their relation to the intended purpose. Food should be are the subject of ecotoxicological effects regarded as being no longer fit for of the contaminant. shooting or fishing rights. purpose when it fails to comply with the provisions of the Food Safety Act 1990. Where a diminution in yield or loss in value is caused by a contaminant linkage, a 20% diminution or loss should be regarded as a benchmark for what constitutes a substantial diminution or loss. In this section, this description of significant harm is referred to as an "animal or crop effect". Structural failure, substantial damage Conditions would exist Property in the form of buildings. For this or substantial interference with any for considering that a purpose, "building" right of occupation. The local significant possibility of means any structure or authority should regard substantial significant harm exists to erection, and any part of damage or substantial interference the relevant types of a building including any as occurring when any part of the receptor where the local part below ground level, building ceases to be capable of authority considers that being used for the purpose for which significant harm is more but does not include plant it is or was intended. or machinery comprised likely than not to result in a building, or buried from the contaminant services such as sewers, In the case of a scheduled Ancient linkage in question during the expected Monument, substantial damage water pipes or electricity cables. should also be regarded as occurring economic life of the when the damage significantly building (or in the case of impairs the historic, architectural, a scheduled Ancient traditional, artistic or archaeological Monument the interest by reason of which the foreseeable future), monument was scheduled. taking into account relevant information for

In this Section, this description of significant harm is referred to as a

"building effect".

that type of contaminant

linkage.

## **Controlled waters**

## Significant pollution of controlled waters

The following types of pollution should be considered to constitute significant pollution of controlled waters:

- (a) Pollution equivalent to "environmental damage" to surface water or groundwater as defined by The Environmental Damage (Prevention and Remediation) Regulations 2009, but which cannot be dealt with under those Regulations.
- (b) Inputs resulting in deterioration of the quality of water abstracted, or intended to be used in the future, for human consumption such that additional treatment would be required to enable that use.
- (c) A breach of a statutory surface water Environment Quality Standard, either directly or via a groundwater pathway.
- (d) Input of a substance into groundwater resulting in a significant and sustained upward trend in concentration of contaminants (as defined in Article 2(3) of the Groundwater Daughter Directive (2006/118/EC)5).

Significar	nt possibility of significant pollution of controlled waters
Category	
1	This covers land where the authority considers that there is a strong and compelling case for considering that a significant possibility of significant pollution of controlled waters exists. In particular this would include cases where there is robust science-based evidence for considering that it is likely that high impact pollution (such as the pollution described in paragraph 4.38) would occur if nothing were done to stop it.
2	This covers land where: (i) the authority considers that the strength of evidence to put the land into Category 1 does not exist; but (ii) nonetheless, on the basis of the available scientific evidence and expert opinion, the authority considers that the risks posed by the land are of sufficient concern that the land should be considered to pose a significant possibility of significant pollution of controlled waters on a precautionary basis, with all that this might involve (e.g. likely remediation requirements, and the benefits, costs and other impacts of regulatory intervention). Among other things, this category might include land where there is a relatively low likelihood that the most serious types of significant pollution might occur
3	This covers land where the authority concludes that the risks are such that (whilst the authority and others might prefer they did not exist) the tests set out in Categories 1 and 2 above are not met, and therefore regulatory intervention under Part 2A is not warranted. This category should include land where the authority considers that it is very unlikely that serious pollution would occur; or where there is a low likelihood that less serious types of significant pollution might occur.
4	This covers land where the authority concludes that there is no risk, or that the level of risk posed is low. In particular, the authority should consider that this is the case where:  (a) No contaminant linkage has been established in which controlled waters are the receptor in the linkage; or  (b) The possibility only relates to types of pollution described in paragraph 4.40 above (i.e. types of pollution that should not be considered to be significant pollution); or  (c) The possibility of water pollution similar to that which might be caused by "background" contamination as explained in Section 3.