

## Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk Annual Status Report 2019

Bureau Veritas



Move Forward with Confidence

## **Document Control Sheet**

Identification								
Client	Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk							
Document Title	2019 Annual Status Report							
Bureau Veritas Ref No.	6486114/UK/V4.0							

Contact Details									
Company Name	Bureau Veritas UK Limited	Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk							
Contact Name	Hannah Smith	Evalyn Drake							
Position	Senior Consultant	Senior Environmental Quality Officer							
Address	5 <sup>th</sup> Floor 66 Prescot Street London E1 8HG	King's Court Chapel Street King's Lynn Norfolk PE30 1EX							

	Configuration										
Version Date		Author	Reason for Issue/Summ Changes	Status							
V1.0	19/06/19	B Turner	-		Draft						
V2.0	09/07/19	B Turner	Comments Received		Final						
V3.0	15/0719	B Turner	Comments Received		Revised Final						
V4.0	25/0719	9 T Campbell Comments Received			Revised Final						
V5.0	31/0719	T Campbell	Comments Received		Revised Final						
		Name	Job Title		Signature						
Prep	Prepared By T Campbell Senior Consultant		h								
Approved By		H Smith	Senior Consultant		Apratts						

**Commercial In Confidence** 

© Bureau Veritas UK Limited

The copyright in this work is vested in Bureau Veritas UK Limited, and the information contained herein is confidential. This work, either in whole or in part, may not be reproduced or disclosed to others or used for any purpose, other than for internal client evaluation, without Bureau Veritas' prior written approval.

Bureau Veritas UK Limited, Registered in England & Wales, Company Number: 01758622

Registered Office: Suite 206 Fort Dunlop, Fort Parkway, Birmingham B24 9FD

#### Disclaimer

This Report was completed by Bureau Veritas on the basis of a defined programme of work and terms and conditions agreed with the Client. Bureau Veritas confirms that in preparing this Report it has exercised all reasonable skill and care taking into account the project objectives, the agreed scope of works, prevailing site conditions and the degree of manpower and resources allocated to the project.

Bureau Veritas accepts no responsibility to any parties whatsoever, following the issue of the Report, for any matters arising outside the agreed scope of the works.

This Report is issued in confidence to the Client and Bureau Veritas has no responsibility to any third parties to whom this Report may be circulated, in part or in full, and any such parties rely on the contents of the report solely at their own risk. Unless specifically assigned or transferred within the terms of the agreement, the consultant asserts and retains all Copyright, and other Intellectual Property Rights, in and over the Report and its contents.

Any questions or matters arising from this Report should be addressed in the first instance to the Project Manager.

# Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk



2019 Air Quality Annual Status Report (ASR)

In fulfilment of Part IV of the Environment Act 1995 Local Air Quality Management

July 2019

Local Authority Officer	Evalyn Drake
Department	Environmental Quality
Address	King's Court, Chapel Street, King's Lynn, Norfolk, PE30 1EX
Telephone	01553 616324
E-mail	Evalyn.Drake@west-norfolk.gov.uk
Report Reference number	6486114/2019ASR
Date	July 2019

## **Executive Summary: Air Quality in Our Area**

# Air Quality in Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk

Air pollution is associated with a number of adverse health impacts. It is recognised as a contributing factor in the onset of heart disease and cancer. Additionally, air pollution particularly affects the most vulnerable in society: children and older people, and those with heart and lung conditions. There is also often a strong correlation with equalities issues, because areas with poor air quality are also often the less affluent areas<sup>1,2</sup>.

The annual health cost to society of the impacts of particulate matter alone in the UK is estimated to be around £16 billion<sup>3</sup>.

The main source of air pollution in the borough is road traffic emissions from major roads, notably the A148 – Lynn Road, A148 – Wootton Road, A148 – London Road, A47, A10 – Main Road, A148 – Nar Ouse Way, Edward Benefer Way and A149 – Hardwick Road. These roads, among others, form the main arterial highway network within King's Lynn, carrying high volumes of traffic within the Borough, specifically through areas of exposure: such as King's Lynn Town Centre. As a result, the Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk (BCKLWN, 'the Council') currently have two designated Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs): Town Centre AQMA and the Gaywood Clock AQMA, both declared for the exceedance of the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> Air Quality Strategy (AQS) objective limit.

Both AQMAs can be viewed online at <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/local-authorities?la\_id=138</u>, details of the AQMAs are provided in Table 2.1 and boundary maps are presented in Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs.

An Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) was adopted by the Council in 2015. This AQAP outlines a series of measures to be completed in order to improve air quality within the AQMAs and therefore the Borough as a whole. An update to the source apportionment study providing a revised understanding of key vehicle contributors in both AQMAs was completed in December 2017. The Council intend to utilise the model outputs from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Environmental equity, air quality, socioeconomic status and respiratory health, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Air quality and social deprivation in the UK: an environmental inequalities analysis, 2006

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Defra. Abatement cost guidance for valuing changes in air quality, May 2013

the source apportionment exercise to update the 2015 AQAP, following completion of the King's Lynn Transport Study.

BCKLWN undertook monitoring of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> throughout 2018 at a series of monitoring locations. These pollutants are discussed in turn.

### Nitrogen Dioxide

Concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> are monitored at 70 sites across the Borough, comprising 71 diffusion tubes and two automatic monitors, including a triplicate co-location study.

During 2018, one site (Site 2) reported an exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean AQS objective limit and four reported concentrations to be within 10%. These monitoring locations were all found to be located within the boundaries of the two declared AQMAs, with Site 2 located within the Town Centre AQMA.

Site 2 has reported the highest annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration within the Borough three times (inclusive) since 2014. Site 2 is located on a façade of a residential house (deemed to represent relevant exposure), along a stretch of Railway Road, an arterial one-way route passing through the Town Centre where congestion is considered to be prevalent.

Looking at the past five years,  $NO_2$  annual mean concentrations appear to have reduced at the majority of sites (48 out of 55 sites with available data), with reductions of 2.0µg/m<sup>3</sup> and over recorded at 40% of the sites. The reduction is evidence of the Councils' continued commitment and work towards improving air quality across the Borough.

The annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was not greater than  $60\mu g/m^3$  at any nonautomatic monitoring site. In particular, the diffusion tube located at Site 5 (King's Lynn Bus Station) reported concentrations below  $60\mu g/m^3$ , which is where short term exposure is most relevant. Therefore in accordance with Defra's TG(16), an exceedance of the 1-hour mean objective during 2018 at any monitoring location within King's Lynn is considered to be unlikely. Furthermore, both continuous NO<sub>2</sub> monitors present within King's Lynn reported no exceedance of the 1-hour objective throughout 2018, or since 2014.

## **Particulate Matter**

The Councils' 2018  $PM_{10}$  network comprises one  $PM_{10}$  TEOM monitor and four indicative Osiris dust monitors. In February 2018, the Council took the decision to

relocate the TEOM monitor from North Lynn, King's Lynn (Site CM3) to Lynn Road, Stoke Ferry – labelling this new site CM4. However, Site CM4 only became operational in October 2018 due to a power supply issue. This move was in response to a number of complaints made by the public in regards to dust potentially being emitted from a neighbouring animal feed plant – and to help validate the indicative readings reported by the Osiris (OS2) located at the same site. In response to the relocation of the TEOM, the existing Osiris (OS2) was then relocated to a new site within Stoke Ferry, located at Buckenham Drive in August 2018 (renamed OS5) to help improve air quality reporting within the area.

Full details of the monitoring sites are provided in Table A.1. PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations reported at these sites have remained below the annual mean and 24-hour mean AQS objective limits since 2015, with a detailed assessment to be completed by the end of the year.

PM<sub>2.5</sub> is regulated by standards in the UK which presently places no statutory duty on Local Authorities to report against the annual mean objective limit. These standards are scheduled to be legally enforced in 2020. Nonetheless, the Council undertake monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub> within the borough indirectly via four Osiris dust monitors. Concentrations recorded since 2016 (first year of Council PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring) have been below the obligatory annual mean standard of 25µg/m<sup>3</sup>. As the monitoring is completed using Osiris instruments that do not hold an accreditation for PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring; the concentrations reported should not be taken as absolutes.

Full details of the PM<sub>2.5</sub> monitoring completed within the borough are provided in Table A.1.

## **Actions to Improve Air Quality**

The declaration of the two AQMAs (Gaywood Clock and the Town Centre) and the adoption of the AQAP have previously driven the actions being taken to improve air quality across the Borough. The AQAP comprises Policy, Road Traffic and Emissions actions to help reduce pollutant levels. The measures are wide ranging and include considerations of air quality impacts within the planning process by commenting on specific planning applications as well as making sure air quality is embedded within local policies. On-going actions include implementation of urban traffic control systems, promotion of public transport and electric vehicle charging. A full list of measures is detailed in Table 2.2.

An update to the source apportionment study, providing a revised technical understanding of key vehicle contributors in both AQMAs, was completed in December 2017. The study found cars to be the greatest vehicle contributor to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions within both AQMAs, but the NO<sub>x</sub> contribution from HGVs and buses/coaches was higher in the Town Centre AQMA compared to the Gaywood Clock AQMA. The Council intend to utilise the model outputs from the source apportionment exercise to update the 2015 AQAP, following completion of the King's Lynn Transport Study, in order to deliver effective AQAP measures.

During 2018, the Council, with Norfolk County Council (NCC) progressed the King's Lynn Transport Study which focuses on the possibility of implementing various transport improvement schemes in the Borough to help reduce pollutant contributions from vehicular traffic. Potential schemes focus on exploring promoting active transport, public transport and changes to the existing road layout along arterial routes and junctions within both declared AQMAs. The Transport Study attempts to continue the stability of annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations within Gaywood Clock AQMA below the AQS objective level, whilst ensuring future year compliance within the Town Centre AQMA. The Council have commissioned Bureau Veritas to undertake the dispersion modelling to support this study.

## Local Engagement and How to get Involved

Information on air quality in the borough is available on The Council's website<sup>4</sup>, and a wider view of air quality in the Norfolk region can be viewed at Norfolk Air Quality<sup>5</sup>, where live data from the Council's continuous monitoring stations is published.

The following are suggested as alternatives to private travel that would contribute to improving the air quality in the borough:

- Use public transport where available This reduces the number of private vehicles in operation reducing pollutant concentrations through the number of vehicles and reducing congestion;
- Walk or cycle if your journey allows From choosing to walk or cycle for your journey the number of vehicles is reduced and also there is the added benefit of keeping fit and healthy;
- Car/lift sharing Where a number of individuals are making similar journeys, such as travelling to work or to school car sharing reduces the number of vehicles on the road and therefore the amount of emissions being released. This can be promoted via personal travel plans which can be obtained from <u>Norfolk Country Council</u>;
- Alternative fuel / more efficient vehicles Choosing a vehicle that meets the specific needs of the owner, fully electric, hybrid fuel and more fuel efficient cars are available and all have different levels benefits by reducing the amount of emissions being released; and
- Turning engines off where possible Reduce the amount of idling vehicles, for example when dropping children at school or waiting at level crossings, will help reduce harmful emissions from vehicle exhausts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20137/air\_quality/170/air\_quality\_%20management%20\_areas/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> <u>http://www.norfolkairquality.net/</u>

## **Table of Contents**

Exe	ecutiv	/e Summary: Air Quality in Our Area	. i
A	Air Qu	ality in Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk	i
A	Action	s to Improve Air Quality	i
L	_ocal I	Engagement and How to get Involved	i
1	Loc	cal Air Quality Management	1
2	Act	tions to Improve Air Quality	2
2	2.1	Air Quality Management Areas	. 2
2	2.2	Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Kings Lynn and	
٧	Nest N	Norfolk Borough Council	. 4
2	2.3	PM <sub>2.5</sub> – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or	
C	Conce	ntrations	14
3	Air	Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality	
Ob	jectiv	es and National Compliance	16
З	3.1	Summary of Monitoring Undertaken	16
	3.1.	1 Automatic Monitoring Sites	16
	3.1.2	2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	16
3	3.2	Individual Pollutants	17
	3.2.	1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> )	17
	3.2.2	2 Particulate Matter (PM <sub>10</sub> )	20
	3.2.3	3 Particulate Matter (PM <sub>2.5</sub> )	21
4	Co	nclusions and Priorities	23
Ap	pendi	ix A: Monitoring Results	24
Ap	pendi	ix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2018	14
Ap	pendi	ix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring	
Dat	ta QA	/QC	19
Ap	pendi	ix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs	53
Ap	pendi	ix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England	58
Glo	ossar	y of Terms	59
Ref	feren	ces	<b>30</b>

## List of Tables

Table 2.1 – Declared Air Quality Management Areas	3
Table 2.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality	7
Table 3.1 - Summary of Measured Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Exceedances and Near	
Exceedances	18
Table A.1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites	24
Table A.2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites	25
Table A.3 – Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results	29
Table A.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO2 Monitoring Results	38
Table A.5 – Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results	39
Table A.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results	41
Table A.7 – PM <sub>2.5</sub> Monitoring Results	42
Table B.1 – NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2018	44
Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England	58

## List of Figures

Figure A.1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Monitoring	
Sites: Town Centre AQMA	.35
Figure A.2 – Trends in Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Monitoring	
Sites: Gaywood AQMA	.36
Figure A.3 – Trends in Annual Mean NO <sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Monitoring	
Sites: Outside of AQMAs	.37
Figure A.4 – Trends in Annual Mean PM <sub>10</sub> Concentrations	.40
Figure A.5 – Trends in Annual Mean PM2.5 Concentrations	.43

## 1 Local Air Quality Management

This report provides an overview of air quality in Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk during 2018. It fulfils the requirements of Local Air Quality Management (LAQM) as set out in Part IV of the Environment Act (1995) and the relevant Policy and Technical Guidance documents.

The LAQM process places an obligation on all local authorities to regularly review and assess air quality in their areas, and to determine whether or not the air quality objectives are likely to be achieved. Where an exceedance is considered likely the local authority must declare an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) setting out the measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of the objectives. This Annual Status Report (ASR) is an annual requirement showing the strategies employed by Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk to improve air quality and any progress that has been made.

The statutory air quality objectives applicable to LAQM in England can be found in in Appendix E.

## 2 Actions to Improve Air Quality

## 2.1 Air Quality Management Areas

Air Quality Management Areas (AQMAs) are declared when there is an exceedance or likely exceedance of an air quality objective. After declaration, the authority must prepare an Air Quality Action Plan (AQAP) within 12-18 months setting out measures it intends to put in place in pursuit of compliance with the objectives.

A summary of AQMAs declared by Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk can be found in Table 2.1. Further information related to declared or revoked AQMAs, including maps of AQMA boundaries are available online at <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/local-authorities?la\_id=138</u> see full list at <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/aqma/list</u>. Alternatively, see Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs, which provides for a map of air quality monitoring locations in King's Lynn.

BCKLWN propose to keep the current two designated AQMAs in Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk (see monitoring section) and to review the NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring network surrounding these AQMAs.

AQMA Name	Date of Declaration	Pollutants and Air Quality	City / Town	One Line Description	Is air quality in the AQMA influenced by roads	Level of Exceedance (maximum monitored/modelled concentration at a location of relevant exposure)				Action Plan		
		Objectives			controlled by Highways England?	At Decl	aration	Να	w	Name	Date of Publication	Link
Gaywood Clock	01/04/2009	NO₂ Annual Mean	King's Lynn	An area encompassing a number of properties at the junction of the A148 (Lynn Road/Wootton Road) and the A1076 (Gayton Road)	NO	45.1	µg/m³	36.7	µg/m³	Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk AQAP	2015	https://www.w est- norfolk.gov.uk /info/20137/ai r_quality/170/ air_quality_m anagement_a reas
Town Centre	Declared: 01/11/2003 Amended: 01/02/2007	NO₂ Annual Mean	King's Lynn	A 'P' shaped area encompassing a number of properties comprising the main road to/from the town centre of King's Lynn (London Road and St James' Road) and the town centre one way system (Railway Road, Austin Street and Blackfriars Road).	NO	55.0	hð\w <sub>3</sub>	43.2	μg/m³	Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk AQAP	2015	https://www.w est- norfolk.gov.uk /info/20137/ai r_quality/170/ air_quality_m anagement_a reas

## Table 2.1 – Declared Air Quality Management Areas

Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk confirm the information on UK-Air regarding their AQMAs is up to date

## 2.2 Progress and Impact of Measures to address Air Quality in Kings Lynn and West Norfolk Borough Council

Defra's appraisal of last year's ASR concluded:

"The report is well structured, detailed, and provides the information specified in the Guidance, using the latest reporting template. The following comments are provided.

- 2017 monitoring confirms the continued exceedance of the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> objective at one site of relevant exposure – Site 2 on Town Centre, inside the Town Centre AQMA. There have been no other exceedances of NO<sub>2</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub> objectives in 2017.
- At present, NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations inside the Gaywood Clock AQMA are compliant with national air quality objectives, and are below 10% of the objective level (36 μg/m<sup>3</sup>) at locations of relevant exposure. Concentrations have decreased from 2016 to 2017 in the AQMA.
- The Local Authority are reminded that it is recommended they consider revoking the Gaywood Clock AQMA if annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations remain stable below 36 μg/m<sup>3</sup> for three consecutive years.
- 4. It is also suggested that the Town Centre AQMA boundary could be considered for amendment according to where exceedances are still occurring/ considered still likely to occur, using results from both current monitoring and the recent modelling exercise.
- 5. QA/QC procedures have been applied correctly and in full for bias adjustment, annualisation and distance. The Local Authority has provided details of calculations for corrections which are useful and encouraged.
- 6. The report demonstrates the Council's' efforts to address PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the Borough, and draws links with PM<sub>2.5</sub> and the Public Health Outcomes Framework.
- 7. The Local Authority should continue to keep the monitoring program under review, and implement changes to the network to ensure that monitoring is taking place at all sites of potential exceedance at relevant exposure.

8. The new AQAP should be developed in line with the Technical Guidance TG(16), and should include measures specifically designed to target the remaining pollution hotspots, and achieve stable, long-term compliance within the borough in the shortest time possible."

The comments made within the appraisal report, as shown above, have been taken into account for the completion of the 2019 ASR.

The Council has taken forward a number of direct measures during the current reporting year of 2018 in pursuit of improving local air quality. Details of all measures completed, in progress or planned are set out in Table 2.2.

More detail on these measures can be found in the Council's 2015 AQAP. A list of measures completed prior to 2018 can be found in the 2018 ASR.

The Council expects the following measure to be completed over the course of the next reporting year:

• Measure 14: King's Lynn Transport Study

During 2018, the Council with NCC, progressed the King's Lynn Transport Study in which one aim is to possibly implement various transport improvement schemes in the Borough to help reduce pollutant contributions from vehicular traffic. Potential schemes focus on exploring changes to the existing road layout along arterial routes and junctions within both declared AQMAs. The Transport Study attempts to continue the stability of annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations within Gaywood Clock AQMA below the AQS objective level, whilst ensuring future year compliance within Town Centre AQMA. The Council are currently in the process of identifying potential transport improvement schemes to appraise. Additional air quality monitoring will be completed to assist in the decision making process.

The AQAP is due to be updated in-line with the findings from a source apportionment dispersion modelling exercise completed in 2017 - following completion of the King's Lynn Transport Study. The study found cars to be the greatest vehicle contributor to NO<sub>x</sub> emissions within both AQMAs, but the NO<sub>x</sub> contribution from HGVs and buses/coaches was higher in the Town Centre AQMA compared to the Gaywood Clock AQMA. This updated insight into prominent NO<sub>x</sub> contributors relevant within both AQMAs will aid the discussion and implementation of effective AQAP measures.

Whilst the measures stated above and in Table 2.2 will help to contribute towards compliance, the Council anticipates that further additional measures not yet prescribed will be required in subsequent years to achieve compliance and enable the revocation of the Gaywood Clock and Town Centre AQMAs. Potential measures are expected to be discussed in support of the scheduled update of the AQAP.

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
1	Considera tion of Air Quality Impacts when providing comments on planning applicatio ns within an AQMA or where an AQMA could be impacted or created.	Policy Guidance and Developm ent Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	Borough Council (LPA & Env Quality Team)	On going	On going	Number of pre application discussions and planning applications responded to	Up to 1	In 2018 over 58 applications were commented upon which had potential air quality impacts. They were screened according to Environmental Protection UK (EPUK) & Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance and air quality assessments required where appropriate. Best practice measures were also recommended.	N/A -on going measure	This will always be an on-going measure as long as relevant planning application are received
2	With regard to National Planning Policy Framewor k, include air quality considerat ions in the Local Plans and adopt an air quality Developm ent Managem ent Policy.	Policy Guidance and Developm ent Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	Borough Council (LPA & Env Quality Team)	Completed	2014	Production of documents	Up to 1	Completed	Completed	The King's Lynn and West Norfolk Local Plan - Site Allocations & Development Management Policies (SADMP) Plan was formally adopted on 29 September 2016. This includes policy DM15 Environment, Design and Amenity, which provides for the assessment of air quality in planning applications. This policy approach is continued in the Local Plan Review (March 2019) as Policy LP18. The July 2011 Adopted Core Strategy's

## Table 2.2 – Progress on Measures to Improve Air Quality

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
											Transport Policy CS11 aimed to achieve improvements where there were air quality issues in the towns of Downham Market, Hunstanton and King's Lynn. The Local Plan Review (March 2019) Policy LP12 continues this approach, particularly with reference to the emerging King's Lynn Transport Study and Strategy.
3	With regard to National Planning Policy Framewor k, adopt Norfolk Technical Guidance on Air Quality and provide preapplica tion advice on planning applicatio ns	Policy Guidance and Developm ent Control	Air Quality Planning and Policy Guidance	Borough Council (LPA & Env Quality Team)	2014	2015	Production of documents	Up to 1	Completed	Completed	Norfolk Technical Guidance now superseded by IAQM EPUK Guidance.
4	Develop Parking Managem ent Plan	Transport Planning and Infrastruct ure	Other	County Council/ Borough Council	2014	On going	Publication of and implementatio n of plan	Up to 2	A King's Lynn Transport Study is now underway, this will consider car parking arrangements in the town centre.	2019/2020	

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
5	New access road from Wisbech Road through Friars to Boal Street.	Traffic Managem ent	UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction	County Council/ Borough Council	2010	Dec-11	Continued air quality monitoring. Bus flow counts on London Road and new route	2 to 3	The new access road has been completed and is well used by buses	2019/2020	Consideration is being given to the road as part of the King's Lynn Transport Study
6	Incentivise the use of public transport.	Alternativ es to private vehicle use	Other	County Council	2014	2015	Continued air quality monitoring. Bus usage figures	Up to 1	The King's Lynn Transport Interchange has been completed making a physically nicer environment for public transport users. New air conditioned and wifi enabled train carriages were introduced on routes between King's Lynn and London in May 2017.	2017	Consideration is being given to public transport, and encouraging it's use, as part of the King's Lynn Transport Study
7	Implement ation of Urban Traffic Control system (UTC) at principal junctions within AQMA and adjacent to AQMA	Traffic Managem ent	Strategic highway improvements, Re-prioritising road space away from cars, including Access management, Selective vehicle priority, bus priority, high vehicle occupancy lane	County Council	2010	2011	Continued air quality monitoring. Queue length at junctions at peak times	2 to 5	Completed		Will be reviewed within the Kings Lynn Transport Study currently underway.

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
8	Installatio n of selective vehicle detection (SVD) system	Traffic Managem ent	Strategic highway improvements, re-prioritising road space away from cars, including access management, selective vehicle priority, bus priority, high vehicle occupancy lane	County Council	2011	2012	Number of vehicles fitted with SVD Annual average daily traffic numbers	Up to 1	Completed	Completed	None
9	Decriminal isation of parking. Review of parking controls and enforceme nt in AQMAs and King's Lynn Town Centre	Transport Planning and Infrastruct ure	Other	Borough Council/ County Council	December 2010 option validation Jan-March 2011	On going	Implementatio n of enforcement in AQMAs and Town Centre. Continued air quality monitoring.	Up to 1	Will be considered within the Kings Lynn Transport Study currently underway.	On going	
10	Variable car parking rates	Transport Planning and Infrastruct ure	Other	Borough Council	2014	On going	Continued air quality monitoring, car park usage and queue lengths	Up to 1	Parking will be considered within the Kings Lynn Transport Study currently underway	On going	
11	Variable message signs	Traffic Managem ent	Other	Borough Council/ County Council	2014	2014	Peak hour parking usage, car park usage and continued air quality monitoring queue lengths	Up to 1	Signs have been installed on approach to King's Lynn town centre to indicate where spaces are available.	Completed	None

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
12	Investigat e potential for residents only parking in or close to AQMAs	Traffic Managem ent	Workplace Parking Levy, Parking Enforcement on highway	Borough Council	2014	2015	Peak hour parking usage Car park usage Continued air quality monitoring	Up to 1	Residents parking permits have been introduced in South Quay area, on Portland Road (the link between the railway station and the King's Lynn Transport Interchange), Highgate and Archdale Street which are all close to the town centre AQMA. This had made these areas unavailable for commuter parking.	On going	Potential for review after Kings Lynn Transport Study is completed
13	Support the use of West Lynn ferry	Promoting Travel Alternativ es	Promote use of rail and inland waterways	Borough Council	2012	On going	Number of passengers using ferry	Up to 1	BCKLWN has provided funding for the West Lynn ferry, and the ferry will be considered as part of the King's Lynn Transport Study.	On going	The ferry service is well used, but this measure may need reviewing in future depending on the outcome of the ongoing sale.
14	Changes to the Road Layout within the King's Lynn Gyratory as proposed by Kings Lynn Transport Study	Traffic Managem ent	UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction	County Council	2011 (Linked to measure 3)	On going	Continued air quality monitoring. Daily traffic flow data and queue lengths.	2 to 10	A King's Lynn Transport Study is underway, this will identify possible transport schemes and develop a model to test them and develop a preferred strategy.	2019/2020	
15	Traffic Managem ent at London Road and	Traffic Managem ent	UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction	County Council	2014	2015	Continued air quality monitoring. Queue length	1 to 5	The King's Lynn Transport Study will include London Road and the Southgates area.	2019/2020	

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
	Southgate s						at junctions at peak times				
16	Traffic Managem ent at Gaywood clock	Traffic Managem ent	UTC, Congestion management, traffic reduction	County Council	2014	2015	Continued air quality monitoring. Traffic queue lengths.	1 to 5	The King's Lynn Transport Study will include the Gaywood Clock area.	2019/2020	
17	Promotion of travel plans, school travel plans and promotion of car sharing	Promoting Travel Alternativ es	Personalised Travel Planning	County Council/ Borough Council	2014	On going	Continued air quality monitoring. Number of travel plans	Up to 1	Travel plans are requested by BCKLWN and County Council in response to relevant planning application	On going	
18	Improved cycling and walking provision	Promoting Travel Alternativ es	Promotion of cycling	County Council/ Borough Council	2014	On going	Cycle usage and walking provision. Number of cycle/foot path improvements.	Up to 1	Active transport will be considered in the King's Lynn Transport Study.		
19	Investigat e feasibility and if viable, provide Electric Vehicle charging points in car parks and in new developm ents	Promoting Low Emission Transport	Procuring alternative Refuelling infrastructure to promote Low Emission Vehicles, EV recharging, Gas fuel recharging	Borough Council	2014	On going	Number & use of EV charging points installed	Up to 1	Charging points are recommended on new developments as a mitigation measure in line with IAQM guidance Principles of Good Practice.	On going	

Measure No.	Measure	EU Category	EU Classification	Organisations involved and Funding Source	Planning Phase	Implementation Phase	Key Performance Indicator	Reduction in Pollutant / Emission from Measure	Progress to Date	Estimated / Actual Completion Date	Comments / Barriers to implementation
20	Quality Bus Partnershi ps and contracts	Promoting Low Emission Transport	Public Vehicle Procurement - Prioritising uptake of low emission vehicles	County Council	2014	On going	Continued air quality monitoring. % buses Euro 3 or better. Installation of SVD	Up to 1	A quality bus partnership is in place but there are still a high number of older vehicles used on King's Lynn Town Centre routes.	On going	

# 2.3 PM<sub>2.5</sub> – Local Authority Approach to Reducing Emissions and/or Concentrations

As detailed in Policy Guidance LAQM.PG16 (Chapter 7), local authorities are expected to work towards reducing emissions and/or concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less). There is clear evidence that PM<sub>2.5</sub> has a significant impact on human health, including premature mortality, allergic reactions, and cardiovascular diseases.

The Council monitors PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at four locations within the Borough, two within King's Lynn and two within Stoke Ferry. Monitoring is completed using four Osiris instruments that do not hold a MCERTs accreditation for the indicative monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, therefore the reported concentrations should not be taken as absolute.

The highest annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentration reported in the Borough during 2018 was 7.0µg/m<sup>3</sup> – monitored at OS1, located adjacent to Page Stair Lane. This is well below the  $PM_{2.5}$  obligatory standard of  $25\mu g/m^3$ .

The Public Health Outcomes Framework<sup>6</sup> data tool complied by Public Health England quantifies the mortality burden of  $PM_{2.5}$  within England on a county and local authority scale. The 2017 fraction of mortality attributable to  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution across England is 5.1% and in contrast, the fraction within The Council is 4.9%. This is lower than the fraction of mortality attributable to  $PM_{2.5}$  pollution in England and also the East of England region which was estimated to be 5.5%.

The measures already being undertaken in the Councils AQAP have been reviewed against the Toolbox of Annex II within LAQM.TG(16) to determine which can have an effect on reducing  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions. It was determined, that measures 6, 7, 8, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, and 20 will also have an impact on reducing  $PM_{2.5}$  emissions.

In addition to these measures, the Council continues to take the following measures to address PM<sub>2.5</sub>:

• The Single Issue Silica Sand Review was published in December 2017 and details the appropriateness of each site. The Council will continue to provide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Public Health Outcomes Framework, Public Health England. data tool available online at <u>http://www.phoutcomes.info/public-health-outcomes-framework</u>

comments on any planning applications relating to the search areas/sites to ensure that there are no adverse effects on air quality.

 Where there is potential for a construction site to impact on the local amenity by way of dust emissions a Construction Management Statement is requested as a pre-commencement planning condition. The statement has to include methods used and the measures taken to control the emission of dust and therefore minimise potential short term exposure to PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

## 3 Air Quality Monitoring Data and Comparison with Air Quality Objectives and National Compliance

## 3.1 Summary of Monitoring Undertaken

This section sets out what monitoring has taken place and how it compares with the objectives.

## 3.1.1 Automatic Monitoring Sites

The Council's 2018 monitoring network comprises two NO<sub>2</sub> chemiluminescent analysers, one PM<sub>10</sub> TEOM monitor, and four indicative Osiris dust monitors recording both PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations. In February 2018, the Council took the decision to relocate the TEOM monitor from North Lynn, King's Lynn (Site CM3) to Lynn Road, Stoke Ferry – labelling this new site CM4. However Site CM4 only became operational in October 2018 due to a power supply issue. This move was in response to a number of complaints made by the public in regards to dust potentially being emitted from a neighbouring animal feed plant – and to help validate the indicative readings reported by the Osiris (OS2) located at the existing site. In response to the relocation of the TEOM, the existing Osiris (OS2), was then relocated to a new site within Stoke Ferry, located at Buckenham Drive in August 2018 (renamed OS5) to help improve air quality reporting within the area and aid the completion of an air quality detailed assessment in Stoke Ferry.

Table A.1 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites. National monitoring results are available at <u>https://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/</u>.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D. Further details on how the monitors are calibrated and how the data has been adjusted are included in Appendix C.

## 3.1.2 Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

BCKLWN undertook non-automatic (passive) monitoring of NO<sub>2</sub> at 69 sites during 2018, including the provision of a triplicate co-location study. During 2018 no diffusion tubes were relocated and/or decommissioned from operation. Table A.2 in Appendix A shows the details of the sites.

Maps showing the location of the monitoring sites are provided in Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs.

Further details on Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) for the diffusion tubes, including bias adjustments and any other adjustments applied (e.g. "annualisation"), are included in Appendix C.

## 3.2 Individual Pollutants

The air quality monitoring results presented in this section are, where relevant, adjusted for bias, "annualisation" and distance correction. Further details on adjustments are provided in Appendix C.

## 3.2.1 Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

Table A.3 in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$ .

For diffusion tubes, the full 2018 dataset of monthly mean values is provided in Appendix B.

2018 data capture for all monitoring was greater than or equal to 75%. As a result, in accordance with TG16, annualisation was not required at any site.

Results for 2018 have been bias adjusted using a locally derived bias adjustment factor of 0.93. Full details of the bias adjustment and QA/QC procedure are provided in Appendix C.

Table A.3 below provides a summary of measured annual mean concentrations (annualised and bias adjusted) for 2018. During 2018, one site (Site 2) reported an exceedance with the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean AQS objective limit and four reported concentrations to be within 10% of the AQS objective limit (Table 3.1). These monitoring locations were all found to be located within the boundaries of the two declared AQMAs, with Site 2 located within the Town Centre AQMA. All sites were considered to represent relevant exposure and therefore were directly comparable with the AQS objective limit.

Site 2 has reported the highest annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration within the Borough three times (inclusive) since 2014. Site 2 is located along a stretch of Railway Road – an arterial one-way route passing through the Town Centre where congestion is considered to be prevalent.

Site ID	Within AQMA Y/N	2018 Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )
2	Y – Town Centre AQMA	43.2
3	Y – Town Centre AQMA	37.4
10	Y – Town Centre AQMA	36.2
41	Y – Gaywood Clock AQMA	36.7
44	Y – Gaywood Clock AQMA	36.0
All values presented ab	ove have been bias adjusted	

Table 3.1 - Summary of Measured Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Exceedances and Near Exceedances

Looking at the past five years, NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations appear to have reduced at the majority of sites (48 out of 55 sites with available data), with reductions of over  $2.0\mu g/m^3$  recorded at 40% of the sites. The reduction is evidence of the Councils' continued commitment and work towards improving air quality across the Borough.

The annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentration was not greater than  $60\mu g/m^3$  at any nonautomatic monitoring site. In particular, the diffusion tube located at Site 5 (King's Lynn Bus Station) reported concentrations below  $60\mu g/m^3$ , which is where short term exposure is most relevant. Therefore exceedance of the 1-hour mean objective during 2018 at any monitoring location within King's Lynn is considered to be unlikely. Furthermore, both continuous NO<sub>2</sub> monitors installed within King's Lynn reported no exceedance of the short term NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour objective throughout 2018, or since 2014.

## **Town Centre AQMA**

The annual mean concentration results for the NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes located within Town Centre AQMA are presented in Figure A.1. NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring within the Town Centre AQMA was undertaken at 25 sites during 2018, comprising 27 diffusion tubes and one continuous monitor (CM1) – including the provision of a triplicate colocation site. One site reported (Site 2) an exceedance with the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean AQS objective limit, whereas two reported concentrations to be within 10% (Site 3 and 10). All three sites are deemed to represent relevant exposure and therefore no distance correction calculations were performed. All three sites are located along differing stretches of the A148 – Railway Road – an arterial route through the Town Centre. Site 2 reported the highest concentration in 2018 (43.2µg/m<sup>3</sup>). CM1 has reported NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations below or equal to  $25.0\mu g/m^3$  since 2014 - 37.5% below the AQS objective limit. Similarly, CM1 has reported no exceedance of the short term 1-hour AQS objective limit, or concentrations to exceed  $200\mu g/m^3$  on any occasion since 2014.

Based on historical and 2018 monitoring data, it is recommended that the AQMA remain in force. However, it is recommended that the Council review the effectiveness of the current monitoring network within the AQMA, with the view to relocate monitoring locations reporting concentrations to be well below the AQS annual mean objective to further improve confidence in air quality reporting.

### Gaywood Clock AQMA

The annual mean concentration results for the NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes located within Gaywood Clock AQMA are presented in Figure A.2. NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring within Gaywood Clock AQMA was undertaken at seven sites during 2018, comprising 6 diffusion tubes and one continuous monitor (CM2). No sites reported an exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean AQS objective limit, however, two sites (Site 41 and 44) reported concentrations to be within 10% of the AQS objective limit. Site 41 reported the highest recorded concentration in the AQMA during 2018 (36.7 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). Both sites are deemed to represent relevant exposure and therefore no distance correction calculations were performed. Both sites are located adjacent to locations where queuing traffic from neighbouring junctions may be prevalent.

All sites reported NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations to be between  $30.0\mu g/m^3$  and  $36.7\mu g/m^3$  for 2018. CM2 reported concentrations to be below  $36\mu g/m^3$  (10% of the AQS objective limit) for the first time since 2014 - where exceedances had been reported in 2015 and 2016. CM2 reported no exceedance of the short term 1-hour AQS objective limit, or concentrations to exceed  $200\mu g/m^3$  on any one occasion since 2014.

Based on historical and 2018 monitoring data, it is recommended that the AQMA remain in force, with the view of revocation if NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations continue to stabilise below the AQS objective limit.

#### **Outside of Declared AQMAs**

The annual mean concentration results for the NO<sub>2</sub> diffusion tubes located outside of a declared AQMA boundary are presented in Figure A.3. NO<sub>2</sub> monitoring was undertaken at 38 sites outside of an AQMA boundary during 2018.

Sites 90, 91, 92 and 93 were commissioned to monitor potential emissions from the new road; Lynnsport Way. The road is now open with results showing minimal impact.

Site 76 was commissioned in 2013 to monitor emissions from the addition of Sainsbury's and Tesco superstores to the Hardwick retail park. Concentrations recorded at Site 76 continue to remain well below the AQS NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective limit.

Eight new sites (Sites 61, 62, 63, 64, 72, 73, 74, and 83) were commissioned in 2016, funded by Palm Paper Ltd, to monitor NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations surrounding the Palm Paper facility, located off Saddlebow Industrial Estate. Annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations recorded at the eight sites have remained well below the annual AQS objective limit since commission, with Site 73 reporting the highest concentration of  $24.3\mu g/m^3$  in 2018.

In 2018, outside of the existing AQMAs, Site 5 reported the highest annual mean NO2 concentration (28.8µg/m). Site 5 is located by Bay D within the King's Lynn Bus Station. Adjacent to this, Site 86 recorded an annual mean NO2 concentration of 27.1µg/m3 (32.3% under the AQS objective limit). Site 86 was commissioned due to public concerns relating to emissions around the taxi rank located within Vancouver (Sainsbury's) car park. No exceedance of the annual mean NO2 AQS objective limit has been reported at site 86 within the past five years, with Site 5 last exceeding in 2014 (prior to tube relocation due to the renovation of the bus station).

Based on historical and 2018 monitoring data, the AQMA boundaries are considered to be of relevance due to the absence of any reported exceedances at monitoring sites located outside of a declared AQMA boundary since 2014.

#### **3.2.2** Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)

Table A.5 – in Appendix A compares the ratified and adjusted monitored  $PM_{10}$  annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$ .

Table A.6 in Appendix A compares the ratified continuous monitored  $PM_{10}$  daily mean concentrations for the past 5 years with the air quality objective of  $50\mu g/m^3$ , not to be exceeded more than 35 times per year.

No exceedances of either the annual mean or short term (24-hour mean) AQS objective was reported at any site throughout King's Lynn during 2018, a trend prevalent since 2014.

Figure A.4 presents trends in annual mean PM10 concentrations measured at the five automatic monitoring sites over the past 5 years. It can be seen that overall the annual mean PM10 concentration has remained steady between 2014 and 2018, with an overall decrease largely observed. The highest recorded concentration over the past five years was reported at Site OS1 and OS2 (21µg/m3) for 2016. OS1 is located along Page Stair Lane, King's Lynn, whilst OS2 was located along Furlong Road, Stoke Ferry.

Table A.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM10 Monitoring Results in Appendix A shows the number of exceedances of the  $PM_{10}$  daily mean air quality objective of  $50\mu g/m^3$  at the five automatic monitoring sites. It can be seen that the number of exceedances have been well below the limit of 35 exceedances a year for the past five years.

Within Stoke Ferry, due to previous recorded levels of PM<sub>10</sub> and local concerns, particulate monitoring is being undertaken at three locations within the village (CM4, OS4, and OS5) using two OSIRIS units and a TEOM. The results show that no exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> annual mean concentration or 24-hour mean have been recorded since these three sites were commissioned. The results will be explored in more detail later in 2019 through completion of a detailed assessment.

#### 3.2.3 Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>)

PM<sub>2.5</sub> is regulated by standards in the UK which presently places no statutory duty on Local Authorities to report against the annual mean objective limit. These standards are scheduled to be legally enforced in 2020. Nonetheless, the Council undertake monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub> within the borough indirectly via four Osiris dust monitors. The MCERTs accreditation for the Osiris instrument is only for the indicative measurement of PM<sub>10</sub> and not PM<sub>2.5</sub>, therefore the PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations should not be taken as absolute. Table A.7 – in Appendix A presents the ratified and adjusted monitored  $PM_{2.5}$  annual mean concentrations for the past 5 years.

There were no exceedances of the obligatory annual mean standard of  $25\mu g/m^3$  at any of the monitoring sites.

Figure A.5 presents trends in annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations measured at the five automatic monitoring sites for the past 3 years, since monitoring began. It can be seen that annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations have been below the obligatory annual mean standard of  $25\mu g/m^3$  for the previous three years (2016-2018).  $PM_{2.5}$  concentrations have remained largely constant across all four sites, however OS4 has experienced the largest shift, a  $3.8\mu g/m^3$  reduction since 2016.

## 4 **Conclusions and Priorities**

During the 2018 reporting year, BCKLWM demonstrated proactive steps to stabilising and improving air quality across the Borough. These measures include the development of the King's Lynn Transport Study, the relocation of monitoring in response to complaints and the consideration to update the existing AQAP in-line with the completed source apportionment dispersion modelling exercise.

During 2018 there was only one reported exceedance of the annual mean NO<sub>2</sub> AQS objective limit in-line with relevant exposure (Site 2), located within the Town Centre AQMA. Four additional sites reported NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean concentrations to be within 10% of the AQS objective. All four sites were situated within the declared AQMAs.

The main priorities for the Council in 2019 are to:

- Continue to monitor both NO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations throughout the Borough, notably within both AQMAs;
- Undertake a detailed assessment in Stoke Ferry;
- Regularly review the locations within the monitoring network to ensure that any hotspots are identified;
- Complete the King's Lynn Transport Study and the supporting dispersion modelling exercise; and
- Update the existing AQAP with dispersion modelling outputs and outcomes from the King's Lynn Transport Study.

## **Appendix A: Monitoring Results**

#### Table A.1 – Details of Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Monitoring Technique	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m)	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) <sup>(2)</sup>	Inlet Height (m)
CM1	Southgates Park, King's Lynn	Roadside	562225	319191	NO <sub>2</sub>	YES	Chemiluminescent	N/A	5	1.7
CM2	Gaywood, King's Lynn	Roadside	563437	320472	NO <sub>2</sub>	YES	Chemiluminescent	5	1	1.7
CM3	North Lynn, King's Lynn	Roadside	562086	321325	<b>PM</b> 10	NO	TEOM	35	17	3
CM4	Lynn Road, Stoke Ferry	Roadside	570339	300083	<b>PM</b> 10	NO	TEOM	5	1	3
OS1 (3)	Page Stair Lane, King's Lynn	Roadside	561527	320437	PM <sub>10</sub> / PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO	Osiris	5	3.3	3.5
OS2 (3)	Lynn Road, Stoke Ferry	Roadside	570339	300083	PM <sub>10</sub> / PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO	Osiris	5	1	3.5
OS3 (3)	Estuary Road, King's Lynn	Roadside	561593	321466	PM <sub>10</sub> / PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO	Osiris	2	1	3.5
OS4 (3)	Wretton Road, Stoke Ferry	Roadside	570438	299905	PM <sub>10</sub> / PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO	Osiris	24	19	3.5
OS5 (3)	Buckenham Drive, King's Lynn	Roadside	570264	299943	PM <sub>10</sub> / PM <sub>2.5</sub>	NO	Osiris	12	1	3.2

#### Notes:

(1) Om if the monitoring site is at a location of exposure (e.g. installed on the façade of a residential property).

(2) N/A if not applicable.

(3) The Osiris monitors are not an equivalence method of monitoring for either PM<sub>10</sub> or PM<sub>2.5</sub>. The monitoring is accredited by the Environmental Agency's MCERTs scheme (Monitoring Certification Scheme) for indicative PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring, but no accreditation is held by the Osiris for monitoring of PM<sub>2.5</sub>.

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) <sup>(2)</sup>	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
1	Town Centre 1	Roadside	562073	320304	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	2	2	NO	2.5
2	Town Centre 4	Roadside	562100	320222	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2	NO	2.4
3	Town Centre 5	Roadside	562117	320095	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	0	1.5	NO	2.4
5	Bus Station - Shelters, Bay D	Roadside	562003	320099	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	N/A	N/A	NO	2.2
6,7,8	Southgates Monitoring Station	Roadside	562226	319191	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	No but property façade 4m from kerb further north	5	YES	3.2
9	Mill Fleet	Roadside	561912	319711	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	4	NO	2.5
10	London Road 1	Roadside	562101	319679	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	0	3	NO	1.4
11	London Road 2	Roadside	562165	319575	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	0	3	NO	2.2
12	London Road 3	Roadside	562243	319452	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	1	3	NO	2.1
13	London Road 4	Roadside	562264	319375	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	0	4.5	NO	2.2
14	London Road 5	Roadside	562227	319266	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	0.5	4	NO	2.2
15	Southgates	Roadside	562190	319102	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	1	0.5	NO	2.4
18	Hardwick Rd	Roadside	562266	319043	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	7	NO	1.6
19	Vancover Avenue	Roadside	562277	319098	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	6	NO	1.5
20	London Road 10	Roadside	562244	319261	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	3.5	NO	2.2
22	London Road 6	Roadside	562285	319386	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	5	NO	1.3

## Table A.2 – Details of Non-Automatic Monitoring Sites

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) <sup>(2)</sup>	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
23	London Road 7	Roadside	562162	319614	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	4.5	NO	2.1
24	London Road 8	Roadside	562136	319651	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	5.5	NO	2.2
25	The Walks	Roadside	562191	319695	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	75	NO	1.7
26	Town Centre 7	Roadside	562131	319996	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2	NO	2.3
27	St John's Terrace	Roadside	562178	319999	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	3	2	NO	2.1
28	St John's Terrace/Blackfriar's	Roadside	562253	320015	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	1.5	NO	2.6
29	Waterloo Street	Kerbside	562175	320055	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	2.5	1	NO	1.6
30	Portland Street	Kerbside	562204	320108	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	2.5	1	NO	2.4
31	Town Centre 2	Roadside	562129	320132	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2	NO	2.3
32	Town Centre 3	Roadside	562119	320216	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2	NO	2.4
33	Wellesley Street	Roadside	562203	320159	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	2.5	0.5	NO	2.4
34	Blackfriars 2	Roadside	562244	320129	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2.5	NO	2.4
35	Blackfriars 1	Roadside	562245	320238	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	3	1.5	NO	2.3
36	Norfolk Street	Roadside	562219	320319	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	2	NO	2.2
37	Blackfriars 3	Roadside	562254	320259	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	N/A	2	NO	2.5
38	Littleport Street	Roadside	562257	320323	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2.5	NO	2.4
39	Gaywood Road 2	Roadside	562822	320427	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	7	NO	5
40	The Swan (1) Gayton Road	Roadside	563490	320469	NO <sub>2</sub>	GC	0	2	NO	2.5
41	Wootton Road 2	Roadside	563478	320515	NO <sub>2</sub>	GC	0	2	NO	3.4
42	Wootton Road 1	Roadside	563480	320582	NO <sub>2</sub>	GC	0	3	NO	1.7

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) <sup>(2)</sup>	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
43	Lynn Road 1	Roadside	563412	320477	NO <sub>2</sub>	GC	0	5	NO	3.4
44	Lynn Road 2	Roadside	563377	320484	NO <sub>2</sub>	GC	0	2	NO	3.4
45	Gaywood Road 3	Roadside	563202	320488	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	4.5	NO	2.2
46	Gaywood Road 1	Roadside	562565	320509	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	6.5	NO	2.09
47	Austin Street 1	Roadside	562186	320376	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0.5	1	NO	1.7
48	Austin Street 2	Roadside	562180	320365	NO <sub>2</sub>	тс	0	2	NO	2.6
51	Wootton Road 3	Roadside	563521	320628	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	6	1.5	NO	1.8
52	Lynn Road 3	Roadside	563289	320504	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	5.5	1	NO	1.6
58	NORR	Roadside	562171	319019	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	18	2	NO	2.5
61	Sydney Terrace	Roadside	561854	318272	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	3.5	NO	1.55
62	Burney Road	Roadside	561604	318601	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	7	NO	1.55
63	High Road, Saddlebrow 1	Roadside	560593	315712	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	15	NO	1.7
64	High Road, Saddlebrow 2	Roadside	560917	316766	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	22	NO	1.7
66	Gaywood Road	Urban Background	562595	320527	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	N/A	NO	2.4
67	Greyfriars , London Road	Urban Background	562236	319579	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	N/A	NO	2.3
68	Nursery, London Road	Urban Background	562143	319838	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	N/A	NO	1.6
69	Whitefriars 1, Whitefriars Road	Urban Background	561994	319395	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	N/A	NO	2.2
70	Whitefriars 2, Whitefriars Road	Urban Background	561930	319355	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	N/A	NO	2.4

Site ID	Site Name	Site Type	X OS Grid Ref	Y OS Grid Ref	Pollutants Monitored	In AQMA?	Distance to Relevant Exposure (m) <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance to kerb of nearest road (m) <sup>(2)</sup>	Tube collocated with a Continuous Analyser?	Height (m)
72	Ferry Square, West Lynn	Roadside	561223	320295	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0.5	1.5	NO	2.2
73	Main Road, West Winch	Roadside	563161	315848	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	10	11	NO	1.7
74	Saddlebow Caravan Park	Roadside	561754	317910	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	1	NO	2.2
75	The Swan (2) Gayton Road	Roadside	563469	320469	NO <sub>2</sub>	GC	0	2	NO	2.8
76	Hardwick Road	Roadside	562597	318740	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	1	8	NO	1.58
79	Tennyson Ave	Roadside	562804	320423	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	2	NO	3.8
83	The Elms	Suburban	560779	318509	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	115	NO	1.7
86	Bus Station - Taxi Rank	Other	562019	320139	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	N/A	NO	2.2
87	Albion Street	Roadside	562103	320164	NO <sub>2</sub>	TC	0	2.6	NO	2.1
88	Tennyson Avenue (2)	Roadside	562795	320290	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	7.4	NO	2
89	Whitefriars Terrace	Roadside	561888	319467	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	1	NO	2.4
90	Spenser Road	Roadside	563366	322065	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	8	NO	1.8
91	Reid Way	Roadside	563255	321613	NO <sub>2</sub>	Ν	0	8	NO	2.5
92	Garden Court	Roadside	563256	321589	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	16	NO	2
93	Front Way	Roadside	563213	321283	NO <sub>2</sub>	N	0	9.7	NO	2

#### Notes:

(1) Om if the monitoring site is at a location of exposure (e.g. installed on/adjacent to the façade of a residential property).

(2) N/A if not applicable.

TC = Town Centre AQMA

GC = Gaywood Clock AQMA

	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	NO <sub>2</sub> Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>(3)</sup>						
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
CM1	Roadside	Automatic	97.9	97.9	21.0	21.0	25.0	25.0	23.9		
CM2	Roadside	Automatic	96.3	96.3	36.0	42.0	45.0	38.0	34.5		
1	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	91.7	38.2	36.6	35.5	35.9	33.8		
2	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	91.7	47.0	46.6	44.6	45.5	43.2		
3	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	39.7	36.9	38.6	38.5	37.4		
5	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	32.4	30.4	28.8		
6,7,8	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	94.4	26.7	25.2	24.6	24.6	23.9		
9	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	21.2	20.3	20.8	19.5	19.9		
10	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	36.7	37.8	36.3	37.2	36.2		
11	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	30.4	28.5	27.9	27.7	28.1		
12	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	34.7	33.1	32.0	33.5	29.8		
13	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	31.5	30.3	31.0	29.9	28.8		
14	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.0	33.1	33.1	33.6	33.6		
15	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	38.4	37.2	35.4	34.9	35.3		
18	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	91.7	26.5	25.8	24.5	25.9	24.1		

## Table A.3 – Annual Mean NO2 Monitoring Results

	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	a NO <sub>2</sub> Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m <sup>3</sup> ) <sup>(3)</sup>						
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
19	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	23.6	23.7	23.0	24.0	21.9		
20	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	33.1	30.8	30.6	28.2	30.0		
22	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	34.2	31.4	32.6	30.1	34.0		
23	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.3	31.6	32.5	29.6	32.6		
24	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	32.0	28.7	28.9	26.4	30.5		
25	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	16.3	15.0	14.4	15.3	15.9		
26	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	36.0	33.8	31.5	31.4	32.9		
27	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	30.0	27.5	28.5	27.8	28.5		
28	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	30.0	30.2	30.0	30.5	28.9		
29	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	19.1	18.6	18.3	18.7	19.0		
30	Kerbside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	21.3	21.4	20.4	19.7	19.4		
31	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	30.9	30.4	28.2	28.3	30.2		
32	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	30.9	27.7	29.0	28.3	28.8		
33	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	29.7	27.4	26.1	27.8	27.4		
34	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	32.1	30.1	28.7	28.9	31.1		

	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	NO₂ Annual Mean Concentration (µg/m³) <sup>(3)</sup>						
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
35	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	29.0	28.5	27.2	28.2	27.7		
36	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	29.2	27.9	27.3	27.6	27.4		
37	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	33.1	27.3	26.5	26.5	30.6		
38	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.1	32.5	31.5	33.2	34.0		
39	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	26.8	24.3	24.1	24.3	24.5		
40	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	32.8	31.2	30.2	31.2	31.3		
41	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.2	31.2	32.2	32.1	36.7		
42	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	29.7	29.8	29.3	30.5	30.0		
43	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	30.9	28.7	30.0	29.2	30.9		
44	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	36.6	31.8	32.8	32.4	36.0		
45	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	26.8	26.0	27.0	25.2	28.8		
46	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	26.2	23.8	24.0	22.5	24.6		
47	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	34.9	29.6	30.3	29.3	30.6		
48	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	32.1	28.4	26.8	27.8	27.7		
51	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	19.0	17.3	18.3	19.0	18.8		

	Cito Turco	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data		NO <sub>2</sub> Annual M	ean Concentra	ation (µg/m³) <sup>(3</sup>	)
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
52	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	28.7	27.2	27.3	28.7	30.1
58	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	28.9	26.7	28.2	24.7	28.2
61	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	16.9	16.7
62	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	14.9	13.9
63	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	9.7	10.2
64	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	10.4	10.5
66	Urban Background	Diffusion Tube	100.0	83.3	22.6	20.9	20.4	18.5	20.6
67	Urban Background	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	16.8	16.4	15.7	17.2	16.4
68	Urban Background	Diffusion Tube	100.0	83.3	19.4	18.8	19.0	19.5	20.5
69	Urban Background	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	14.1	12.8	12.7	12.5	13.7
70	Urban Background	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	13.9	12.4	12.3	12.7	12.7
72	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	12.3	12.3
73	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	91.7	-	-	-	19.6	24.3
74	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	14.2	14.2
75	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	35.1	33.0	32.2	31.6	34.1

	Cito Turo	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture for	Valid Data	NO₂ Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m³) <sup>(3)</sup>							
Site ID	Site Type	Туре	Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018			
76	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	20.8	18.8	18.2	19.6	18.8			
79	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	91.7	34.7	34.0	34.6	32.8	32.7			
83	Suburban	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	-	11.8	12.9			
86	Other	Diffusion Tube	100.0	75.0	-	27.6	27.7	27.6	27.1			
87	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	83.3	-	28.7	30.5	29.3	32.0			
88	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	18.9	18.3	17.8	18.2			
89	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	13.3	13.0	13.2	13.2			
90	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	14.0	15.0	15.9			
91	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	13.6	13.7	14.4			
92	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	12.9	12.6	12.9			
93	Roadside	Diffusion Tube	100.0	100.0	-	-	13.1	11.9	13.3			

☑ Diffusion tube data has been bias corrected

☑ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

NO<sub>2</sub> annual means exceeding 60µg/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective are shown in bold and underlined.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) Means for diffusion tubes have been corrected for bias. All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16 if valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.



### Figure A.1 – Trends in Annual Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Concentrations Measured at Monitoring Sites: Town Centre AQMA



![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

Site ID	Site Type	Monitoring	Valid Data Capture	Valid Data	N	D₂ 1-Hour	Means >	200µg/m <sup>3</sup>	3 (3)
	Sile Type	Туре	Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
CM1	Roadside	Automatic	97.9%	97.9%	0	0	0	0	0
CM2	Roadside	Automatic	96.3%	96.3%	0	0	0	0	0

### Table A.4 – 1-Hour Mean NO<sub>2</sub> Monitoring Results

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective (200 µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times/year) are shown in **bold**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 99.8<sup>th</sup> percentile of 1-hour means is provided in brackets.

Site ID	Site Type	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	Valid Data Capture 2018 (%) <sup>(2)</sup>	PN	I₁₀ Annual M	ean Concent	ration (µg/m³	<sup>3</sup> ) <sup>(3)</sup>
				2014	2015	2016	2017	2018
CM3**	Roadside	98.5%	13.9%	18.0	18.0	18.0	19.0	-
CM4**	Roadside	99.8%	18.9%	-	-	-	-	18.4*
OS1	Roadside	89.3%	89.3%	19.0	19.0	21.0	18.0	16.4
OS2**	Roadside	99.8%	65.4%	18.0	16.0	21.0	17.0	10.3
OS3	Roadside	82.2%	82.2%	16.0	20.0	15.0	13.0	14.6
OS4	Roadside	99.2%	88.7%	-	-	16.0	12.0	13.2
OS5**	Roadside	54.1%	18.6%	-	-	-	-	12.7*

#### Table A.5 – Annual Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results

☑ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> annual mean objective of 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> are shown in **bold**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16, valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

\* Due to low data capture (>25% for 2018) for sites CM4 and OS5 2018 monitoring results for these monitors refer to the period and should not be taken as absolute.

\*\* Monitoring was either commissioned or decommissioned in 2018 - resulting in a low data capture

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

	Oite Turne	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring	Valid Data Capture 2018	Р	PM <sub>10</sub> 24-Hour Means > 50μg/m <sup>3 (3)</sup>					
Site ID	Site Type	Period (%) <sup>(1)</sup>	(%) <sup>(2)</sup>	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
CM3*	Roadside	98.5%	13.9%	4	3	5	3	0 (23.6)		
CM4*	Roadside	99.8%	18.9%					0 (29.8)		
OS1	Roadside	89.3%	89.3%	7	4	9	3	4		
OS2*	Roadside	99.8%	65.4%	3	9	3	2	0 (16.3)		
OS3	Roadside	82.2%	82.2%	2	8	2	0 (21)	0 (23.4)		
OS4	Roadside	99.2%	88.7%	-	-	0	0	0		
OS5*	Roadside	54.1%	18.6%	-	-	-	-	0 (20.9)		

#### Table A.6 – 24-Hour Mean PM<sub>10</sub> Monitoring Results

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the PM<sub>10</sub> 24-hour mean objective (50µg/m<sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 35 times/year) are shown in **bold**.

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) If the period of valid data is less than 85%, the 90.4<sup>th</sup> percentile of 24-hour means is provided in brackets.

\* Monitoring was either commissioned or decommissioned in 2018 - resulting in a low data capture

<b>Table A.7 – PM</b> <sub>2.5</sub>	Monitoring	Results

Site ID	Site Type	Valid Data Capture for Monitoring	Valid Data Capture	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub> Annual Mean Concentration (μg/m³) <sup>(3)</sup>					
		Perioa (%) (%	2018 (%) (*)	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018		
OS1	Roadside	89.3%	89.3%	-	-	6.0	6.0	7.0		
OS2**	Roadside	99.8%	65.4%	-	-	6.0	7.0	4.9		
OS3	Roadside	82.2%	82.2%	-	-	4.0	6.0	6.9		
OS4	Roadside	99.2%	88.7%	-	-	10.0	5.0	6.2		
OS5**	Roadside	54.1%	18.6%	-	-	-	-	5.9*		

☑ Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

#### Notes:

(1) Data capture for the monitoring period, in cases where monitoring was only carried out for part of the year.

(2) Data capture for the full calendar year (e.g. if monitoring was carried out for 6 months, the maximum data capture for the full calendar year is 50%).

(3) All means have been "annualised" as per Boxes 7.9 and 7.10 in LAQM.TG16, valid data capture for the full calendar year is less than 75%. See Appendix C for details.

\* Due to low data capture (>25% for 2018) for Site OS5 2018 monitoring results for this monitor refers to the period and should not be taken as absolute.

\*\* Monitoring was either commissioned or decommissioned in 2018 - resulting in a low data capture

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure A.5 – Trends in Annual Mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations

## **Appendix B: Full Monthly Diffusion Tube Results for 2018**

## Table B.1 – NO2 Monthly Diffusion Tube Results - 2018

							NO <sub>2</sub> Mea	in Concen	trations (	ug/m³)					
														Annual Mea	n
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Νον	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.93) and Annualised	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure (2)
1	37	41	39	36	26	28	41	32	32	Missing	44	44	36.4	33.8	-
2	42	43	42	43	44	37	56	49	54	49	52	Missing	46.5	43.2	-
3	40	44	40	37	47	31	37	37	37	44	40	49	40.3	37.4	-
5	27	32	33	34	34	25	31	25	27	32	40	32	31.0	28.8	-
6	27	26	26	24	24	21	24	22	24	23	33	32	25.5	23.7	-
7	26	Missing	26	26	23	21	24	23	22	29	27	31	25.3	23.5	-
8	30	Missing	29	25	22	21	25	23	24	27	29	31	26.0	24.2	-
9	25	24	26	20	19	16	18	17	15	24	28	25	21.4	19.9	-
10	37	46	39	41	39	31	41	37	33	44	43	36	38.9	36.2	-
11	32	29	32	29	28	24	34	29	30	33	36	26	30.2	28.1	-
12	33	34	36	32	24	23	35	31	31	33	33	39	32.0	29.8	-
13	40	29	30	31	27	24	31	29	30	32	31	38	31.0	28.8	-
14	36	35	36	35	33	28	39	33	38	37	35	48	36.1	33.6	-
15	33	33	39	40	51	38	44	34	27	41	35	41	38.0	35.3	-
18	26	28	31	Void	19	21	26	24	26	27	31	26	25.9	24.1	-
19	19	25	27	25	20	18	26	23	23	24	26	26	23.5	21.9	-

							NO <sub>2</sub> Mea	n Concen	trations (µ	ıg/m³)					
														Annual Mea	n
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Νον	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.93) and Annualised <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure (2)
20	34	31	36	34	34	27	34	29	25	33	38	32	32.3	30.0	-
22	31	35	62	37	34	31	39	30	28	38	38	36	36.6	34.0	-
23	28	39	39	37	44	37	39	29	25	36	38	30	35.1	32.6	-
24	30	34	37	36	40	35	35	25	22	32	35	32	32.8	30.5	-
25	22	20	21	17	11	9	13	14	16	19	21	22	17.1	15.9	-
26	32	34	34	37	40	35	40	33	31	40	32	36	35.3	32.9	-
27	29	30	32	31	33	28	31	26	31	33	30	34	30.7	28.5	-
28	33	28	34	31	30	24	32	32	31	35	31	32	31.1	28.9	-
29	25	26	24	19	15	13	16	18	18	22	24	25	20.4	19.0	-
30	22	26	22	19	21	17	20	18	19	23	25	18	20.8	19.4	-
31	32	34	34	31	33	30	36	30	27	37	37	29	32.5	30.2	-
32	31	35	35	30	28	26	33	28	26	32	37	31	31.0	28.8	-
33	36	33	31	28	24	19	30	28	25	30	36	34	29.5	27.4	-
34	37	33	33	34	28	21	33	31	34	34	37	46	33.4	31.1	-
35	31	31	30	28	28	23	32	28	30	33	30	34	29.8	27.7	-
36	31	32	32	27	25	23	32	27	24	29	36	35	29.4	27.4	-
37	34	34	37	31	37	34	35	27	28	34	33	31	32.9	30.6	-
38	37	38	41	38	34	24	39	34	27	39	45	43	36.6	34.0	-
39	29	31	31	26	24	18	24	25	24	28	28	28	26.3	24.5	-
40	38	35	35	36	26	25	35	34	35	36	34	35	33.7	31.3	-

							NO <sub>2</sub> Mea	n Concen	trations (	ug/m³)					
														Annual Mea	n
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.93) and Annualised <sup>(1)</sup>	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure (2)
41	38	41	46	40	38	39	43	36	32	40	44	37	39.5	36.7	-
42	34	32	35	29	28	27	38	31	29	35	33	36	32.3	30.0	-
43	32	36	34	31	39	31	34	31	30	37	30	34	33.3	30.9	-
44	39	43	41	37	41	39	44	33	28	40	41	39	38.8	36.0	-
45	30	34	33	32	40	30	30	24	24	34	31	30	31.0	28.8	-
46	25	30	31	25	29	26	25	21	21	27	29	28	26.4	24.6	-
47	34	37	36	33	32	25	35	31	29	35	35	33	32.9	30.6	-
48	31	35	35	31	20	18	31	30	29	27	37	34	29.8	27.7	-
51	27	25	25	21	14	13	16	18	16	20	24	23	20.2	18.8	-
52	34	35	31	31	38	30	36	29	26	34	32	33	32.4	30.1	-
58	31	35	34	29	32	24	30	24	23	31	41	30	30.3	28.2	-
61	26	21	22	17	12	9	11	16	16	19	23	23	17.9	16.7	-
62	18	18	18	15	9	9	11	13	13	16	20	19	14.9	13.9	-
63	17	15	13	10	7	6	6	8	8	11	15	15	10.9	10.2	-
64	15	16	14	11	9	7	8	8	8	12	15	13	11.3	10.5	-
66	27	27	24	23	18	17	20	19	22	Missing	Missing	25	22.2	20.6	-
67	23	20	22	17	11	9	14	16	17	18	24	21	17.7	16.4	-
68	27	26	26	21	17	14	Missing	Missing	17	23	25	24	22.0	20.5	-
69	20	22	20	14	11	7	11	11	11	15	19	16	14.8	13.7	-
70	20	18	18	11	9	6	10	10	10	15	22	15	13.7	12.7	-

							NO <sub>2</sub> Mea	n Concen	trations (µ	ıg/m³)					
														Annual Mea	n
Site ID	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Raw Data	Bias Adjusted (0.93) and Annualised	Distance Corrected to Nearest Exposure (2)
72	19	17	18	12	8	7	9	10	11	15	18	15	13.3	12.3	-
73	28	36	42	24	30	25	25	20	17	17	Missing	24	26.2	24.3	-
74	21	21	19	12	11	11	11	12	13	17	18	17	15.3	14.2	-
75	37	46	36	40	33	28	41	31	31	32	47	38	36.7	34.1	-
76	23	24	24	22	12	10	18	21	20	22	24	23	20.3	18.8	-
79	36	34	31	34	35	31	37	35	Missing	39	39	36	35.2	32.7	-
83	16	19	18	8	12	11	12	12	11	15	17	15	13.8	12.9	-
86	28	32	Missing	29	Missing	22	29	Missing	29	31	33	29	29.1	27.1	-
87	29	Missing	Missing	35	42	36	38	28	32	36	39	29	34.4	32.0	-
88	24	21	23	18	14	13	17	17	18	22	26	22	19.6	18.2	-
89	17	17	18	15	10	8	11	11	10	16	20	17	14.2	13.2	-
90	21	20	21	16	11	10	14	15	16	18	23	20	17.1	15.9	-
91	20	19	18	15	10	9	12	13	15	17	20	18	15.5	14.4	-
92	20	17	16	12	9	7	11	12	12	15	19	17	13.9	12.9	-
93	20	18	18	13	9	6	10	11	13	17	19	17	14.3	13.3	-

☑ Local bias adjustment factor used

□ National bias adjustment factor used

 $\boxtimes$  Annualisation has been conducted where data capture is <75%

☑ Where applicable, data has been distance corrected for relevant exposure

#### Notes:

Exceedances of the NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean objective of  $40\mu g/m^3$  are shown in **bold**.

NO<sub>2</sub> annual means exceeding 60µg/m<sup>3</sup>, indicating a potential exceedance of the NO<sub>2</sub> 1-hour mean objective are shown in bold and underlined.

(1) See Appendix C for details on bias adjustment and annualisation.

(2) Distance corrected to nearest relevant public exposure if annual mean concentration exceeds or is within 10% of the AQS objective limit.

## **Appendix C: Supporting Technical Information / Air Quality Monitoring Data QA/QC**

### **Diffusion Tube Local Bias Adjustment Factors**

King's Lynn Council operate one continuous NO<sub>2</sub> analyser (Southgates) that has a triplicate site of diffusion tubes co-located at the monitoring site. A local bias adjustment factor has been calculated for the location using the Precision and Bias adjustment spreadsheet (v04), the output is presented below in Figure C.1. The calculated local bias adjustment factor was 0.93.

## Figure C.1 - Local Bias Correction Output: Southgates (Tubes 6/7/8)

Cł	hecking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes AEA Energy & Environment													
			Diff	usion Tu	bes Mea	surements	;				Automat	tic Method	Data Quali	ty Check
Period	Start Date dd/mm/yyyy	End Date dd/mm/yyyy	Tube 1 µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Tube 2 µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Tube 3 µgm <sup>-3</sup>	Triplicate Mean	Standard Deviation	Coefficient of Variation (CV)	95% CI of mean		Period Mean	Data Capture (% DC)	Tubes Precision Check	Automatic Monitor Data
1	03/01/2018	31/01/2018	27.0	26.0	30.0	28	2.1	8	5.2		26.6	98.8	Good	Good
2	31/01/2018	28/02/2018	26.0								29.1	99.9		Good
3	28/02/2018	28/03/2018	26.0	26.0	29.0	27	1.7	6	4.3		25.3	100.0	Good	Good
4	28/03/2018	02/05/2018	24.0	26.0	25.0	25	1.0	4	2.5		24.5	99.9	Good	Good
5	02/05/2018	06/06/2018	24.0	23.0	22.0	23	1.0	4	2.5		21.4	99.5	Good	Good
6	06/06/2018	04/07/2018	21.0	21.0	21.0	21	0.0	0	0.0		16.0	98.4	Good	Good
7	04/07/2018	01/08/2018	24.0	24.0	25.0	24	0.6	2	1.4		21.5	99.7	Good	Good
8	01/08/2018	05/09/2018	22.0	23.0	23.0	23	0.6	3	1.4		18.9	99.8	Good	Good
э	05/09/2018	03/10/2018	24.0	22.0	24.0	23	1.2	5	2.9		24.8	100.0	Good	Good
10	03/10/2018	31/10/2018	23.0	29.0	27.0	26	3.1	12	7.6		26.6	100.0	Good	Good
11	31/10/2018	05/12/2018	33.0	27.0	29.0	30	3.1	10	7.6		27.4	99.8	Good	Good
12	05/12/2018	09/01/2019	32.0	31.0	31.0	31	0.6	2	1.4		27.9	60.8	Good	ir Data Captur
13														
lt is	necessary to	have results	for at lea	st tvo tu	bes in ore	ler to calcul	ate the prec	ision of the me	easuremen	ts	Overal	l survey>	Good precision	Good Overall
Sit	e Name/ ID:						Precision	11 out of 11	periods ha	ave a C	V smaller	than 20%	(Check avera	ge CV & DC
													from Accuracy	calculations)
	Accuracy	(with	95% con	fidence	interval)		Accuracy	(with	95% conf	idence	interval)			
	without pe	riods with C	V larger	than 20	%		WITH ALL	DATA				50%	1	
	Bias calcula	ated using 1	0 period	s of data			Bias calcu	lated using 1	0 periods	s of dat	a	8		
	В	ias factor A	0.9	33 (0.87	- 1)			Bias factor A	0.9	3 (0.87	- 1)	25%	т	т
		Bias B	7%	(0% - 1	5%)			Bias B	7%	(0% - 1	5%)	<b>a</b> 0%	<u>+</u>	<u>+</u>
	Diffusion T	ubaa Maanu	25				Diffusion	Tuboo Moonu				PL	Without CV>20%	With all data
	Diffusion 1	ubes wean:	25	µgm -			Diffusion	(Dessieire)	25	pgm		-25%		
	Mean CV	(Precision):	5	=			Mean CV	(Precision):	5			Diffu		
	Autor	natic Mean:	23	µgm <sup>-3</sup>			Automatic Mean: 23 µgm <sup>-3</sup>							
	Data Capti	ire for perio	ds used:	100%			Data Cap	oture for perio	ds used:	100%				
	Adjusted T	djusted Tubes Mean: 23 (22 - 25) µgm <sup>-3</sup> Adjusted Tubes Mean: 23 (22 - 25) µgm <sup>-3</sup> Jaume Targa, for AEA												
												Ver	sion 04 - Feb	ruary 2011

#### Checking Precision and Accuracy of Triplicate Tubes

#### **Diffusion Tube National Bias Adjustment Factor**

The diffusion tubes used by King's Lynn Council are supplied and analysed by Gradko International, the tubes were prepared using the 20% TEA in acetone preparation method. The 2018 national bias adjustment factor for Gradko 20% TEA in water is 0.93, based on 30 studies, as derived from the national bias adjustment factor spreadsheet (Round 1 of 3)<sup>7</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet version 03/19 available at https://laqm.defra.gov.uk/biasadjustment-factors/national-bias.html

## Discussion of Choice of Bias Adjustment Factor to Use

The diffusion tube data has been corrected using a bias adjustment factor, which is an estimate of the difference between diffusion tube concentration and continuous monitoring, the latter assumed to be a more accurate method of monitoring. The Defra LAQM.TG(16) provides guidance with regard to the application of a bias adjustment factor to correct diffusion tube monitoring.

Triplicate co-location studies can be used to determine a local bias factor based on the comparison of diffusion tube results with data taken from NO<sub>x</sub>/NO<sub>2</sub> continuous analysers. Alternatively, the national database of diffusion tube co-location surveys provides bias factors for the relevant laboratory and preparation method.

The local bias adjustment factor (0.93) has been used to adjust the 2018 diffusion tube data. The automatic monitor and co-located diffusion tubes all had good overall data capture for the period, whilst the diffusion tubes had good precision throughout. In addition, it is worth noting that the calculated local bias adjustment factor equalled the corresponding national bias adjustment factor reported in the 2019 (Round 1 of 3) publication – a factor based on 30 studies.

## **QA/QC of Automatic Monitoring**

Data from the automatic monitoring stations is collected by Air Quality Data Management (AQDM) on behalf of the Council. The TEOM data has the Volatile Correction Model (VCM) for Indicative Gravimetric Equivalence applied, and the Osiris data has a gravimetric factor of 1.3 for Indicative Gravimetric Equivalence applied. Both the TEOM and NO<sub>x</sub> analysers are serviced biannually by Air Monitors, and calibration data is collected fortnightly from the NO<sub>x</sub> analysers by council officers and passed to AQDM who carry out any adjustment of the data. The Osiris instruments are serviced and calibrated annually by Turnkey Instruments.

## **QA/QC of Diffusion Tube Monitoring**

Gradko International Ltd (Gradko) is a UKAS accredited laboratory and participates in the AIR-PT Scheme (a continuation of the Workplace Analysis Scheme for Proficiency (WASP) for NO<sub>2</sub> tube analysis and the Annual Field Inter-Comparison Exercise. These provide strict performance criteria for participating laboratories to meet, thereby ensuring NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations are reported to a high level of accuracy. The lab follows the procedures set out in the Harmonisation Practical Guidance.

In the 2018 AIR-PT results, AIR-PT AR024 (January to February 2018), AIR PT AR025 (April to May 2018), AIR PT AR027 (July to August 2018) and AIR PT AR028 (September to October 2018), Gradko scored 100%. The percentage score reflects the results deemed to be satisfactory based upon the z-score of  $< \pm 2$ .

## Short-term to Long-term Data Adjustment

Monitoring data capture for 2018 was greater than 75% at all the monitoring locations apart from CM3, CM4 and OS2 for  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ . However, as data capture for CM3 and CM4 was less than 25% for 2018, annualisation was subsequently only performed for OS2 for  $PM_{2.5}$  and  $PM_{10}$ . This is in accordance with Defra's LAQM TG(16).

Details of the annualisation have been provided in Table C.1 to Table C.3. Due to the limited availability of PM<sub>10</sub> monitoring within proximity to OS2, annualisation has been undertaken using only two monitoring locations.

OS2					
Site	Site Type	Annual Mean (µg/m³)	Period Mean (µg/m³)	2018 Data Capture (%)	Ratio Annual Mean / Period Mean
Nottingham Centre	Urban Background	16.3	16.3	94.9	1.004
Norwich Lakenfield	Urban Background	15.7	16.9	86.2	0.932
Average Ratio					0.968

Table C.1 - Annualisation of PM<sub>10</sub> Data Recorded at Site OS2 from Nearby Continuous Monitoring Sites

## Table C.2 - Annualisation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Data Recorded at Site OS2 from Nearby Continuous Monitoring Sites

OS2					
Site	Site Type	Annual Mean (µg/m³)	Period Mean (µg/m³)	2018 Data Capture (%)	Ratio Annual Mean / Period Mean
Nottingham Centre	Urban Background	10.0	10.7	94.9	0.930
Norwich Lakenfield	Urban Background	10.2	10.8	89.2	0.946
Leicester University	Urban Background	10.5	10.6	100.0	0.992
Average Ratio					0.956

## Table C.3 Annualisation for OS2

OS2						
Site	Unadjusted Continuous Mean (µg/m³)	Nottingham Centre AF	Norwich Lakenfield AF	Leicester University AF	Average AF	Annualised Data Average (µg/m³)
<b>PM</b> <sub>10</sub>	10.6	1.004	0.932	-	0.968	10.3
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	5.1	0.930	0.946	0.992	0.956	4.9

## Fall-off With Distance Correction

In accordance with the conditions stated in Section 7.78 of Defra's TG(16), distance correction calculations, using the  $NO_2$  fall-off with distance calculator, was not required to be undertaken at any site in operation in 2018.

## **Appendix D: Maps of Monitoring Locations and AQMAs**

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure D.1 – Monitoring Sites Within and Adjacent to Gaywood Clock AQMA

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Figure D.2 – Monitoring Sites Within and Adjacent to Town Centre AQMA

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Figure D.3 – Monitoring Sites: South of King's Lynn

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure D.4 – Monitoring Sites: North Lynn

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Appendix E: Summary of Air Quality Objectives in England

## Table E.1 – Air Quality Objectives in England

Pollutant	Air Quality Objective				
Fonutant	Concentration	Measured as			
Nitrogen Dioxide	200µg/m <sup>3</sup> not to be exceeded more than 18 times a year	1-hour mean			
(1002)	40µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean			
Particulate Matter	50µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	24-hour mean			
(FIVI10)	40µg/m <sup>3</sup>	Annual mean			
	350µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 24 times a year	1-hour mean			
Sulphur Dioxide (SO <sub>2</sub> )	125µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 3 times a year	24-hour mean			
	266µg/m <sup>3</sup> , not to be exceeded more than 35 times a year	15-minute mean			

## **Glossary of Terms**

Abbreviation	Description		
AQAP	Air Quality Action Plan - A detailed description of measures, outcomes, achievement dates and implementation methods, showing how the local authority intends to achieve air quality limit values'		
AQMA	Air Quality Management Area – An area where air pollutant concentrations exceed / are likely to exceed the relevant air quality objectives. AQMAs are declared for specific pollutants and objectives		
ASR	Air quality Annual Status Report		
Defra	Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs		
EU	European Union		
BCKLWN	Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk		
LAQM	Local Air Quality Management		
NO <sub>2</sub>	Nitrogen Dioxide		
NOx	Nitrogen Oxides		
PM10	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 10µm (micrometres or microns) or less		
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Airborne particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of 2.5µm or less		
QA/QC	Quality Assurance and Quality Control		
SO <sub>2</sub>	Sulphur Dioxide		
NCC	Norfolk County Council		

## References

- Local Air Quality Management Technical Guidance LAQM.TG(16). February 2018. Published by Defra in partnership with the Scottish Government, Welsh Assembly Government and Department of the Environment Northern Ireland.
- Local Air Quality Management Policy Guidance LAQM.PG(16). May 2016.
   Published by Defra in partnership with the Scottish Government, Welsh Assembly Government and Department of the Environment Northern Ireland.
- National Diffusion Tube Bias Adjustment Factor Spreadsheet, version 03/19 published in March 2019.
- Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk Annual Status Report 2018.
- Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk, Local Plan Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan
- Norfolk County Council, Norfolk Minerals and Waste Local Plan, Minerals Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document: Single Issue Silica Sand Review, December 2017