

FENLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

DETAILS OF PLANNING APPLICATION

Applicant Mr. K. McCourt, Town Street, Upwell.	Ref: F/0192/76/F X/F /X/X/X/X/B												
Description Erection of a house and garage,	BUILDING REG. REF: - Dated 27/2/76 Rec'd 12/3/76												
Location Town Street, Upwell.	Parish UPWELL												
PREVIOUS APPLICATIONS affecting this land WR/69/11/0, WR/67/61/0 - cancelled WR/71/140/D	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>Grid E</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>9</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Ref: N</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>7</td> <td>6</td> </tr> </table> Map (1972) Ref: TF.5002	Grid E	5	5	0	3	9	Ref: N	3	0	2	7	6
Grid E	5	5	0	3	9								
Ref: N	3	0	2	7	6								
Other related files -	Category X/D/X												
Committee:	Date: 8.4.76 29.4.76												
DECISION: Approved with conditions/Refused/Deferred 8.4.76	Date of Notice: 12 MAY 1976												
D.O.E. Action : Appeal lodged/Application referred	Date:												
APPEAL DECISION Part/Allowed with conditions/Dismissed	Date:												
D.O.E. DIRECTION :	Date:												
County Council Directions :	Date:												

REF. F/0192/76/E

FENLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL
CAMBRIDGESHIRE

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT, 1971

REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION

TO : Mr. R. McCourt,
TOWN STREET,
UPWELL.

para. Mr. T. D. Bridgefoot,
4 LODS AVENUE,
UPWELL.

The Council hereby refuse permission for ~~erection of a house and garage~~

at ~~Town Street, Upwell.~~

in accordance with your Application dated ~~27th February, 1976.~~

for the following reasons:

1. In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority the proposed development, by virtue of its design and layout, is unsympathetic to and out of character with the existing buildings in the vicinity of the site which is located within the suggested Conservation Area for Upwell.
2. Furthermore, the Local Planning Authority considers that the proposal falls short of its adopted policy in respect of residential development which aims for a high standard of design and because of such will appear incongruous in the street scene.
3. The proposed development does not provide for a satisfactory relationship between the site and adjoining buildings and would interfere with the privacy of neighbouring properties.
4. If permitted the proposed development would set a precedent for similar proposals which the Local Planning Authority would find difficult to resist and which would inevitably upset the present harmonious heritage development.

Dated: ~~13th May, 1976.~~
County Hall, County Road, March. PE15 8ND.

Roy Sisson
Chief Planning Officer.