## FENLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL

## DETAILS OF PLANNING APPLICATION

pre		
Applicant	Mr. M. Day, Euximoor House, Bull Bridge, Upwell.	Ref: F /0366/84/0 O /FY/AX/XXB
Agent	Mr. N. Turner, 11 Dovecote Road, Upwell, Wisbech.	Delegated
Description	Erection of a bungalow and garage,	Dated 9.5.84
Location	Bull Bridge, Upwell. (south of Bull Row).	Rec'd 14.5.84  Parish  UPWELL
Previous app	lications affecting site  WR/68/63/0  F/235/79/Electricity	Grid E 5 4 9 9 0 ref: N 3 0 0 4 6 Map ref. TF4900 (1978)
Other related	files. WR/68/90/0	Category C/D/L
Committee:		Date: 12. > . 84-
Decision: Ap	oproved-with-conditions/Refused/Deferred 15. 6. 84 SITE SUB-COMMI	Date of Notice:
D.O.E. action: Appeal lodged/Application referred		Date:
Appeal decision	on: Part/Allowed with conditions/Dismissed	Date:
D.O.E. directi	on:	Date:
County Counc	cil directions:	Date:
entretarione personal desirabilità quanto discourse registra describe		

FENLAND DISTRICT COUNCIL Cambridgeshire

TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1971

## **REFUSAL OF PLANNING PERMISSION**

Dated:

12th July 1984

Fenland Hall, County Road, March. PE15 8NQ (See notes overleaf regarding Appeal procedures)

Chief Planning Officer

F/0366/84/0

TO Mr. M. Day,
Euximoor House,
Bull Bridge,
Upwell,
Wisbech, Cambs.

PER Mr. N. Turner, 11 Dovecote Road, Upwell, Wisbech, Cambs.

REF:

The Council hereby refuse permission for erection of a bungalow and garage at Bull Bridge (south of Bull Row), Upwell, in accordance with your Application dated 9th May 1984 for the following reasons:

- The site of the proposed development is outside any area indicated as being suitable for residential purposes in the approved village plan for Upwell, and is outside the limits of development as shown on the village plan.
- 2. The proposal is contrary to the provisions of the Approved County Structure Plan for Cambridgeshire in that policy P7/5 states "The construction of new dwellings in the open countryside will not be allowed except where they are essential to the efficient operation of local agriculture, horticulture or forestry".
- 3. In the opinion of the Local Planning Authority the proposal represents an undesirable extension and consolidation of the existing ribbon of residential development.
- 4. If permitted, the proposed development would set a precedent for similar proposals which the Local Planning Authority would find difficult to resist and which would progressively detract from the open and rural appearance and character of the area.