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Information 
Statement

The Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk hereby gives notice that a Referendum relating to the North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan will be
The Referendum will be held on Thursday 28 September 2017 to decide on the question below:

**Do you want the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk to use the neighbourhood plan for North Runcton & West Winch to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?**

The Referendum area is identical to the area that has been designated as the North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan area which covers the Parishes of North Runcton & West Winch, as shown on the following map.

A person is entitled to vote in the Referendum if, on Tuesday 12 September 2017:

(a) he/she is entitled to vote in an election of any Councillor of the North Runcton & West Winch Parish Councils whose area is in the Referendum area and

(b) is/her qualifying address for the election is in the Referendum area. A person’s qualifying address is, in relation to a person registered in the register of electors, the address in respect of which he or she is entitled to be so registered.
The Referendum expenses limit that will apply in relation to the Referendum is £2,362; plus the number of persons entitled to vote in the Referendum by reference to which that limit has been calculated (number of entries in the register x 5.9p).

The Referendum will be conducted in accordance with procedures which are similar to those used at local government elections.

A number of specified documents may be inspected at:

The Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk, King’s Court, Chapel Street, King’s Lynn. PE30 1EX Opening times: 9am-5pm Monday – Thursday and 9 am-4.45 pm on a Friday. Tel: (01553) 616200 or email contact@west-norfolk.gov.uk

The specified documents are:

(i) the draft neighbourhood plan or neighbourhood development order;

(ii) the report made by the independent examiner under paragraph 10 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 (in the case of a neighbourhood plan, as applied by section 38A(3) of the 2004 Act);

(iii) a summary of any representations submitted to the independent examiner pursuant to paragraph 9 of Schedule 4B to the 1990 Act;

(iv) a statement –

(bb) in the case of a draft neighbourhood plan, that the local planning authority are satisfied that the draft plan meets those basic conditions and complies with the provision made by, or under, sections 38A and 38B of the 2004 Act;

(v) a statement that sets out general information as to town and country planning (including neighbourhood planning) and the referendum, which is prepared having regard to any guidance issued by the Secretary of State.

For further information about the North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan, including all background documents, please see our Neighbourhood Planning webpages:

- Introduction to Neighbourhood Plans: https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20127/neighbourhood_plans/43/neighbourhood_planning

- Neighbourhood Plans in Progress in West Norfolk: https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20127/neighbourhood_plans/116/plans_being_prepared
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Information for Voters

About this document

On 28 September 2017 there will be a Referendum on a Neighbourhood Plan for your area. This document explains the Referendum that is going to take place and how you can take part in it. It explains:-
Referendum on the Neighbourhood Plan

A Referendum asks you to vote yes or no to a question.

For this Referendum you will receive a ballot paper with this question:

Do you want the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk to use the Neighbourhood Plan for North Runcton & West Winch to help it decide planning applications in the neighbourhood area?

What does my vote mean?

You show your choice by putting a cross (X) in the ‘Yes’ or ‘No’ box on your ballot paper.

Put a cross in only one box or your vote will not be counted.

If more people vote ‘yes’ than ‘no’ in this Referendum, then the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk will use the Neighbourhood Plan to help it decide planning applications in the Parishes of North Runcton & West Winch.

The Neighbourhood Plan will then become part of the Development Plan. This is a set of documents which sets out planning policies to guide development in King’s Lynn and West Norfolk.

If more people vote ‘no’ than ‘yes’, then planning applications will be decided without using the Neighbourhood Plan as part of the Development Plan for the local area.
Neighbourhood Plans

What is a neighbourhood plan?
A local community can prepare a neighbourhood plan to help shape future development in its area.

If it successfully passes all the stages, including being supported by a majority of votes in a Referendum the neighbourhood plan will become part of the official ‘development plan’ for the area, alongside the Borough Council’s local plan. It then must be taken into account when the Borough Council, or a planning inspector, is deciding planning applications in the area.

Who can do a neighbourhood plan?
A neighbourhood plan is prepared by the relevant parish/town council, except in unparished areas (e.g. King’s Lynn town), where a local group must first apply to be designated as a ‘neighbourhood forum’ before it can prepare a neighbourhood plan.

What can be in a neighbourhood plan?
Neighbourhood plans are about ‘development’ (broadly speaking, building construction and changes in the use of land). A neighbourhood plan can shape the future development in an area, but it cannot stop all development, or plan for less than that included in the Borough’s Local Plan.

A neighbourhood plan must:
• support sustainable development,
• generally conform to the strategic policies in the local plan,
• have regard to national planning policies, and
• comply with relevant legislation to, e.g. ensure environmental matters are taken into account, protect certain species and habitats, human rights, etc.
• specify the period it will cover.

While a neighbourhood plan must in general conform to the local plan strategic policies for the area (e.g. the overall role of the area, the general scale and type of development planned), it can vary in detail from the local plan. This can involve additional or different allocations of land for development, different development boundaries, different design and other criteria to be applied in the area, etc. Where there is a contradiction between a neighbourhood plan and the local plan, it is the most recent one that counts.

Neighbourhood plans often contain policies to reinforce the local character of the area, to protect local green spaces and other features of particular local importance, and measures to address particular local problems or shortages.

There is no set format for a neighbourhood plan. It could be very brief and focused (perhaps just one policy) or very long and complex. Much will depend on what are the agreed local priorities, and what resources, interests and skills are available in the local community who prepare it.
How is a neighbourhood plan prepared?
Because neighbourhood plans will affect what may, or may not, receive planning permission they must go through stages of formal consultation to make sure everyone has an opportunity to comment on them, and that they meet tests laid down in legislation. These procedures include examination by an independent expert, to decide whether the plan meets the legal tests mentioned above, and a referendum to gauge the level of local support for the plan.

The Borough Council (as local planning authority) has to administer key parts of this process. The decisions it has to make in this process are not whether the Borough Council agrees with or supports the content of the neighbourhood plan, but whether the plan complies with nationally laid down rules and policies.

It is the local community’s plan, and it is they who will have to do most of the work (or commission consultants to do this for them) and make the decisions on what they want in their plan, although the Borough Council will provide advice and assistance.

For further information on neighbourhood plans see:
Borough Council Information:
• Introduction to Neighbourhood Plans: https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20127/neighbourhood_plans/43/neighbourhood_planning
• Neighbourhood Plans in Progress in West Norfolk: https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20127/neighbourhood_plans/116/plans_being_prepared

Planning Aid http://www.ourneighbourhoodplanning.org.uk/
Locality http://locality.org.uk/projects/building-community/

Town and Country Planning

Background
The town and country planning system exists to protect and promote the public interest in the way land is used and developed. All advanced economies have formal planning systems, though the details of how they operate vary, while simpler societies usually have less formal controls on new building.

The current British planning system was established in 1947, and grew out of concern that uncoordinated development in the 19th and early 20th century had resulted in severe adverse impacts on health, economic efficiency, quality of life and the environment. Owners of property in Britain do not have a right to build or change the use of land as they please, but must obtain planning permission to do so. (Some development, typically minor changes, is ‘permitted development’, and benefits from an automatic permission.)

The planning system endeavours to -
• Coordinate the activities of different developers and agencies,
- Protect features and qualities of acknowledged public interest,
- Provide a degree of certainty for investors, landowners, residents and other stakeholders, and
- Coordinate the provision of infrastructure and other facilities.

More broadly the system aims to balance the needs and aspirations of the immediate site or locality with those for the wider area and country within which it sits, and to balance current concerns against longer term interests.

Inevitably these different aims and considerations are often in tension, and so there are difficult and controversial decisions to be made in balancing them when considering whether to grant planning permission or include something in a plan. (Planning decisions often seem easy if only one consideration is taken into account!)

**Local Planning Decisions**

The responsibility for making most of these difficult decisions is given by Parliament to the local planning authority which, in this area, is the Borough Council of King's Lynn and West Norfolk.

Planning authorities cannot, however, make a decision any way they may please. Prior public consultation is required in most cases. Decisions on applications must be made in accordance with the adopted development plan, unless there are proper planning considerations suggesting otherwise.

One of the important considerations which must be taken into account is national (government) planning policy, including the National Planning Policy Framework. This tells local planning authorities which issues they should consider most important, how decisions should be reached, how plans should be prepared and what they should contain, etc.

Decisions are made by elected councillors, advised by specialist planning officers, though routine decisions (e.g. planning applications clearly in accordance with, or contrary to, adopted plans) will usually be delegated by councillors to senior officers; because of the volume of work and pressures of time.

There is provision for the planning decisions of the local planning authority to be challenged and reviewed by an independent planning inspector (or, if the issue is the legality of the decision or the way it was reached, the courts).
Local Plans

The local planning authority has to produce local plans for the future development of the area. Local plans (previously known as local development frameworks) may consist of one or more separate plans or documents. These would commonly include (as is the case in West Norfolk) a core strategy document setting out the overall scale and broad location of development, and a site allocations document identifying the specific sites and the type and amount of development sought on each.

Local plans usually look forward at least 15 years, and must provide for enough housing and employment development to meet the anticipated growth in the area over that period, and have to be in general accordance with national planning policy. Ideas for how this might be done are refined and reconsidered through successive rounds of consultation and discussion, often over a period of several years, but rarely is consensus reached, so the local planning authority must make difficult choices between competing views and proposals. Once the local planning authority has decided the plan it wishes to adopt, it is tested against legal requirements and national policy by an independent planning inspector, who will consider the views of those who oppose or support the plan, and decide whether it can be adopted and brought into force.

Under current national policy, if local plans are not successfully brought-up-to-date and adopted, or less housing development than needed actually takes place, it will be difficult for the local planning authority to refuse a planning application for housing development unless it seriously contravenes national policy, even if it contravenes the local or neighbourhood plan.

Neighbourhood Planning

Parish and town councils are statutory consultees for planning applications and local plans. This means they are consulted about these and are able to put forward any views they may have on these.

The 2011 Localism Act gave them (and communities in unparished areas that had successfully applied for designation as a neighbourhood forum) additional new planning powers to produce neighbourhood development plans, or to grant planning permission for specified developments or types of development (neighbourhood development orders and community right to build orders). Of these, neighbourhood plans have been by far the most popular. (For further information on neighbourhood plans, see section above.)
North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan Referendum Area

The Referendum area is identified on the map below. This is the same as the area of North Runcton & West Winch Parishes, and the North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan area.
Voting in the Referendum

The Referendum area

The Referendum area is identified on the map shown on Page 11 as the parish areas of North Runcton & West Winch and is identical to the area which has been designated as the North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan area.

Referendum Expenses

The Referendum expenses limit that will apply in relation to the Referendum is £2,362, plus the number of persons entitled to vote in the Referendum by reference to which that limit has been calculated i.e number of entries in the current register (2,747) x 5.9p.

Specified Documents

A copy of the specified documents, that is the documents listed below, may be inspected at the following:-

- Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk, King’s Court, Chapel Street, King’s Lynn PE30 1EX between the hours of 9.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday – Thursday and 9.00 am - 4.45 pm on Friday. Tel: (01553) 616281 or email register.electors@west-norfolk.gov.uk.

The specified documents are:-

- The draft North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan
- A statement that sets out general information as to town and country planning including neighbourhood planning and the Referendum
- Report of the Independent Examiner
- Summary of the representations submitted to the Independent Examiner
- Statement by the Local Planning Authority that the Draft Plan meets the basic conditions

All of the above documents can be viewed on the Council’s website.
Can I Vote?

You can vote in the Referendum if you live in the Parishes of North Runcton & West Winch and:-

- You are registered to vote in Local Government Elections, and
- You are 18 years of age or over on Thursday 28 September 2017.

You have to be registered to vote by Tuesday 12 September 2017 to vote in the Referendum. You can check if you are registered to vote by calling (01553) 616773 or 616200.

The Referendum will be conducted in accordance with the procedures which are similar to those used at Local Government Elections.

Ways of Voting

There are three ways of voting:-

In person on 28 September 2017

- Most people vote in person at their local polling station. It is easy and the staff on duty will always help if you are not sure what to do.
- In North Runcton the polling station is: The Village Meeting Place, The Green, North Runcton, King’s Lynn PE33 0RB (for electors in North Runcton (North Runcton Ward) SR1)
- In West Winch the polling station is: West Winch Village Hall, William Burt Centre, West Winch, King’s Lynn PE33 0JY (for electors in North Runcton (Hardwick Ward) SS6, West Winch (West Winch Ward) SS1 and West Winch (Setchey Ward) SS2)
- You will receive a poll card telling you that this is your polling station.
- If you do not receive your poll card you can contact Electoral Services on (01553) 616773 to find out where your polling station is.
- The polling stations will be open from 7 am to 10 pm.
- If you are not in the queue for a ballot paper by 10pm you will not be able to vote; so make sure you arrive in plenty of time.

By post

- To vote by post you need to complete an application form and send it to Electoral Services, Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk,
King’s Court, Chapel Street, KING’S LYNN PE30 1EX to arrive by 5 pm on Wednesday 13 September 2017.

- Ballot papers can be sent overseas, but you need to think about whether you will have time to receive and return your ballot papers by 10 pm on Thursday 28 September 2017.
- You should receive your Postal Vote about a week before polling day. If it does not arrive in time, you can get a replacement up to 5 pm on Thursday 28 September 2017.

By Proxy

- If you cannot go to the polling station, and do not wish to vote by post, you may be able to vote by proxy. This means allowing somebody you trust to vote on your behalf.
- To vote by proxy, you need to complete an application form and send it to Electoral Services, Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk, King’s Court, Chapel Street, KING’S LYNN PE30 1EX to arrive by 5 pm on Wednesday 20 September 2017. This is for a new Proxy only. Changes to existing arrangements need to be made by 5 pm on Wednesday 13 September 2017.
- When you apply for a proxy vote you must say why you cannot vote in person.
- Anyone can be your proxy as long as they are eligible to vote and are willing to vote on your behalf. You will have to tell them how you want to vote.

Postal and proxy vote application forms are available from Electoral Services on (01553) 616773 or by downloading from the Council’s website at www.west-norfolk.gov.uk.

Am I Registered to Vote?

- If you are not registered you will not be able to vote.
- If you are not on the Electoral Register, you will need to complete an Invitation to Register form and send it to Electoral Services, Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk, King’s Court, Chapel Street, KING’S LYNN PE30 1EX to arrive no later than Tuesday 12 September 2017.

Registration forms are available from Electoral Services on (01553) 616773 or alternatively you can register yourself at www.gov.uk/registertovote.

How to find out more

14
• Further general information on neighbourhood planning is available on the following websites www.gov.uk/neighbourhood-planning and https://www.west-norfolk.gov.uk/info/20127/neighbourhood_plans

• For queries about planning issues, please contact the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk, Planning Policy on (01553) 616200. For queries about the Referendum and voting please contact Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk, Electoral Services on (01553) 616773 or email: register.electors@west-norfolk.gov.uk.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan sets out a vision, supported by planning policies, that will help guide development in both parishes for the period until 2026 and beyond.

[1.1] The Government introduced neighbourhood plans following the Localism Act of 2011. Neighbourhood plans are not mandatory, but can be undertaken by communities who feel strongly about how future planning processes and development will occur in their area. Neighbourhood plans are developed through a process of community consultation but they must follow higher level planning policy set by central government and the local planning authority. Neighbourhood plans have to be approved by an independent planning examiner and agreed by the community through a local referendum.

Why did North Runcton and West Winch need a Neighbourhood Plan?

[1.2] In 2011 the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk (BCKLWN) adopted their Local Development Framework Core Strategy, setting out a spatial planning framework for the entire Borough until 2026. In this document, a large area south-east of King’s Lynn (falling within the parishes of North Runcton and West Winch) was identified for major urban expansion – with 1,600 dwellings proposed for the period to 2026. These proposals have subsequently been developed by BCKLWN in their ‘Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan’ (adopted September 2016).

[1.3] Parish Councils are unable to alter these policy decisions by the higher planning authorities. However, by preparing a Neighbourhood Plan (NP) they can help ‘shape’ proposed development and try to make the proposals more acceptable for local residents. In 2012 the Parish Councils of West Winch and North Runcton agreed to work together to prepare an NP covering the entire area of both parishes. The NP has been developed by a steering group including Parish Councillors and other interested residents.

[1.4] Preparation of the NP has involved collaboration and consultation with a wide variety of agencies, landowners and their representatives, community groups, local businesses and residents. The NP steering group obtained assistance from the Prince’s Foundation organisation, Locality and Planning Aid England, who were able to offer independent expertise and advice on a variety of planning matters and funding from the Community Development Foundation. The steering group would like to thank all those people who have assisted in the preparation of the NP.

What will the Neighbourhood Plan do?

[1.5] The NP provides a policy framework that will not only help to define future development in the area, but also aims to nurture a vibrant, integrated community, an attractive, healthy environment and a thriving local economy. However, the NP can only provide guidance in these matters. It will remain essential that all residents and stakeholders embrace the spirit of the plan and work together over the coming years to support and develop the aims and objectives it sets out.
2. COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT

Shared vision for the future

[2.1] The neighbourhood planning process provides people with an opportunity to develop a ‘shared vision’ for their future community. However, in planning there is often need for compromise – inevitably we have needed to agree plans and policies that are considered best for ‘most of the people most of the time’.

Consultation and evidence gathering

[2.2] Community consultation about potential development in West Winch and North Runcton began with public workshops in 2010. Since then further consultation events and focus groups have been held with residents, landowners, developer groups, community groups, local businesses and other interested parties. All residents were asked to complete a questionnaire to identify local needs and aspirations in 2012, whilst many people attended a weekend exhibition and commented at length on draft policies in 2013 and 2015.

[2.3] Throughout the process, draft plans, policies and issues concerning the development of the NP have been widely publicised through parish council meetings, local newsletters and on the parish websites. A full consultation statement is provided as one of the supporting documents to the NP.

[2.4] When adopted, the NP will form part of the official Development Plan for North Runcton and West Winch, sitting alongside the BCKLWN Local Plan (consisting of the Core Strategy and the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan). All three documents will then guide development in the parishes within the period until 2026 and beyond.
3. ABOUT NORTH RUNCTON AND WEST WINCH PARISHES

General context

[3.1] The NP addresses the entire area of both West Winch parish (approximately 8 sq km) and North Runcton parish (approximately 6 sq km). West Winch village features ‘clusters’ of settlement including ‘old’ West Winch (centred around the medieval church of St Mary’s), several 20th-century housing estates and the hamlet of Setchey in the south. North Runcton is a smaller settlement lying to the east of West Winch and centred on New Road, Rectory Lane, Common Lane, All Saints Church and a small village green. Both West Winch and North Runcton parishes include linear development along the A10. The Hardwick roundabout, a section of the A149 and commercial development to the north (Hardwick Industrial Estate) also falls partly within North Runcton parish.

[3.2] BCKLWN’s Landscape Character Assessment (2007) describes the area as Farmland with Woodland and Wetland, a ‘medium-scale mixed farming landscape, flat to gently undulating with some woodland and open water’. This description is most appropriate for the landscape around North Runcton and the landscapes towards Middleton and Bawsey to the north-east. However, the west and south of West Winch parish falls within the flat, open ‘fenland’ character type and West Winch can be said to be a ‘fen edge’ settlement – having grown on the higher ground adjacent to the rich, low lying fenland associated with the River Nar valley and the Great Ouse further to the west.

Figure 1.0.
The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan Area.

The Neighbourhood Plan area covers the full extent of North Runcton Parish (blue) and West Winch Parish (red).
Historical development

[3.3] Pre-historic finds in the area indicate early colonisation. Remains of Iron Age settlement have been found in parts of North Runcton, and the A10 corridor is likely to have been a principal route into North Norfolk for the Romans. There is some evidence that areas of common land in both parishes may date from Saxon times. Both North Runcton and West Winch were recorded in the Domesday Book.

[3.4] The Gurney banking family had a large country house and owned surrounding farmland at North Runcton in the 19th century – although the house was demolished in the 1960s. In the 1920s West Winch was the location of a short-lived ‘oil rush’ when underlying shales were thought to contain substantial oil reserves. A brewery once operated at Setchey, whilst a substantial Royal Engineers service base was located on the A10 in the Second World War (now ‘Coolstak’). From the late 1960s both West Winch and North Runcton became favoured dormitory villages for King’s Lynn resulting in substantial residential growth. This late 20th-century housing now characterises large parts of both villages, but a scattering of older buildings, some utilising carrstone, pantile and local bricks, provide traditional local character.

North Runcton and West Winch today

[3.5] Today the setting of North Runcton and West Winch is still predominantly rural in character, with the main settlements set within large areas of arable land and including some substantial blocks of permanent pasture. In 2013 the populations of West Winch and North Runcton were approximately 2,695 and 549 respectively. ONS statistics for 2010 indicate a relatively high proportion of over 65s with less than 15% children under 15 years of age.

Environment. [3.6] There are small historic core areas in both villages centred near the churches and there are other scattered features of heritage interest in both parishes. As well as the churches, there are six other Grade II listed buildings in the Neighbourhood Plan area but no designated conservation areas. Mature trees add to village character, especially at North Runcton, with several Tree Preservation Orders in both parishes. Other features of local environmental significance include two Sites of Special Scientific Interest (the River Nar and an area of geodiversity at Setchey), four County Wildlife Sites (including the entire West Winch Common), ponds, ditches, tracks and lanes and a notable number of veteran trees. As noted above, both parishes have extensive grazing commons.

[3.7] The Neighbourhood Plan area has a varied geology – but much of it is underlain by clay. This and low-lying topography have led to localised flooding issues in the past. A study undertaken on behalf of the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group, The North Runcton / West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy (2014) 1, has highlighted the importance of east–west drainage courses – as virtually the entire area drains first to the Puny Drain at the south and western edge of West Winch, and ultimately to the River Ouse. The study also highlighted the relatively poor drainage infrastructure relating to some previous

---

developments, the lack of records of previous drainage infrastructure and the lack of regular maintenance to important drainage features such as ditches.

[3.8] Other significant infrastructure in the local landscape include an overhead National Grid power line and two high pressure gas pipelines. The gas pipelines each have specific health and safety exclusion zones within which certain types of development are not permitted. The pipeline located furthest south referenced by Transco as pipeline 4073502 and sharing a corridor with pipeline 7458 (refer to Figure 3 – Local Service Infrastructure) has an ‘inner zone’ of 118 m; a ‘middle zone’ of 190 m and an ‘outer zone’ of 385 m – as measured on each side of the pipeline. The northern pipeline (referenced 7463) has an ‘inner zone’ of 82 m, a ‘middle zone’ of 205 m and an ‘outer zone’ of 285 m measured on either side of the pipeline.

[3.9] The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) advise that the ‘inner zone’ is not compatible with any new housing allocations and that the ‘middle zone’ is only compatible with housing developments of up to and including 30 dwellings (in total) at a density of no more than 40 per hectare. However, the ‘outer zone’ is compatible with housing of more than 30 dwelling units.

[3.10] In summary, the southern gas pipeline will create a building exclusion zone 236 metres wide where no housing will be permitted, with an additional 72 metres either side where housing numbers will be restricted. Adjacent to the northern pipeline, the exclusion zone will be 164 metres wide where no housing will be permitted with a further 123 metres where housing will be restricted. In terms of other types of development, the HSE advises against schools in the inner and middle zones and against schools larger than 3 hectares in the outer zone.

Roads and transport. [3.11] The A10 and the A47 are important elements of the regional road network although only the A47 is classed as a regional trunk road. Both roads are influential in defining the character of West Winch and North Runcton. The high level of traffic², and especially the high number of large goods vehicles, adversely affect the settlements – especially along the A10.

[3.12] The Hardwick roundabout is a key junction in the regional network and a gateway to King’s Lynn. It is prone to rush hour and seasonal congestion that regularly backs up on the adjoining roads, especially the A10, leading to several miles of slow-moving tailbacks through both parishes. Residents have expressed great concern about the impact of additional development in the parishes that will increase traffic on these main road routes.

[3.14] Although the area is used for recreation and exercise (walking / jogging / cycling / riding), in reality there are few off-road public paths. Grazing commons provide limited public access. The busy main roads tend to deter non-vehicular access and cycle path provision is limited.

² Figures provided from previous studies suggest that the average daily vehicle count for the A10 is presently around 18,000 and for the A47, 14,000. Seasonal effects are notable (e.g. holiday traffic to North Norfolk in the summer and HGV traffic to Wissington sugar beet factory in winter).
Figure 2.0.
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Community and culture. [3.15] Twenty-seven community-related organisations were initially identified in the two parishes and a range of entertainments are regularly provided at the William Burt Social Centre.

[3.16] Existing community buildings include the William Burt Social Club at West Winch and the Village Meeting Place at North Runcton, both well used. Two Anglican churches have small congregations and the large Plymouth Brethren community have one large meeting hall in West Winch and a smaller one in North Runcton. Scouts and Guides have a hall and campsite at North Runcton. Popular sports clubs include football and bowls, tennis and cricket. West Winch has a children’s play area. In 2016 there is a public house (The Winch) on the A10, although this has opened and closed several times in recent years.

[3.17] Currently there are no GP practices in either parish and the nearest surgeries are Watlington and King’s Lynn. Although the Queen Elizabeth Hospital is only 3 km away there is no direct bus service. There is a private dental practice at Leete Way, West Winch.

Schools. [3.18] West Winch primary school is a popular local school located in the heart of the village. North Runcton has no school and falls within the catchment for Middleton primary school. The principal catchment secondary school for both villages is the King’s Lynn Academy.

Employment/businesses. [3.19] Within the NP area there are two main areas of employment land. One is the Hardwick Industrial Estate which includes a large Sainsbury store and Pinguin Foods — a vegetable packing firm. As at 2016, outline planning consent existed for an additional 26 Ha extension to the industrial estate (falling mainly within North Runcton), 12 Ha of which has consent for retail, business and hotel development. The second notable employment area is at Garage Lane, Setchey, at the southern end of West Winch, which includes construction, light industrial and retail related businesses.

[3.20] Other businesses in the plan area include a large haulage and cold-store firm, fuel station, pub and Chinese restaurant all along the A10, and a caravan/campsite in North Runcton. There is a post office, convenience store, carpet warehouse, chip shop and other small retail outlets at West Winch. The essentially agricultural setting means there are a variety of farms and farm-based businesses — including haulage, poultry and diversified enterprises within farm buildings. Consultation has also established that there is a variety of home-based business locally, including professional services and trades.
Figure 3.0.
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4. PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT

Compliance with existing and emerging planning policy

[4.1] Although the local community decide what is included in the Neighbourhood Plan (NP), the final document must comply with certain ‘basic conditions’ as set out in the Localism Act 2011. This includes ‘higher level’ planning policy such as the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and certain international obligations (including human rights and habitats regulations). The NP must also comply with the adopted strategic policy of the local planning authority (BCKLWN) – currently the 2011 Core Strategy and the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (2016).

[4.2] In the BCKLWN Core Strategy, West Winch is identified as a ‘Settlement adjacent to King’s Lynn’ where ‘Development will take place … where it can demonstrate a positive impact on the adjacent Sub Regional Centre/Main Town and which will assist in both maintaining and enhancing the provision of services, employment and local retail needs’ (Policy CS02). North Runcton is identified as a ‘smaller village’, with ‘little or no services’. ‘It would be inappropriate to seek further development in these places’ (CS – 6.1.14).

[4.3] An area straddling both parishes is defined for urban expansion south-east of King’s Lynn, including at least 1,600 new homes by 2026, and establishing ‘a direction of future growth to meet anticipated future need beyond the current plan period’ (Policy CS09). While the Borough’s plans refer to the area as ‘West Winch’, it also includes significant land within the parish of North Runcton.

[4.4] The NP has also taken into account the BCKLWN Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (SADMP, adopted September 2016), and also the evidence documents underpinning that plan which include amongst others, the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Landscape Character Assessment (2007), the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Green Infrastructure Strategy (2009/2010) and the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (2011, updated 2014).

[4.5] The SADMP sets out strategic policy for a West Winch Growth Area and provides an indicative plan of that area (refer to Figure 4.0). The SADMP states that this is a land area of 192 Ha which will provide ‘strategic outcomes’ including a minimum of 1,600 new dwellings and one hectare of employment land with associated facilities and infrastructure in the period to 2026. (Further consideration of the BCKLWN strategic policy for West Winch and North Runcton is provided below.)

[4.6] Additional local evidence has been obtained from bodies including Norfolk County Council, Norfolk Wildlife Trust, National Grid Transco, Anglia Water, the Highways Agency, the local Internal Drainage Boards, landowners and local community groups and organisations. As noted previously, the NP Steering Group also commissioned the North Runcton/West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy (2014) to help identify appropriate planning considerations for drainage in the area.
Figure 4.0. Plan defining the BCKLWN proposed West Winch Growth Area
(from the Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan, adopted September 2016)
BCKLWN strategic planning policy for the West Winch Growth Area

[4.7] The BCKLWN Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan provides the following strategic context for the Neighbourhood Plan:

- 1,600 homes up to 2026 is a minimum requirement established by the Core Strategy and the strategic allocation is intended to set a direction of future growth beyond 2026.
- The proposed development will be focused in three distinct areas (or ‘neighbourhoods’). These will be: near the A47 at Constitution Hill; in an expanded ‘neighbourhood’ around ‘old’ West Winch; and, at a third site located south of Chequers Lane. In order to focus new development and reduce adverse building impacts, the NP favours only permitting development at Constitution Hill and ‘old’ West Winch in the period until 2026, retaining the southern area as farmland.
- The new ‘neighbourhoods’ will be separated by protected ‘green space’. Green space will serve multifunctional purposes including recreation and public amenity, surface water management, nature conservation and non-vehicular access corridors. Greenspace areas could also include retained agricultural land. Safety buffer zones associated with the gas pipelines will be incorporated into this non-development land.
- A new north–south road corridor will be developed (the ‘relief road’) in an attempt to reduce expected traffic impacts to the A10, and to provide vehicular access and distribution for new development areas.
- Development proposals for the Growth Area will be guided by an adopted ‘Infrastructure Delivery Plan’ (agreed by BCKLWN and stakeholders) which will define phasing, funding and detailed requirements for transport, environmental and community infrastructure.

How the Neighbourhood Plan will contribute to policy

[4.8] The NP will play an important role in shaping the proposals set out by the BCKLWN Local Plan documents, providing a more detailed framework within which development will come forward. The NP identifies the locations where the community would prefer such development to take place – creating opportunities to enhance existing settlement structure, improve existing infrastructure, utilise brownfield land, and, where possible, safeguard good agricultural land and landscape assets.

[4.9] The NP also provides general policy for North Runcton village and other areas outside of the proposed BCKLWN ‘growth area’. Policies in the Neighbourhood Plan are therefore divided into ‘Whole Area’ policies and ‘Growth Area’ policies.

[4.10] To summarise, the NP will help to define:

- detailed site planning policy and development boundaries
- local policy on green infrastructure provision, sustainability requirements and open space planning, including sustainable urban drainage
- additional guidance on the design and character of buildings, spaces and corridors – and separate ‘neighbourhoods’ within the two parishes
- local transport infrastructure, including public transport provision and cycle and footpath networks
• community facilities, including schools and other provision
• employment areas, including local retail.

[4.11] In particular, the NP policies help to underpin three aspects of sustainable development that are central to the National Planning Policy Framework:

• **an economic role** – contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy
• **a social role** – supporting a strong, vibrant and healthy community, and
• **an environmental role** – contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment.

Orchids are presently common at Constitution Hill.
5. THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN VISION, AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The vision
West Winch and North Runcton will be two closely linked but separate parishes that are popular and attractive places to live. They will remain distinct from King’s Lynn and will be characterised by a predominantly rural setting. They will have a range of excellent facilities allowing residents of all ages to live, work, play and contribute fully to the ongoing success of the communities.

Aims (what we hope to achieve)

General. To support the development of high quality, sustainable and successful new neighbourhoods whilst nurturing and enhancing the lives of existing residents in West Winch and North Runcton. To safeguard the unique and distinctive physical and cultural assets of the parishes, using these to shape the future environment and community.

Environment. To create a network of green spaces and corridors that sustain a sense of rural living and are utilised and respected by everyone. To sustain and safeguard agriculture in the parish in tandem with improved rural access and recreational opportunities for village residents whilst supporting local wildlife.

Social. To nurture a diverse and supportive community with excellent internal communication and close links to neighbouring communities. To ensure there are spaces, institutions and events where the whole community can meet and socialise.

Economy. To provide a setting that fosters sustainable and rewarding jobs and supports education and skills development. To ensure that residential expansion is supported by commensurate local employment opportunities.

Transport. To enable local people to go about their business in a timely manner. To reduce the domination of through-traffic on residents’ day-to-day lives. To provide an excellent network of road, cycle and footpaths that allow genuine choice in future transport options and mitigate against excessive road traffic and car dependency.

Sustainability. To provide new housing which is high quality in plan, size and materials, adapted to the expected results of climate change and suitable for the whole-life needs of residents.
Objectives (how the plan will achieve its aims)

Environment. Identify and protect natural and cultural environmental assets. Where development will result in loss or degradation of assets, ensure there will be adequate sustainable compensation. The NP policies promote ‘joined-up thinking’ so that environmental assets can, where possible, be ‘multi-functional’ with, for example, benefits for recreation, local biodiversity, drainage, access provision and landscape amenity. Policies encourage community use of green space and community involvement in management. The Plan seeks to ensure that long-term management and maintenance requirements are identified and provided for. The Plan requires appropriate development design so that sustainable ‘breaks’ are established between settlements.

Social. The Plan seeks to ensure that new development brings new community facilities that can benefit all residents and bring people together. Policies aim to ensure that key social centres such as primary schools, community halls and health facilities are established at an early stage of development so as to foster social links and organisations.

Economy. The Plan aims to encourage planning applications that create sustainable job opportunities without adverse impacts on the environmental, social or cultural character of the community. It supports home working and small-scale business. Policy encourages development that will support local shops, trades and services.

Transport. The Plan seeks to mitigate traffic and environmental problems on the A10 and A47, encouraging a future road hierarchy that will reduce the impact of proposed development. Policies encourage and support traffic calming measures. High standards of public transport, cycle path and footpath infrastructure are encouraged.

Dedicated cycle lanes (such as this one in Cambridgeshire) can provide direct, safe and fast routes between villages.
6. POLICIES FOR THE WHOLE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN AREA

The following policies must be considered by anyone preparing a planning application within the parishes of North Runcton and West Winch.

Retaining ‘rural’ character

[6.1] Consultation has confirmed that residents do not wish to be part of ‘greater King’s Lynn’ but wish to retain ‘village character’ and an ostensibly rural backdrop to settlement wherever possible. The existing settlement pattern and building styles can help to influence new development, whilst the historic core of the existing villages should be protected and enhanced.

[6.2] Although the existing settlements of West Winch and North Runcton predominantly constitute late 20th-century buildings with a mixture of architectural character, some older buildings incorporate more traditional building materials (notably carrstone and pantile) that could be used to create distinctive character in new development – especially for keynote buildings. The density of existing settlement is low (seldom greater than 20 dwellings per hectare and on average much lower). This and the openness afforded by views to paddocks, commons and agricultural land provide a rural village character that is valued by residents. (Some key local views are illustrated in the supporting document, Sites of Special Local Value.)
[6.3] The policies in this section seek to:

- retain views to open farmland and the wider countryside
- ensure new development provides for access to ‘rural’ paths and ‘natural’ landscapes
- ensure ‘rural’ elements in the landscape including large trees, native hedgerows, grass road verges and managed ditches are sustainably retained
- ensure that new development is sensitively integrated into the existing rural setting – with special consideration for development boundaries adjacent to open countryside.

[6.4] Settlement character can also be protected and enhanced by considering the spatial arrangement and design of buildings, street layout, access provision and the relationship with landscape areas. Retaining the ‘open’ character of existing settlement, rather than allowing gradual infill and urban ‘intensification’ is seen as important.

**Protecting sites of local value**

[6.5] Following consultation with local residents, a number of particular sites and areas have been identified as locally important environmental assets that are considered to either make a notable contribution to landscape and settlement character, or to have biodiversity, heritage or cultural values, or to contribute in some other way to the quality and diversity of the local environment. Residents wish to see these ‘assets’ safeguarded or appropriately compensated if losses or changes occur. Some are specific sites and features and others are more generalised areas which, by not being developed, enhance the quality and setting of adjacent settlement.

[6.6] Listed buildings and Tree Preservation Orders (TPOs) are not included in this list as it is assumed that existing legislation safeguards these sites. Commons are included, as although it is unlikely that they would ever be available for building, their setting, access connections and sustainable retention as grazing pasture could be adversely affected by insensitive development.
Figure 5.0
Sites of Local Value
The areas illustrated on Figure 5.0 are identified as sites of local value. Where development proposals come forward that could directly or indirectly impact on these assets, a sequential approach shall be applied to their protection:

- first, and most preferable, retain, enhance and create sustainable features of these assets
- second, mitigate potential adverse impacts to them – including consideration of secondary impacts such as pollution, changes to drainage, visitor pressures and severance of ecological corridors
- as a last resort, adequately compensate for the loss or degradation of these features and their ecological value, where it is concluded that such losses and adverse impacts are inevitable and unavoidable.

### A. Sites of landscape character value including views

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A1</th>
<th>Land adjacent to Long Lane and Hall Lane, West Winch. This corridor separates the ‘Bovis Estate’ from central West Winch and contains playing fields (refer to B1) and farmland. It provides a visual corridor between the A10 and fenland to the west. Identified by community consultation. This land is also a gas pipeline corridor where development will be restricted. Retain to ensure separation of neighbourhoods.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>Land south of the Old Windmill, West Winch that contains farmland and paddocks and helps break up linear development along the A10. Identified by consultation. This land is within the strategic allocation area but development will be restricted due to the gas pipeline corridor (refer to Figure 3.0).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>A narrow corridor of land either side of the A10, and north of Ivy Farmhouse, featuring paddocks, hedges, mature trees and part of an important drainage course that extends east to Illington Lane, North Runcton. Identified by consultation and drainage surveys (refer to Surface Water Management Strategy). It is suggested that a sustainable surface water drainage function must be retained here. The meadows west of the A10 are also a County Wildlife Site (See C1 below).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A4</td>
<td>Mature trees, hedges and verge along the A47 road corridor between New Road, North Runcton and the Hardwick Roundabout. Important to the character of the local landscape and approach to King’s Lynn – especially where the road is elevated and prominent in views from the north. If it becomes necessary for mature vegetation to be removed here, it would be essential that adequate sustainable replacement planting is provided (notwithstanding that some veteran trees may be irreplaceable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A5</td>
<td>The open landscape between the A47 and Illington Lane, North Runcton. Existing open farmland that is important in sustaining the rural setting of North Runcton and a rural approach to King’s Lynn along the A47. Also identified in the BCKLWN SADMP – ‘Strategic Concept’ plan.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**A6** The open landscape south of the existing village of North Runcton – including paddocks, woodland and farmland, much of which is elevated and prominent in views from the Nar Valley and areas further south. Settlement expansion here would undermine the rural setting of the village and would also be likely to cause adverse traffic impacts to the community.

**A7** Paddocks and arable land between Rectory Lane and Common Lane, North Runcton – with mature trees and hedges, providing an extension to the character of North Runcton common and an important backdrop to the village. Identified in consultation.

**A8** The ‘rural’ sections and features of Rectory Lane, Common Lane, the ‘Twisty Twiney’, Setch Road, and Watering Lane, where mature trees, roadside hedgerows and grass verges are important to local landscape character. Identified in consultation.

**A9** Grass area with West Winch village sign and tree planting, east of A10, south of Chequers Lane – enhances local amenity. Identified in consultation.

**A10** Land south-west of Gravelhill Lane and South of Elmtree Drive. A large area of low-lying grassland that provides a rural outlook for residents and extends the ‘green corridor’ of West Winch common. Identified in consultation. This area is within the strategic allocation area but any development plans should aim to retain views and access to open countryside and to include rural features.

**A11** The predominantly open corridor along the Nar Valley west of Setch Bridge and east towards Blackborough End. Retain remaining open landscape adjacent to River. Identified in consultation.

**A12** Cholmondley/Blick Close grassed amenity area. Identified in consultation.

**B. Sites of sports, recreation and amenity value**

**B1** William Burt Centre Playing Field – important recreational and social centre and contributing to local ‘open’ setting (part of A1).

**B2** Leete Way Play Area – a small equipped play area enclosed by a fence and used regularly by local children.

**B3** Row Hill Play Area – this is a small grassed area in the centre of a large estate providing green space for local residents.

**B4** Public footpath from Common Lane to Rectory Lane, to Sheep’s Course Wood, North Runcton (passing through A5/A7). It is considered important to preserve and expand the local footpath network.

**B5** North Runcton Cricket Field, New Road. Privately owned but important landmark and open space at the centre of the village.
B6  Footpaths across West Winch, Hardwick Narrows and North Runcton Commons – including access points beyond the common land. Important local footpath network.

B7  Old railway track and bridge under the A47. This route provides important sections of a ‘ready-made’ cycle and pedestrian corridor between the proposed growth area and potentially King’s Lynn, Bawsey and other destinations. (The railway track is protected in BCKLWN SADMP Policy DM13.)

C.  **Sites of nature conservation value**

C1  The Meadow, north of Coronation Avenue, West Winch (County Wildlife Site 2079 with some adjacent land set aside for horse grazing), and Rush Meadow (County Wildlife Site 399), which is actually several meadows west of the A10. Opportunity to enhance biodiversity.

C2  West Winch, North Runcton, Hardwick Narrows and Setchey Grazing Commons. (These areas are also important in terms of landscape value and, where paths exist, recreation value.) Many areas of common land are thought to be Saxon or older. (West Winch Common is County Wildlife Site 390).

C3  Sheep’s Course Wood, North Runcton. This is common land, a County Wildlife Site (2265), a local landmark and includes a public right-of-way. Important to protect the woodland from development and likely increased visitor impacts.

C4  Fringes of Hopkins proposed development site (Constitution Hill) including mature and maturing trees, remnant hedgerows, a large pond, species rich grassland and a low-lying semi-wetland area at the north-west corner. Botanical surveys for Hopkins and by others have confirmed these areas are especially rich in species and worthy of retention. Retaining and enhancing these assets, ideally within a network of green corridors linking into the wider landscape, will be essential to help compensate for the extensive loss of other habitat within the main Hopkins site.
Preserving local heritage

[6.7] There are a number of properties and features within the NP area that appear not to have heritage designation protection of any kind but are regarded, at least locally, as having heritage significance. Within the Borough no ‘local listing’ process has yet been adopted, but it is hoped that this approach (which is supported by Historic England) will eventually be embraced by BCKLWN and that the following places and features would form the basis of a ‘local list’ for West Winch and North Runcton.

POLICY WA02: Sites with local heritage interest

The following sites have been identified as non-designated heritage assets, valued locally as having significant interest: (Also refer to Figure 5.0).

D1 Manor Farm Moat and paddock, south of West Winch Church on the eastern side of the A10. Medieval moated enclosure and important to the setting of West Winch Church.

D2 The Pound, Watering Lane, West Winch is owned by the West Winch Commoners and was used by farmers as a compound for their animals. Post medieval (?). Identified in consultation.

D3 Historic walls adjacent New Road in North Runcton that are related to the former North Runcton Hall. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.

D4 The former primary school at North Runcton, now the village meeting place. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.

D5 The ‘Church Rooms’ (former school) West Winch. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.

D6 ‘Church Green’ – at School Lane, North Runcton. This open space and the ‘informal’ nature of the unadopted roadway is considered important to the character of central North Runcton and especially the setting of the Grade I listed church.

D7 The Lodge and grounds with mature trees, Rectory Lane, North Runcton. This house is Grade II listed and is probably the oldest dwelling in the Neighbourhood Plan area. However, the setting of large gardens with mature trees also requires protection.

Any proposals which would impact the significance of a non-designated heritage asset must be supported by a Statement of Significance describing the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets’ importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance.
Ensuring local distinctiveness – natural heritage

[6.8] Local consultation has identified the importance that local residents attach to ‘natural heritage’ in the local landscape (including trees, woodland, hedges, ponds, ditches, banks, and established grassland). Such features are particularly valued as many have already been lost though modern agriculture and settlement expansion – especially hedgerows, ditches and mature trees. Continued loss of such features through new development and infill of existing settlement is likely to harm landscape character and local biodiversity. NPPF, (paragraph 118) states; ‘if significant harm resulting from a development cannot be avoided (through locating on an alternative site with less harmful impacts), adequately mitigated, or, as a last resort, compensated for, then planning permission should be refused.’

[6.9] In considering what might constitute acceptable ‘compensation’, it can be difficult to agree an appropriate specification – especially where trees or hedges might be hundreds of years old and arguably cannot be replaced. Sustainable replacement of features requires adequate space for growth and/or future maintenance. Tree replacement requirements introduced successfully in Bristol are becoming regarded as a new benchmark policy and are adopted below.

POLICY WA03: Protecting and replacing natural features

Where a site includes trees, hedges, ponds, ditches, banks, established grassland or other natural landscape features, planning applications shall be supported when they are accompanied by:

- Professional survey reports undertaken to the appropriate standards (e.g. in 2016 – arboricultural reports to BS5837:2012 and ecological reports to BS42020:2013) identifying the landscape and biodiversity features of value on and adjoining the subject site.

- Plans showing how the subsequent layout and design of the development has been informed by the survey.

- Management plans to show how these features will be protected and sustained for the long term in the new environment, or indicate how features to be lost will be adequately compensated.

Where features will be lost, compensatory provision will be required. Where the developer cannot replace such features within the application site, a negotiated contribution shall be made to a BCKLWN administered fund that will provide for habitat provision and open space management within the Neighbourhood Plan area.
New landscaping schemes should provide a varied, robust and sustainable species selection – considering factors such as the impact of future climate change and new pests and diseases. Provision for biodiversity such as bat and bird boxes will be strongly supported.

**Sustainable urban drainage (‘SuDs’)**

[6.10] Specific environmental concerns have been raised by residents regarding drainage in the area owing to past episodes of local flooding. Large parts of the NP area are underlain with clay. Drainage and surface water management has been problematic. Much of the ‘growth area’ lies on higher ground but will drain towards lower-lying existing settlement. Climate change is predicted to increase the occurrence of extreme weather events and surface water management systems must be robust and dependable.

[6.11] The *North Runcton and West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy*, April 2014, (SWMS) was commissioned to inform the Neighbourhood Plan and found that previous development in the locality has not allowed sufficient capacity for run-off in extreme weather events; that key drainage infrastructure is missing or poorly maintained; and that records of previous drainage infrastructure (if ever made) are now unavailable. Drainage problems and localised flooding have arisen even in relatively new residential estates, resulting in dispute over ditch and drain ownership and management responsibilities.

[6.12] The SWMS has identified a practicable and robust approach to achieving an appropriate strategic drainage system for the entire NP area – assuming significant new development in the ‘growth area’. Drainage infrastructure could be integrated into an open space network. It will be essential that detailed design for individual sites and buildings incorporate best practice guidance for sustainable urban drainage to support the overall strategy. Integration of sustainable drainage into new development is promoted in the NPPF and BCKLWN Core Strategy (CS08).

[6.13] The NP area (and land within the ‘growth area’) falls into two catchments managed by two Internal Drainage Boards (IDBs). The IDBs have expert knowledge of local drainage but are not statutory consultees in the planning system. The BCKLWN Local Plan now requires them to be consulted.

---

‘Plan and build drainage network BEFORE building starts.'

Comment from consultation – June 2013
## POLICY WA04: Providing sustainable drainage

Development proposals will be supported where they can show they have had appropriate regard for:

- Current surface water risk mapping as well as the recommendations set out in the North Runcton and West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy (April 2014), and provide a drainage plan following consultation with the relevant Internal Drainage Board (IDB) and the Lead Flood Authority.

- Good sustainable urban drainage design, commensurate with current best practice design guidance.

- Detailed street and building design, including provision of permeable surfaces, rainwater re-use, green roofs and/or other measures to ensure sustainable water management unless it can be demonstrated that this is not practical.

- Design that will not adversely affect (and, where possible will improve) surface water drainage for properties and land, both ‘upstream’ and/or ‘downstream’ of the development.

## Green infrastructure management planning

[6.14] Sustainable green infrastructure (GI) must be designed so that it can be easily and efficiently managed in the long term. Land ownership and management and maintenance responsibilities must be clear from the outset. It is anticipated that local government will eventually adopt ‘green infrastructure’ and manage it in the long term – with funding provided from the Council Tax stream. However, in the shorter term it will be expected that developers provide the funding for the establishment and early years management of new green infrastructure, where it forms part of an application.

## POLICY WA05: Providing GI management resources

Applications will be supported where they provide robust arrangements for the future maintenance of all new green infrastructure areas and features (including, but not necessarily limited to, public open space, new habitat provision, surface drainage infrastructure, landscape mitigation areas, pedestrian and cycle paths and related furniture and structures). Where necessary there will be a requirement for future maintenance to be funded for 15 years.
Protecting agricultural land and soils

[6.15] Much of the land within the neighbourhood plan area is classified as agricultural grade 2 and grade 3a (considered ‘best and most versatile land’ – *NPPF paragraph 112*). Local residents have been opposed to the large-scale loss of good agricultural land in the West Winch Growth Area.

[6.16] Although the boundaries of the strategic growth area are defined, the exact boundaries of development are still to be fully determined. For example, the required land-take for the proposed ‘relief road’ is yet to be fixed by design parameters. Unfavourable division of development land parcels, whilst not directly impacting on agricultural land, could leave significant areas unviable for modern agricultural production. Close proximity to settlement can also sometimes restrict agricultural practice. Minimising the loss of good agricultural land should be a principal consideration when finalising the development masterplan for the area (*NPPF, paragraphs 110 and 112*).

[6.17] If opportunities arise to fulfil the Core Strategy goals for housing allocation south-east of King’s Lynn on brownfield land, as opposed to greenfield land, local residents believe this should be considered a preferable option for future growth (as promoted in *NPPF, para 111*).

[6.18] Additionally, topsoil is a finite mineral resource that must be conserved and protected from contamination. Soil conservation is key to protecting local geodiversity and biodiversity (*NPPF, paragraphs 109 and 142*). DEFRA have promoted guidance on soil management in construction areas (*Code of practice for sustainable use of soils on construction sites, 2009*). Developers should follow this guidance – especially when building on greenfield sites with good quality topsoils. Carefully saving and reusing topsoils will be compatible with the NPPF core sustainability principles – for example, providing for local food production (para 17, bullet 9).

**POLICY WA06: Protecting agricultural land and soils**

Where possible, proposals involving the loss of agricultural land should be accompanied by information which demonstrates how the following has been taken into consideration in the development of the proposal:

- Development site boundaries have been defined so as to ensure, as far as possible, the retention of viable parcels of agricultural land adjacent to, and outside of, the development site.

- Where appropriate, development on locally available brownfield sites has been considered over greenfield sites.
• A soil conservation plan has been prepared and will be implemented, setting out how the topsoil resource on the particular site will be conserved and reused, following DEFRA and other best practice guidance.

• Support for future local food production has been considered, e.g. by providing viable gardens for food production (with appropriate soils, aspects, spatial arrangements and access), allotment gardens, and/or opportunities for shared community food production (e.g. orchards).

Ensuring local distinctiveness – appropriate design

[6.19] Local people strongly value the rural character of the existing villages and wish new development to have similar ‘rural’ characteristics. The detailed design of all development will have a bearing on this goal. NPPF (paragraphs 58 and 59 and BCKLWN Core Strategy CS12) promote policies that encourage locally distinctive, high quality design.

[6.20] There is a mix of housing types in the two villages but predominantly bungalows and two-storey detached or semi-detached houses. House types tend to be grouped together forming locally distinct neighbourhoods. Any future development should seek to complement existing residential areas and take account of community views (NPPF Para 66).

[6.21] Many residents treasure the peaceful setting of large areas of both parishes. By contrast, some houses near the A10 and A47 are blighted by constant heavy traffic. In consultation, many residents are concerned about the impact of more traffic and housing and the possible loss of tranquillity. House design should help residents maintain a sense of wellbeing providing a significant level of retreat and privacy for occupants.

[6.22] Being a predominantly rural area, much of West Winch and North Runcton is unlit at night. Especially in North Runcton the dark night sky is greatly appreciated – although the glow from lights in King’s Lynn is apparent and can obscure the northern stars in the local outlook. There is great concern that development within the ‘growth area’, and especially lighting of new roads, will further diminish this treasured asset.
POLICY WA07: Design to protect and enhance local character

Development proposals shall recognise, sustain and develop the distinctive village characteristics of the existing neighbourhoods in relation to building design, spatial layout, height, density, scale, lighting and use of materials. This means:

- New residential development shall generally be no more than 2 storeys in height, unless justified by the immediate surroundings and setting, and of exemplary design.

- Materials used in the construction of dwellings, including boundary design, shall be high quality and respond positively to the characteristics of existing properties. The use of traditional local building materials (local brick types, carrstone, pantile) will be strongly supported. Proposals will be supported when they demonstrate how design detail has been fully considered to ensure good visual outcomes, e.g. that the potential impact of parked cars, meter boxes, downpipes, aerals and dishes, overhead services and the like have all been minimised.

- Boundary demarcation should embrace ‘rural’ character, e.g. by using hedging consisting of mixed native species (hawthorn, blackthorn, field maple, hazel, holly, etc.). Unsympathetic boundary design (e.g. unmitigated security railings or Leylandii hedging) will not be supported.

- Where possible, adequate space should be made for the establishment of larger tree species (as opposed to smaller trees and shrubs) so as to provide more significant amenity value over time.

- Night lighting should be restricted to essential public spaces, corridors and road junctions. All street lighting and other external building and space lighting should be designed to minimise light spillage and energy wastage.

- Demonstrating an adequate level of privacy and protection from noise in relation to neighbouring properties and public spaces.
Enhancing specific local places

[6.23] Consultation with residents identified a particular desire to see new development used to rectify perceived existing ‘planning problems’. Two such areas were identified as the centre of ‘old’ West Winch and the A10 road corridor.

[6.24] The centre of ‘old’ West Winch is defined as an area straddling the A10 and including St Mary’s Church (Grade II* listed), the ‘church rooms’ (former school), Manor Farm and its moat, the post office, the shop, the William Burt Centre and associated playing fields. The primary school and shops on Back Lane lie a little way to the north-west. These features and facilities form a significant existing ‘local centre’ that could be greatly enhanced to provide more for existing and future residents. Consultation in 2012 and 2013 (see Consultation Statement) identified strong support for a better-defined village centre in West Winch with facilities that would include the existing amenities, a new GP surgery/health centre, and a range of additional shops.

[6.25] A significant problem with the existing centre of West Winch is the very narrow A10 road corridor between the church and the shop. The heavy traffic on the road makes a threatening and unsafe environment for pedestrians and a dangerous access to shops for motorists. The Parochial Church Council have reported structural damage to the church and church rooms resulting from traffic vibration from the A10 (refer to Consultation Statement, paragraph 2.25). A site north of the shop has become derelict as there is unsuitable access for development.

[6.26] By re-siting the shop there would be an opportunity to re-align the A10 carriageway, introduce wider pedestrian pavements and enhance the setting of St Mary’s Church. Early workshops in July 2010 with the Prince’s Foundation and Alan Baxter Associates (then working for ZAL) discussed these ideas (refer Consultation Statement).

[6.27] Figure 6.0 indicates proposals that would enhance the centre of West Winch and have the potential to create a more vibrant local centre. The land required for these proposals is partly located within the BCKLWN strategic ‘growth area’. However, land parcels are so closely associated it seems only practical to address the entire area as a whole.
Figure 6.0. Enhancing 'old' West Winch as a local centre: Preferable design options.

A10 Road Improvements: At the centre of West Winch the A10 is presently narrow with serious adverse traffic impacts. By replacing the shop there would be an opportunity to re-align the carriageway, introduce wider pavements and enhance the setting of the church.

+ Improved crossing points are required (red arrows).
+ Road surface design could help promote the sense of this as the 'village centre'.

A: The area marked 'A' is available for development but is presently constrained by poor access. With the road re-aligned this site could be brought forward for a mixed-use development - perhaps with new shop and business units. Enhanced access could include a public space with parking.

B: The areas marked 'B' are land owned by ZAL and are being promoted for future residential development. The farm area marked 'C' has been promoted by ZAL as an opportunity for business and commercial use - which might ideally include a GP and/or other community facilities.

D: ZAL have previously suggested this paddock as a 'village green' and enhanced setting for the church. Additional graveyard space is required.

E: Areas proposed as protected 'green space' - possibly including retained agriculture, drainage corridors and new public open space facilities. These areas include high pressure gas mains and would not be available for building.

Key
- Road improvements.
- Likely development areas.
- Suggested commercial / community facilities.
- Green space.
- Green ‘buffers’. (Envisaged as tree and or hedge planting to enhance the character of road corridors and soften / screen the impact of development)
- Important links. (Pedestrian / Cycle)
POLICY WA08: Enhancing West Winch local centre

Development proposals in and adjacent to the historic village centre should demonstrate, where applicable, how they will contribute towards the key goals of strengthening and enhancing the local centre. This means

- mitigating the impacts of increased road traffic from new development.
- protecting historic buildings and features.
- improving the range of community facilities in scale with new proposed development.
- improving access – especially for pedestrians and cyclists but also with provision for short-term car parking and cycle parking.
- improving facilities for local shopping.
- creating a stronger ‘civic space’ and ‘village centre’ – ideally straddling the A10 and incorporating the presence of the church.

The preferable design options for achieving the above are illustrated on Figure 6.0 and set out in the supporting text below.

Preferable design options that would be supported for West Winch local centre would include:

1. Creating an acceptable access for land north of ‘The Clappers’ (refer to site ‘A’ on plan) to allow redevelopment of this – ideally for a mixed residential / retail development and with a road front civic space that could provide pedestrian priority spaces; short-stay parking; tree planting; public art.
2. A new ‘village green’ south of St Mary’s, also allowing for improved parishioner parking here or close by (refer to ‘D’ on plan).
3. Allowance made for future expansion of the graveyard east of the existing church.
4. Proposed new community facilities at Manor Farm, ideally including a GP surgery (refer to ‘C’ on Plan). The setting of the historic moat could be enhanced.
5. Enhanced pedestrian and cycle links between the local centre and existing and proposed residential development to north, east, south and west. It is envisaged that these will predominantly be dual-use pathways – but could widen to become ‘green infrastructure corridors’ with sufficient space for tree planting away from the centre. Safe clear crossings of the A10 are required (refer to red arrows).

[6.28] By accepting the concept of the ‘relief road’, local residents expect that traffic numbers can be reduced on the section of the A10 from Gravelhill Lane to the Hardwick roundabout, especially the number of large HGV vehicles. This would provide the opportunity for improvements to the environment along the
existing A10 corridor – especially in respect of improving the number of safe crossing points, improving the quality of the ‘cycle path’ (sections of which are narrow and/or feature blind-access to driveways) and hopefully improving the roadside environment, perhaps with tree and hedge planting. Controlling traffic speed at some sections would also be a positive improvement for road safety. Specific proposals for improvement are identified on the accompanying plan Figure 7.0 and provide supporting detail to the more general required outcomes stipulated in the BCKLWN SADMP document.

**POLICY WA09: Enhancing the A10 road corridor**

Development proposals within the neighbourhood plan area that are anticipated to have traffic impacts on the A10 shall provide or help to provide the following improvements to that road corridor:

- The introduction of new safe crossings and wider pedestrian areas at identified sections of the road, from Hardwick to Setchey, and especially at bus stops (refer to Figure 7.0)
- Redevelopment of a section of the A10 road in central West Winch, between Chapel Lane and Long Lane, to improve the village centre and create safe access to adjacent sites.
- The introduction of traffic calming measures for central West Winch.
- Improved junctions at Gravelhill Lane and Garage Lane.
- Improvements to sections of footpath/cycle path along the A10 and links to adjacent safe cycling routes.

An example of pedestrian priority street design in Norwich. Pavement design can link public spaces either side of a road.
Figure 7.0. Indicative A10 road corridor improvements

- Improvements to Hardwick Roundabout required to ensure free flowing traffic and to enhance cycle/pedestrian access.
- Safe pedestrian / cycle crossings for all bus stops and main routes to King’s Lynn. (Preferably with Pelican crossings or central refuge areas).
- Junctions for future development must incorporate defined, safe cycle and pedestrian crossings.
- Central West Winch to have 30mph speed limit a clearly defined ‘civic centre’ indicated with surface treatment to road, and safe crossings (refer to Figure 6.0).
- Defined safe pedestrian / cycle crossing at Long Lane / Chequers Lane crossing.
- New areas of development and green space to include road front tree planting to enhance A10 corridor.
- Junctions for proposed ‘relief road’ must incorporate defined, safe cycle and pedestrian crossings.
- Improved access to Garage Lane - preferably with roundabout.
Planning for cars

[6.29] Public transport provision for West Winch and North Runcton is poor and no current plans have been identified for improvement. The level of car ownership is high. Both villages have a higher number of households with a second car than other parts of the Borough and County according to the Rural Services Network Rural Evidence Base (2014) – refer to Table 1.

[6.30] The Neighbourhood Plan seeks to promote the use of public transport, cycling and walking. However, insufficient provision for car parking in new housing developments typically results in on-street parking that dominates residential environments and creates a safety hazard. There is a clear and compelling case for providing adequate parking provision – especially for infill development in existing settlement. The government have stated in March 2015 that they are keen to ensure adequate parking provision in new residential developments.

Table 1.0. Car Ownership per Household (shown as percentages). Source: Rural Services Network May 2014

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All categories:</th>
<th>Car or van availability</th>
<th>No cars or vans in household</th>
<th>1 car or van in household</th>
<th>2 cars or vans in household</th>
<th>3 cars or vans in household</th>
<th>4 or more cars or vans in household</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>West Winch</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Runcton</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>King’s Lynn and West Norfolk</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predominantly rural areas</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significantly rural</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predominantly urban areas</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

'We need adequate parking for cars, adequate driveways. I know from my own experience as a District Nurse parking is a large problem'

Comment received in consultation 2013
POLICY WA10: Adequate provision for cars

Development proposals are required, as a minimum to meet the parking standards as set out in policy DM17 of the Borough Council of King's Lynn & West Norfolk SADMP but proposals including the following higher standards will be strongly supported:

- Providing 2 spaces for one, two and three bedroom units and 3 spaces (per dwelling) for four or more bedroom units, provided within the curtilage of dwellings, and clearly visible from the properties they serve.

- Rear parking areas serving a maximum of 5 homes.

- Front gardens and external space designed to soften the impact of cars parked at the front of houses, or in the street.

- Sufficient provision for unallocated on-street parking for visitors and delivery vehicles either by sufficient road width or creation of parking areas.

- Where garages are provided, they must be built in direct association with properties, reflecting the architectural style of the property and should not obscure or dominate the principal elevation. Garages spacious enough to allow easy access to average modern cars (i.e. minimum internal dimensions of 7 m x 3 m).

Planning for bicycles

[6.31] In public consultation, 33% of respondents said better cycle provision would encourage their household to use the car less (NP questionnaire, Sept 2012). Cycling is a cheap, healthy and convenient mode of transport and a popular leisure pursuit. The Neighbourhood Plan promotes development of a network of safe cycle routes and to facilitate more cycling, provision must be made for adequate bicycle storage at home.

POLICY WA11: Adequate provision for bicycles

Residential development proposals shall include, where possible, provision for adequate secure, covered bicycle storage at the ratio of one bicycle store space per bedroom + one additional space, for each dwelling unit.

Where possible, access between the bicycle store and the highway should be designed without passing through the home living area.
Planning adequate external space

[6.32] Almost without exception all homes in the Neighbourhood Plan area presently have their own private amenity space. Consultation suggests residents would strongly support the continuation of this trend with future development. For promotion of health and well-being and ease of living, people should have their own outside space (gardens or balconies) to use as they choose – e.g. for sitting and eating, clothes drying, playing or growing food.

[6.33] Two local estate agents based in King’s Lynn were consulted by the NP Steering Group (see Consultation Statement). Both agents said that all clients wishing to live in both North Runcton and West Winch did ask for a garden which was big enough for children to play, for them to grow vegetables and to generally use the garden as an extension to their indoor living accommodation. The estate agents both stated that if clients did not express the desire for a garden, then they were typically looking to live in King’s Lynn town centre. The 1973 Essex Design Guide required a minimum private (i.e. rear) garden size of 100 sqm for most types of houses and in the latest version states ‘This provision has been found to be an acceptable and workable minimum size that accommodates most household activities and is at the same time able to offer visual delight, receive some sunshine and encourage plant growth’.

[6.34] The British Research Establishment (BRE) report ‘Site Layout Planning for Daylight and Sunlight’ recommends that certainly no more than two fifths and preferably no more than a quarter of the garden should be prevented by buildings, walls or fences from receiving sunshine on March 21st.

POLICY WA12: Adequate outside space

Residential development proposals will be expected to meet the following external space standards where appropriate and possible, subject to viability and deliverability considerations:

- All dwellings are designed with private outside space (not including driveway, garages and storage sheds). Flats should ideally have balconies with sufficient space for a table and chairs (e.g. 5 sqm or more).

- Houses of one or two bedrooms shall have a minimum garden size of 50 sqm but preferably more. Houses of 3 or more bedrooms shall have a minimum garden size of 100 sqm but preferably more. Residents of flats or multiple occupancy buildings should have access to an equivalent area of semi-private garden space. There may be scope for a reduction in the provision of external amenity space for dwellings which have immediate access to well landscaped and well maintained communal open space; or, where smaller garden sizes for certain homes can be demonstrated to be appropriate for the intended occupiers of the properties, and this is supported by an up-to-date market needs assessment.

- All garden space should be provided ‘ready to grow’ (i.e. with clean, free draining soil). All principal garden areas will ideally have direct sunlight for several hours a day.
Planning for waste management and recycling

For sustainable communities, residents need support to recycle waste where possible. At present the Borough Council requires separation of waste into two categories plus bins for food and optional ones for garden waste – four bins that require storage space. Where provision has not been made for the storage of this waste in homes, it causes difficulty in recycling and can be a health hazard.

POLICY WA13: Adequate bin storage

Development proposals will be supported where:

- Storage space for both interior and exterior waste and recycling bins is provided, taking into consideration the level of waste separation required by the local authority.
- Bin storage areas are not visually prominent from the street or main living areas of the dwelling, but have easy access to bin collection points.

Affordable housing

The Neighbourhood Plan supports the BCKLWN proposals for provision of ‘a broad range of housing … including a proportion of affordable housing’ (SADMP, Policy E2.1, bullet 3) and notes the successful integration of affordable housing elsewhere in Norfolk where it is ‘peppered’ through new development. The BCKLWN Affordable Housing Policy states that ‘Affordable housing should be fully integrated with general market housing on new developments in order to achieve a mixed and balanced community’.

POLICY WA14: Affordable housing provision

Provision of affordable housing will be supported where it is visually integrated with other housing throughout the development and should where possible be in small groups to achieve a mixed and balanced community. Exceptions may be acceptable where the applicant can show a particular benefit and need, such as in the provision of sheltered housing for the elderly or due to viability and management considerations.

Children’s play

Most existing ‘open space’ within the two parishes has constraints to public access. The common lands are primarily grazing commons where by-laws and the presence of stock limit recreational use. The cricket club and tennis courts at North Runcton are located on private land. The William Burt playing fields in West Winch, the ‘Parish Meadow’ at Coronation Avenue and the ‘Church Green’ at North Runcton are the only public recreation spaces, where the latter two are again relatively constrained. There is no children’s play provision in North Runcton. The BCKLWN Sports, Recreation and Open Space Assessment
2006 identified a shortfall in recreation space in both parishes (refer to Appendix A of BCKLWN Green Infrastructure Strategy, 2010). Given this existing lack of provision across the Neighbourhood Plan area, delivery of or contributions towards improved children's play facilities, both in terms of quality and quantity, should be a priority for new development.

**POLICY WA15: Provision of play areas**

Residential development proposals will be required to provide for an acceptable quantity and quality of children's play provision.

All play areas should be designed to be compatible with their setting, to complement and enhance the amenity of the area, to be robust and low maintenance, to provide for a range of abilities and to comply with RoSPA (Royal Society for Prevention of Accidents) health and safety guidelines:

Where communal recreational open space is provided, design shall ensure that:

- It is accessible to all.
- It is designed to consider microclimate, e.g. with a sunny aspect, shelter from wind, etc.
- It is integrated into the overall network of green infrastructure, cycle and footpaths, etc.
- Play areas designed for younger children are to be protected from dog access with fences and gates.

**Development proposals must demonstrate that:**

- Smaller local areas of play (LAPs – designed for children under six years of age) are provided in any development of 10 or more new family dwellings, and/or within a 5-minute walk of the majority of homes.
- A local ‘equipped’ area for play (LEAPs – designed for 4–12 year olds) is provided for every group of between 30 and 100 new family dwellings and/or within a 10-minute walk of the majority of homes.
- A ‘neighbourhood ‘equipped’ play area (a NEAP, designed for 4–14 year olds and suitable for a range of teenage play activities) has been provided within a 15-minute walk of the majority of homes.
Supporting a strong local economy

[6.38] Although unemployment in the area is presently low (varying around 3% in the Neighbourhood Plan area in 2011 – slightly lower than the Borough average), consultation has identified great concern about insufficient employment opportunities for new residents if the Core Strategy housing proposals proceed.

[6.39] There is also concern about the quality and sustainability of local employment opportunities (where many people perceive jobs provided by employers such as retailers to often be part-time, low skilled, low status and not ‘permanent’).

[6.40] The proposed expansion of Hardwick Industrial Estate could provide new local jobs – but the 2015 ‘change of use’ consent to retail and hotel development on part of that site may exacerbate the above concerns.

[6.41] Consultation suggested that locals perceive potential for more business development at Garage Lane, Setchey, where a substantial site owned by Kier became vacant in 2013. However, the Garage Lane site has constraints – especially due to the difficult access on to the A10, which is widely perceived as dangerous.

“Where would these people who live in these proposed houses work? Is this going to increase unemployment and lead to an increase in crime?”

Consultation comment.

“Where would these people who live in these proposed houses work? Is this going to increase unemployment and lead to an increase in crime?”

Consultation comment.

“I am 44 [years old] and there are no good jobs here.”

Comment received in consultation 2013
Some key sites for employment and the local economy located in or near the Neighbourhood Plan area:

1. Hardwick Industrial Estate  
   (including proposed expansion area)

2. Hardwick Narrows Industrial Estate

3. Garage Lane Industrial Estate, Setchey

4. ‘Coolstak’

5. West Winch ‘local centre’ (shop, post office, etc.)

6. North Runcton Caravan and Camping Park
[6.42] Consultees have been supportive of existing local business and the need to generate more local jobs that can reduce car dependency and any need for commuting. The BCKLWN Core Strategy (para 7.3.1) states, that of 62,000 jobs in the Borough, 55% were provided in King’s Lynn and (at paragraph 7.3.6), 80% of those who live in the Borough also work here. It has not been established how many people commute to work outside the Borough from North Runcton and West Winch.

[6.43] Within the Borough, 5.5% of employment was in agriculture, fishing or forestry in 2008\(^3\) but within the NP area this is anticipated to be nearer the 2.8% Norfolk average, as farming here is predominantly large-scale arable with little labour required. Consultation identified many concerns about the potential loss of agricultural land and business through urban expansion. As well as the impact to local landscape character, there was also concern about loss of farming livelihoods and land for future food production.

[6.44] A significant economic sector in West and North Norfolk is tourism and there is a successful caravan and campsite business in North Runcton. Consultation suggested there was support for tourism related business but concerns that the area might become less attractive for tourism if the parishes become increasingly urbanised.

[6.45] It can be difficult to promote economic development through planning policy. The NP policies for the economy seek to support sustainable employment opportunities and a varied local economy. They seek to support and sustain local tourism and protect agricultural land and activities where possible whilst safeguarding the character and amenity of the villages and key environmental assets.

[6.46] Consultation has identified support for environmentally appropriate business. Many consultees have emphasised that new or expanding business and employment enterprise must not result in unacceptable increased local traffic – especially HGV traffic. This complies with Core Strategy Policy CS08 which sets out ways to ‘promote and encourage opportunities to achieve high standards of sustainability and energy efficiency’.

[6.47] Applications for new employment sector sites and buildings will therefore be favoured when they clearly demonstrate how they will achieve/support a low carbon footprint (in construction and operational stages) and in particular where they demonstrate a responsible approach to travel planning. Business travel plans should demonstrate how they shall minimise traffic generation and encourage walking, cycling and public transport access by staff and customers.

---

\(^3\) Office of National Statistics – 2008
The Neighbourhood Plan therefore seeks to:

- support existing employment areas
- define appropriate sites for new employment-related development
- encourage environmentally-friendly employment sites
- encourage flexible working patterns and employment diversification
- support businesses which do not have an adverse impact on residential amenity or the environment.

**POLICY WA16: Existing employment areas**

Planning applications that sustain the existing employment areas at Hardwick Industrial Estate, Hardwick Narrows Industrial Estate and Garage Lane, Setchey will be supported where it can be demonstrated that any potentially adverse environmental impacts (especially, landscape, visual or transport impacts) will be acceptably mitigated.

**Encouraging sustainable local centres**

[6.48] The development of two local centres in the period to 2026 is supported by the Neighbourhood Plan (refer to Growth Area policies) including enhancement of the existing centre of ‘old’ West Winch. Thriving local centres (with community facilities and small business premises) might help to reduce local car journeys, define neighbourhood identity and support community cohesion. They could be underpinned by local businesses.

**POLICY WA17: Supporting development of local centres**

Applications for business premises A1 (shops), A2 (professional and financial) and A3 (restaurants and cafés) in the identified neighbourhood centres will be supported where it can be demonstrated that they will enhance the character and vitality of the centres and will not have demonstrable adverse impacts to local amenity (e.g. by generating a high level of HGV traffic, causing parking congestion or excessive noise, etc.).

**Sustain local agriculture and farms**

[6.49] Farms and farmland help to sustain the ‘rural’ landscape backdrop in West Winch and North Runcton – but economic and social pressures can reduce the viability of farms and especially traditional smaller
farmsteads. Diversification may assist the retention of a more traditional farming landscape within parts of the Neighbourhood Plan area.

**POLICY WA18: Supporting farm-related businesses**

- Applications for farm diversification that will demonstrably improve farm sustainability and/or increase employment opportunities will be supported where they sustain local landscape character, support local biodiversity and maintain opportunities for all residents to enjoy the local countryside.

- Proposals that will result in damage to residential amenity (e.g. through adverse environmental, visual, social or significant traffic impacts, such as the proliferation of HGV traffic on small lanes) will be resisted.

**Supporting the local economy through tourism**

[6.50] The tourism sector is important to West Norfolk, contributing just under £400 million per year to the local economy (Core Strategy para 7.3.8). Consultation with the parishioners of both West Winch and North Runcton has shown that they wish to retain opportunities for tourism, which they feel is underpinned by the predominantly rural setting. Opportunities for walking, cycling, riding and watching wildlife locally should be safeguarded / enhanced. Providing good cycle/footpath access to other villages, King’s Lynn and connections to other parts of West Norfolk are important to retain and/or enhance.

[6.51] It is recognised that tourism in the wider North Norfolk setting can benefit the NP area – and to this end local development should also assist the wider region. The A149 in North Runcton is a major holiday route and gateway to North Norfolk. Proposed development at Hardwick should aim to enhance the presentation of King’s Lynn and North Norfolk.

**POLICY WA19: Supporting tourism**

Development proposals and initiatives that can contribute to the tourism appeal of the immediate area and Norfolk in general, that can create and/or enhance visitor attractions and that will demonstrably provide new activities and accommodation for visitors will be supported. Provision of new facilities that can also benefit local residents will be especially welcome. However, applications must demonstrate that proposals will not have adverse impacts on residential and rural amenity, particularly in respect of adverse traffic impacts.

Development proposals on sites alongside the A149 in North Runcton should consider the ‘gateway’ significance of the location for both King’s Lynn and North Norfolk and demonstrate exceptional architectural qualities. Site design and especially the peripheral landscape works must also complement the local landscape setting and enhance the urban fringe environment.
7. POLICIES FOR THE WEST WINCH GROWTH AREA

These policies must be considered by developers preparing planning applications in the West Winch Growth Area strategic allocation, as defined in the Borough Council Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan 2016 (refer to Figure 4.0).

The overall structure of future settlement

[7.1] Commencing in 2010 and continuing through to 2013, The Prince’s Foundation for the Built Environment facilitated community design workshops to explore options for development in West Winch and North Runcton. Within these workshops evolved the concept of creating new, locally distinctive residential ‘neighbourhoods’, separated by broad corridors of multi-purpose ‘green infrastructure’, and this approach has since become accepted by BCKLWN. The Prince’s Foundation advised that the green infrastructure ‘breaks’ should have a width of at least 160 metres, but preferably wider. This was partly defined by the ‘non-build zone’ required either side of two high pressure gas pipelines that run through the parishes and HSE recommendations have now confirmed the southern ‘non-build zone’ should be at least 236 metres wide, and the northern ‘non-build zone’ 164 metres wide. Using the pipeline corridors to separate built development would optimise the potential to accommodate development within the area, define the neighbourhoods and help to deliver a mix of land uses – all considered to be aspects of sustainable development promoted by the NPPF.

[7.2] The BCKLWN SADMP now reflects these ideas in the West Winch Growth Area ‘Strategic Concept’ Plan (refer to page 108 of that document) and is further developed in the Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Concept, Figure 9.0 (refer to following pages). This illustrates development of three distinct neighbourhoods located broadly along the A10 corridor and integrating the ‘growth area’ with existing settlement. A fourth ‘neighbourhood’ is defined by the existing village of North Runcton to the east. For clarity, the four future neighbourhoods are therefore envisaged as:

- A largely new community at the northern end of the ‘growth area’ (at ‘Constitution Hill’, North Runcton), and integrating existing housing presently found in ribbon development along the A10. This would include a new ‘local centre’ with community facilities.
- An enlarged neighbourhood based around ‘old’ West Winch – and incorporating an improved ‘local centre’ focussed on the key existing facilities of St Mary’s Church, the primary school and the William Burt Community Centre and existing shops.
- The village at North Runcton (outside of the strategic growth area).
- A fourth ‘neighbourhood’ located further south – east of the present A10, south of Chequers Lane.

[7.3] In order to ensure successful establishment and/or consolidation of these communities in the period to 2026, the Neighbourhood Plan (NP) seeks to initially encourage development within the north and central
locations in the current planning cycle (i.e. at Constitution Hill and ‘old’ West Winch). Local residents feel there would be least impact to the existing parish setting if the initial new development starts at Constitution Hill, whilst development at West Winch could help to improve the centre of the village by removing existing constraints.

[7.4] Emphasis is placed on the need to create ‘sustainable’ development. A key concern of local residents has consistently been the likelihood of substantial new development exacerbating existing road congestion problems. The design of new neighbourhoods should therefore discourage local car journeys and promote walking and cycling. ‘Walkable neighbourhoods’ are typically characterised by the provision of a range of facilities within 10 minutes’ walking distance of principal residential areas (i.e. ideally less than 800 metres away).

Thoughtfully designed surface water management features can also provide amenity and ecological value.
Figure 9.0.
‘Settlement Concept’ diagram
POLICY GA01: Creating neighbourhoods

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where they demonstrate that they incorporate the following provisions:

- Designs help to create ‘walkable’ neighbourhoods that will reduce the need for local car journeys and provide an interconnecting network of cycle and footpaths.

- Proposals encourage the development of the ‘northern’ and ‘central’ neighbourhoods in advance of the southern neighbourhood*.

- The residential neighbourhoods are separated from each other by corridors of ‘green infrastructure’, which will ideally be designed to fulfil a variety of uses and should enhance and complement local landscape character.

- Proposals demonstrate adequate consideration for known or expected climate change variables and ensure robust landscape design, for example through providing shelter, shade and opportunities for temperature mitigation (e.g. through street tree planting).

- The main green infrastructure corridors are used to define distinct residential neighbourhoods and should be designed to meet, as a minimum, the HSE Policy requirements and be wide enough to perform the function of visual separation between neighbourhoods. The target being a minimum width of at least 236 metres (southern corridor) and 164 metres (northern corridor).

- The neighbourhoods include community ‘local centres’ providing a focus for local shopping, business and community activities and feature high quality civic space.

- Development proposals can demonstrate (through HRA screening where required and adhering to the requirements set out in the Borough’s HRA Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy) that there will be no significant effect on a European site.

Proposals should demonstrate regard to the goals of strengthening and enhancing the West Winch local centre as set out in Policy WA08 and, where relevant, contribute towards those goals.

The provision of a new community centre in the northern local centre is particularly sought. It should be located and designed so as to provide maximum benefit and value to the community, contributing to a sense of place, civic spirit, cultural diversity and low levels of car dependency.

The provision of land or premises suitable for development of GP consulting rooms, clinics, a pharmacy and/or other community health support initiatives will be welcomed and is especially encouraged in the proposed ‘old’ West Winch local centre.
Strategic green infrastructure provision

[7.5] Community consultation has confirmed that ‘rural’ landscape character and visual and physical access to the countryside is highly cherished within the parishes and that the potential loss of this resource is one of the greatest fears from future development. Regularly seeing and watching wildlife (deer, badger, hedgehogs, owls, woodpeckers, bats, frogs and newts) is a valued part of local life. The low density and linear arrangement of much of the existing settlements allows many residents to have direct views or close connections to open countryside.

[7.6] Nevertheless, existing designated footpath access in the locality is limited. Common-land areas have grazing rights and restricted public access. Conflict can arise between stock managers and dog walkers. Both West Winch and North Runcton have been identified as deficient in public open space (West Winch in ‘green space’ and North Runcton in ‘outdoor sports provision’ – BCKLWN Sports Recreation and Open Space Assessment Study, 2006).

[7.7] Sections of both villages are characterised by mature trees but many are reaching stages of decline and there is little replacement planting. Tree and hedge loss from modern farming practice has already denuded parts of both West Winch and North Runcton parish. Climate change and new threats such as pests and diseases that affect trees (e.g. Ash dieback) are likely to further impact on the existing resource. ‘Growth area’ proposals will impact on local biodiversity and informal recreation assets (e.g. the proposals by Hopkins Homes at Constitution Hill would remove over 30 Ha of existing diverse semi-natural habitat).

[7.8] A range of other adverse environmental impacts are anticipated to result from development proposals – including noise, light spill, pollution (notably from traffic and new roads) and increased visitor pressure on existing ‘green space’ resources. Any infill development within the settlements would also likely result in the loss of small paddocks, large gardens, mature trees and other natural assets that presently enhance the local environment and retain ‘rural’ character.

[7.9] A large allocation of ‘Green Infrastructure’ (GI) is therefore considered to be essential to serve existing and new residential areas, to offset and mitigate development impacts and to make good existing
deficiencies. GI provision should include public open spaces, allotments, nature reserves, surface water attenuation areas, amenity planting, footpaths and cycle paths. In some cases GI may be delivered within development sites, but in many cases it is anticipated that several developments may need to contribute to ‘strategic’ GI located partly or wholly outside of an application boundary. Areas unsuitable for housing development may be suitable for GI provision (e.g. the gas main ‘non-build’ easements identified in Policy GA01 are estimated to amount to around 39 hectares of land, and building setbacks adjacent to road corridors could also be used).

[7.10] The Neighbourhood Plan Settlement Concept (Figure 9.0) illustrates the preferred locations for principal corridors of Green Infrastructure in a likely development scenario. It utilises areas unsuitable for built development and integrates drainage corridors, important pedestrian and cycle links and opportunities for strategic landscape provision (e.g. screen planting next to the road to mitigate likely environmental impacts). Negotiation will be required to ensure the delivery of this ‘GI’ to ensure a successful overall plan for the area.

[7.11] The NPPF states that the planning system should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment (para 109) and that local planning authorities should set out a strategic approach in their Local Plans, planning positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure (para 114).

[7.12] Furthermore, the BCKLWN SADMP requires provision of ‘significant green infrastructure’ as set out in Policy E2.2 (Part B: Process). It states that proposals within the Growth Area will need to be accompanied by a package of habitat protection measures which will include enhanced informal recreation provision specifically for informal open space (‘potentially over and above the local planning authority’s normal standards for play space’) and a network of attractive pedestrian routes.

[7.13] Through reference to current standards and guidelines the Neighbourhood Plan proposes areas of public open space, playing fields, nature conservation areas and other ‘GI’ commensurate with the estimated future population. Some relevant open space planning guidance used to develop the proposals is summarised as follows:
Table 3: Summary of Key Recommendations by Various Bodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Document</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natural England Accessible Natural Greenspace Standard (ANGSt 2010)</td>
<td>Recommends that everyone, wherever they live, should have access to natural greenspace: of at least 2 hectares in size, no more than 300 metres (5 minutes’ walk) from their home; at least one accessible 20-hectare site within two kilometres of home; one accessible 100-hectare site within five kilometres of home; and one accessible 500-hectare site within ten kilometres of home; plus a minimum of one hectare of statutory Local Nature Reserves per thousand population.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodland Trust ‘Woodland Access Standard’</td>
<td>No person should live more than 500 metres from at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 2 hectares in size; There should also be at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 20 hectares within 4 kilometres (8 kilometre round-trip) of every person’s home. (‘Sheep’s Course’ Wood adjacent A47 approximately 3.0 Ha, but more than 500 m from any existing settlement. No other notable woodland on public footpaths in either parish).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields in Trust, (2008) (Formally NPFA)</td>
<td>Recommend 6 acres (2.4 Ha) of recreational space should be provided for every 1,000 people. 1.2 Ha per 1,000 people should be designated as pitch space. (Where the William Burt playing field is approximately 3.5 Ha, and North Runton cricket and tennis ground (which are on private land) are approx. 2.0 Ha)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCKLWN SADMP (2016)</td>
<td>Suggests at least 9 Ha of recreational open space for the West Winch Growth Area (equating to 56 sqm per dwelling for 1,600 dwellings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Society of Allotment and Leisure Gardeners (NSALG)</td>
<td>Recommend a minimum provision of 20 allotment plots per 1,000 households, (approximately 8.5 plots per 1,000 population or 0.21 hectares per 1,000 population – where a standard allotment is 250 sqm).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[7.14] As the West Winch Growth Area is the single largest development allocation in the Borough, it follows that it will need significant new public recreation provision to serve the new community and to mitigate potential adverse impacts to the SPAs, SACs, Ramsar sites and other fragile landscapes in West Norfolk, and this will ensure compliance with BCKLWN SADMP policy DM19. However, it is also important to stress that at the local level, residents regard sustainable retention of the traditional grazing regime on the West Winch and North Runton commons as equally relevant. This is unlikely to be compatible with greatly increased pedestrian access (especially in relation to dog walkers, where dog mess can spread disease in cattle and make hay production problematic). The commons also have significant wildlife importance (large parts are designated as County Wildlife Sites) and increased visitor pressure and disturbance would be likely to undermine this value.

[7.15] The policy proposes 10 hectares of new active recreational facilities, an additional 20 hectares of informal public open space (where these could include non-vehicular access corridors and surface water attenuation areas) and an area of 5 hectares of new nature reserve. This reflects the additional need generated by 1,600 to 3,500 new homes (i.e. potentially over 8,000 new residents at 2.33 persons per dwelling), where the existing population in the NP area already exceeds 3,000 residents and is growing, and the single largest existing public open space is approximately 3.5 Ha at the William Burt Centre.

[7.16] Finally, BCKLWN's Green Infrastructure Study 2010 identifies as high priority green infrastructure provision in connection with the Hardwick Industrial Estate. Specifically, it states (Chapter 5, page 36) ‘A focused GI/landscape plan is required in order to maintain, improve and enhance links to the Hardwick
Industrial Estate through the GI network, particularly the creation of routes between the industrial estate and West Winch to the south. The NP complements the GI plan by recognising the importance of this pedestrian/cycle route and including it in the Settlement Concept plan.

**POLICY GA02: Providing ‘green infrastructure’**

Planning applications within the ‘growth area’ will be supported where they provide or contribute towards the phased establishment of a comprehensive network of new public open spaces, sustainable urban drainage corridors, bridle paths, cycle paths, footpaths and other amenity and nature conservation areas that will benefit existing and new residential areas.

New developments will be expected, at a minimum to meet nationally recognised standards for the provision of open space. The Fields in Trust’s Planning and Design for Outdoor Sport and Play suggested standard of 2.4 hectares of outdoor playing space per 1,000 of population will be used and the provision will be required to reflect additional need generated from delivery of between 1,600 and 3,500 homes. The following provision will be strongly supported:

- 10 Ha of new active recreation facilities should be provided (which could include sports pitches, courts, multi-use games facilities, children’s play areas, or trim trails within the Neighbourhood Plan area).

- An additional 20 Ha of informal public open space, preferably with at least one public space of at least 5 Ha in area. These areas should be designed to provide attractive and varied recreation and amenity spaces suitable for, amongst other things, exercising dogs and informal play – all within easy walking distance of homes. Provision of grasslands, specimen trees, copses and small woodland should be included. The spaces will also provide wildlife habitat and could include non-vehicular access corridors and surface-water attenuation areas (if suitably designed for public access, amenity and wildlife benefit). Shelterbelt or screen planting could also be counted in this area (where it is wider than 10 m and designed for public access).

- An additional 5 Ha of new ‘nature reserve’ should be provided with varied habitat and managed specifically for the benefit of local biodiversity with controlled public access.

- An additional 0.6 Ha of allotment gardens (indicatively 24 x 250 sqm plots) or equivalent garden space suitable for food production. Provision should include access from the highway, clear boundary delineation of the land (preferably with concrete posts and rabbit- and deer-proof fencing of at least 1200 mm height). Provision of a potable water supply to the site and a lockable store shed per holding would be anticipated. Definitive land registry details should be provided. An ongoing management arrangement with a community association or Parish Council would also be required.

- A dedicated dual-use path connection to the Hardwick industrial estate and links to the existing King’s Lynn cycle path network (refer to Figure 9.0) within a ‘green corridor’.
• Provision for connection to a future dedicated dual-use path connection to the Bawsey Country Park and a dual-use path connection to the village of Middleton (refer to Figure 9.0) within a ‘green corridor’.

Provision of a ‘relief road’ or ‘parallel route’

[7.17] The potential for proposed new development to exacerbate existing local traffic congestion, especially at the Hardwick roundabout and along the A10, has consistently been a principal concern and fear of local residents. Extensive discussions on local road and transport issues have been held since 2010 and have included transport planning experts employed by the Prince’s Foundation, representatives from NCC Planning and Transportation, the Highways Agency, BCKLWN planning department and transport, engineering, planning and urban design consultants employed by the development proponents, ZAL and Hopkins Homes. The consultation identified key aspirations to:

• Alleviate traffic numbers and speeds along the A10 by creating new linkages between key arterial routes.
• Improve the environment along the A10 – including additional safe pedestrian and cycle crossing points, an improved standard of dual-use path beside the road and improvements at key junctions.
• Improve public transport and non-motorised transport links into King’s Lynn and to other local destinations.

[7.18] The BCKLWN SADMP ‘Strategic Concept’ plan now indicates a new road along the eastern edge of the proposed ‘growth area’ and in draft Policy E2.1 lists strategic outcomes including:

• A new road linking the A10 and the A47 to provide relief to the A10.
• Early and continuing delivery of various traffic calming measures and environmental enhancements on the existing A10, commencing within 12 months of the start of development.
• Provision of a network of cycle and pedestrian routes including links to King’s Lynn town centre.
• Local highway improvements including to the Hardwick interchange and to other local routes that may be affected by the development.

[7.19] Local residents consider these provisions to be essential elements of the proposed ‘growth area’ development. However, there is ongoing concern about the delivery mechanism for this infrastructure, the timing of its implementation and the detailed design of many elements. In particular, there is concern about the likely adverse impacts to roads and the local environment in ‘transitional stages’ of development – which may last for years. The Hardwick roundabout is a key junction in the regional network and a gateway to King’s Lynn. It is already prone to significant ‘rush hour’ and ‘holiday’ congestion, regularly resulting in queues of 3–5 km on the A10 and 2 km on the A47. ‘Rat running’ along local lanes (especially through...
North Runcton) is already a significant problem as drivers try to avoid the Hardwick roundabout. Main roads and local lanes are increasingly dangerous for cyclists and pedestrians – which in turn encourages more car dependence and local car journeys. The Hardwick Transport Strategy Study (NCC and Mott MacDonald, May 2015) concluded that major improvements to the Hardwick interchange were essential to ensure a sustainable transport outcome for the West Winch Growth Area and the wider road network.

[7.20] The supporting text to strategic policy E2.1 explains the transport issues in and around the Growth Area and clarifies that required improvements will be included in a comprehensive transport strategy for the area. Paragraph E.2.55 states; ‘The new road layout, precise location and phasing is to be included in a comprehensive transport strategy for the whole of the Growth Area. The detail routing and the treatment of access points will be key to its efficient working and integration to the wider connectivity around West Winch and North Runcton’.

**POLICY GA03: Ensuring transport infrastructure**

For the purpose of the West Winch Growth Area, infrastructure will be delivered in line with an Infrastructure Delivery Plan adopted by the Borough Council to which all major development proposals will be required to adhere to by planning condition and/or Section 106 Legal Agreement.

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area will be supported, subject to the proposals being consistent with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or a comprehensive transport strategy which should include:

- The detailed route and design of the new ‘relief road’ and associated required improvements to the Hardwick interchange.

- A programme for delivery of the ‘relief road’ and other required local road improvements that will maintain a functioning, safe local road system throughout development of the ‘growth area’, whilst minimising congestion and disruption to local residents and the local economy.
Design of the ‘relief road’

[7.21] The proposed ‘relief road’ route has the potential to become a significant east–west barrier to movement. This would potentially have significant adverse impacts for local access, especially at Rectory Lane and Chequers Lane and for cyclists, pedestrians, equestrians and even local wildlife.

[7.22] It is essential for both existing and future residents that there are attractive safe links between neighbourhoods, and especially social and recreational facilities. Such route provision will also help to reduce car dependence and local car journeys. Crossings must be safe for children – where, for example, children in North Runcton do presently cycle to West Winch primary school. At Gravelhill Lane, which is the proposed point at which the relief road will join the A10, residents who live to the west often find it difficult and dangerous to join the A10. An obvious potential benefit of the new road is an improved junction at Gravelhill Lane.

POLICY GA04: Design of ‘relief road’

In designing the proposed relief road, the following design elements should be included where possible:

- A roundabout or similar ‘free flow’ junction at Gravelhill Lane to eradicate congestion and queues and to provide safe local access to the A10/relief road.
- Rectory Lane and Chequers Lane to remain as through roads and incorporate safe cycle and pedestrian crossings.
- Cycle and/or pedestrian paths to be provided on both sides of the relief road corridor and these should be generally separated from the road carriageway by a sustainable soft landscape strip (grass verge, hedge, tree planting – or preferably a mix of all three).
- Appropriate safe cycle and pedestrian crossing points to be provided at regular intervals along the road – and specially to link desire lines between local centres, recreational facilities and public transport nodes. Safe wildlife crossings should also be considered.
- The design should fully integrate environmental impact mitigation features – especially ensuring that existing and proposed settlement is mitigated from traffic noise and night lighting impacts. Night lighting should be minimised, especially in sections adjacent to an agricultural backdrop. The road surface should be designed to minimise tyre noise.
- A maximum speed of 40 mph for the relief road – but a 30 mph limit may be appropriate and desirable in some sections. The carriageway design should encourage speeding.


**Place making**

[7.23] Local people in consultation stated that they felt the locality already had a ‘sense of place’ that they wished to retain. In answer to an open question ‘what do you like and want to keep’, 85% answered along the lines of ‘the village character with views to open spaces’.

[7.24] New development in the ‘growth area’ must aim to retain and enhance the positive attributes of the current settlement pattern whilst ‘always seek(ing) to secure high quality design and a good standard of amenity for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings’ (NPPF Core Principles, para 17 – bullet 4). New development should seek to create distinctive new environments by using the design of buildings, spaces, landscape and the connections between them to create legible, attractive, safe and locally appropriate environments.

**POLICY GA05: Principles of new development design**

Development proposals in the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where they achieve the following:

- A ‘comfortable’ scale with clear distinctions between public and private spaces, avoiding unused or un-owned space.
- A sense of quality: attractive, well-built and well-detailed buildings; spaces and features with good quality, low maintenance materials and unique features (e.g. public art).
- Recognisable landmarks and focal points; clear, safe, navigable routes; views; gateways; signage and way markers.
- A compatible mix of land-use, building form, architectural styles, landscape features and natural habitat.
- A ‘sense of place’ and local distinctiveness through use of traditional local materials and appropriate native species.
- Interconnection with the existing landscape and settlement – e.g. with retention or enhancement of existing views or incorporation of existing features (ponds, banks, trees, hedges, etc.).

‘Preserve as much open space accompanied by nature as possible throughout the villages’

Comment received in 2013 consultation
Design of residential roads

[7.25] Extensive consultation and stakeholder workshops since 2010 have identified the important role that the design of residential roads and streets can have on settlement character as well as traffic speed, pollution control, the level of bicycle and pedestrian use, general road safety, and other important factors in the creation of sustainable settlements.

[7.26] Good street design should support the development of a hierarchy of street users – where design for pedestrians is considered most important. The hierarchy should encourage walking, cycling and the use of public transport whilst impacts to car journey times are unlikely to be significant in residential settings.

[7.27] Whilst transport movement is a key function, residential roads and streets should support a range of other social, recreational, civic, and possibly retail and commercial functions as well. Streets should provide clear, easily navigated networks of paths through the settlement. Traffic speed can be regulated with good design. Soft landscape, especially tree planting, helps to soften the urban street-scene, creates visual and sensory interest, improves air quality and microclimate, and provides habitat for wildlife.

**POLICY GA06: Residential street design**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consider first</th>
<th>Pedestrians</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cyclists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public transport users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specialist service vehicles (e.g. emergency services, waste, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consider last</th>
<th>Other motor traffic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Development proposals forming part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area, including new roads and streets, should include the following design elements:

- Streets designed to prioritise the movement and safety of pedestrians and cyclists of all ages and abilities.
- Where car and cycle parking is provided, it must not dominate the street environment or reduce the comfort and safety of other street users.
- Streets designed to facilitate desire lines and links between key buildings and spaces and to help create attractive spaces between them.
- Streets planned and designed to regulate the speed of traffic – where the majority of routes within the ‘growth area’ will remain predominantly residential in character. Designers should seek to restrict vehicle speeds to 20 mph or below in residential areas.
- Pedestrians and cyclists must be able to use and cross all roads safely and conveniently.
• Residential streets designed as social spaces, helping to facilitate social interaction and safe for children and the elderly.

• Incorporating soft landscape and where possible featuring medium or large species of tree that can provide a significant contribution to streetscape character over time. Design must ensure that soft landscape is sustainable in the long term – where planting will not adversely conflict with carriageways, buildings, services or pavements. Species selection should consider climate change variables.

Creating a network of paths and tracks

[7.28] An excellent non-vehicular network of paths and tracks (both within the ‘growth area’ and to destinations beyond) will help promote walking and cycling, offer real choice for local journeys and support health, recreation and environmental goals.

[7.29] Details of routes should be determined in consultation with relevant community stakeholders and landowners to ensure the best use of land available – but an indicative network is illustrated in Figure 9.0. Pedestrians and cyclists are more likely to use routes where they feel safe and which take them directly to their destination. Less direct recreational routes for pedestrians and cyclists should also be considered.

POLICY GA07: Cycle and footpath provision

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area should contribute towards the design, delivery and funding of an integrated network of cycle paths, footpaths and dual-use paths throughout the Neighbourhood Plan area. Development should facilitate a hierarchy of cycle and footpaths from streetscapes to open countryside, and linking important community assets such as local centres, employment areas, public open space, and key destinations (e.g. King’s Lynn town centre and Hardwick industrial estate).

Applications should demonstrate that:

• Cycle path design (widths, surfaces, signage, junctions, etc.) are implemented to current best practice standards.

• Pedestrian/cyclist desire lines form the basis for route planning and streetscape design (where some principal suggested routes are illustrated in Figure 9.0).

• Routes are established between principal residential areas and principal community facilities (e.g. schools, recreation areas).

• Routes are planned and designed to facilitate ‘passive security’ and safety.
Improving public transport

[7.30] Public transport provision for the Neighbourhood Plan area is generally poor and this encourages car dependence. There is no direct bus route to the hospital. The current bus service is insufficiently regular for most people to depend on it for work commuting or evening and weekend recreational trips. Along the A10, the busy road creates problems for crossing the road to and from bus stops – especially for children and elderly residents.

[7.31] The increased population that would result from development of the ‘growth area’ could support more frequent bus services – if development is designed to support this type of infrastructure. The two Parish Councils would wish to work with stakeholders to promote provision of a frequent, high quality bus service that can provide a genuine alternative to local car usage.

POLICY GA08: Provision for public transport

Development proposals coming forward as part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where:

- Residential development is predominantly designed to lie within 200 metres walking distance of a bus stop.
- Footpath connections and road crossing points are provided for each bus stop.
- All stops are designed to enable easy access to buses for all users.
- Each local centre should have at least one appropriately designed bus shelter, preferably with an ‘intelligent’ display, with ‘real-time’ timetable information in line with current available technology.

Encouraging self-build

[7.32] Consultation with local builders and designers identified concern that large-scale development would be unlikely to directly benefit local firms and to a great extent, the local economy. The Parish Councils wish
to support local designers, builders, tradesmen and suppliers. Opportunities for small-scale developments or ‘self-build’, through provision of a proportion of serviced building plots within the ‘growth area’ would create opportunities for a range or smaller local businesses. In 2013/2014 the Government announced a variety of incentives to support self-build projects.

POLICY GA09: Opportunities for small-scale and self-build development

Applicants coming forward with development proposals as part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area are encouraged to offer a proportion of land (either as serviced plots or simply as small land parcels) for sale to small-scale builders or self-build projects.

The scale, design and materials palette for such development should reflect the character of existing and proposed development. Applications for buildings with excellent design and sustainability criteria will be strongly supported. An acceptable period for construction and completion of self-build projects (for example, 2 years from the purchase of the plot) would be expected.

Primary school provision

[7.33] West Winch primary school is a popular local school located in the heart of the village. It was built in the 1960s with an attractive and spacious campus and a capacity for 219 pupils. In early 2013 the school expected a need to provide 220 pupil places in coming years (without major housing development). North Runcton has no school and falls within the catchment for Middleton, which in 2013 had slightly less than its 120-pupil capacity – but was overcrowded in older classes. The principal catchment secondary school for both villages is the King’s Lynn Academy.

[7.34] Consultation with the head teachers indicated that with current pupil numbers at or near capacity, a substantial increase in the local population would either require new buildings to increase capacity, or a new school in the locality. At public consultation, local residents supported early provision of a new third primary school to be located within the ‘growth area’ which they felt would avoid overloading the existing schools whilst providing the new community with an immediate social focus. They felt that primary schools should not be overly large and should lie within easy walking or cycling distance of principal residential areas.

---

4 School numbers are from consultation with the head teachers – early 2013.
Consultees also felt the new school could provide other community facilities – perhaps a communal hall, recreation facilities and other amenities that might extend the daily use of the premises and forge additional connections with the community. It was felt that play group and/or nursery school facilities would also be required. Although these might be privately operated, they will need appropriate premises to meet Ofsted requirements.

Norfolk County Council are presently responsible for assessing the need for new schools and for planning their implementation – where 1,100 new homes is often used as a ‘trigger’ for the provision of a new primary school. The Core Strategy plan for 1,600 new homes by 2026 suggests a new primary school is essential. At present Hopkins Homes have proposed a primary school on their site at Constitution Hill. Consultation has suggested that most residents would support construction of a primary school within this new residential area. It could form a hub for the proposed ‘local centre’.

NCC have suggested that existing secondary school provision in King’s Lynn will be adequate to provide for proposed urban expansion in the foreseeable future.

**POLICY GA10: Provisions for a successful primary school**

Development applications in the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where provision is made for the development of a new primary school in the ‘northern neighbourhood’ to support the proposed 1,600 dwellings to 2026. The school should be located on a minimum size 2 Ha site and include suitable pre-school premises, commensurate with predicted demographics. The following provisions are considered necessary:

- Early establishment of the new school so that it can provide a social focal point for the new and existing community at an early stage of ‘growth area’ development.
- A suitable site for pre-school facilities on or near the primary school site.
- Facilities that could be ‘shared’ with the local community at appropriate times and whilst ensuring the security of pupils.
- A site that is readily accessible and safe for children and young families to travel to and discourages car dependency. The school will therefore ideally be located away from main roads and connected to principal residential areas by an excellent network of safe cycle and pedestrian paths. It should be sited to ensure equitable access for the whole catchment area. It should however have adequate arrangements for parents who need to use their cars (e.g. dropping off children en route to work).
- The school should be designed to provide maximum benefit and value to the community, contributing to the sense of place, and providing a robust, energy efficient and attractive building.
- The school should have sufficient external space to allow the appropriate recommended provision of playing fields, free play and outdoor education opportunities. Facilities that can benefit the wider community will be encouraged.

Where further development beyond 2026 or in other neighbourhoods within the West Winch Growth Area demonstrates the need for an additional primary school, similar provisions will be expected.
8. THE COMMUNITY’S ASPIRATIONS FOR THE WEST WINCH GROWTH AREA

In addition to the previous specific policies within the Neighbourhood Plan, the community wish to highlight their aspirations for local services and infrastructure for the West Winch Growth Area.

Developers and the Borough Council should consider the following when negotiating, submitting, and deciding planning applications:

Infrastructure delivery in the West Winch Growth Area

[8.1] The development coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area strategic allocation will have a wide range of impacts on the existing communities of West Winch and North Runcton. Specifically, increased population will create additional demand for education, fire services, healthcare provision, community facilities (including libraries, community meeting spaces and recreational facilities), green infrastructure, the road network and public transport services.

[8.2] The NPPF sets out the framework within which Planning Obligations can be used to make otherwise unacceptable development permissible. Obligations have generally been negotiated through S106 agreements (Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990). In future, it is likely that a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) will be adopted by BCKLWN, although it is possible that BCKLWN will exempt the growth area from CIL and secure infrastructure funding via S106 agreements alone. Policy E2.1 of the SADMP sets out the broad infrastructure requirements for the West Winch Growth Area. It also requires the preparation of an Infrastructure Delivery Plan which will translate into a legal agreement between the Borough Council and landowners and developers to formalise the provision of infrastructure. This aspiration therefore provides further detail on infrastructure requirements in the West Winch strategic growth allocation. The requirements set out in the policy are in line with items set out in the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Infrastructure Study, May 2015 and feedback received from the County Council in pre-submission consultation.

[8.3] In order to mitigate the impact of development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area, developers will be expected to contribute towards improving local services and infrastructure through either the payment of a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and/or planning obligations (via a S106 agreement / planning condition) as follows:

- The delivery of a new primary school on a minimum 2 Ha site in the northern neighbourhood and improvements/expansion of existing schools serving the development.
• Making improvements to the existing library in King’s Lynn or provision of a library linked to another community facility within the new development (e.g. as part of the community centre, retail area or a doctors surgery).
• Fire service facilities (e.g. fire hydrants)
• A new community meeting space
• Healthcare provision
• Transport infrastructure improvements in line with Policy GA03
• Improvement of local bus services:
• Green infrastructure in line with Policies GA02 and WA01–WA06
• Additional open space and play space provision
• Affordable housing
• Commuted sums to assist in upgrading existing community facilities where it can be demonstrated that this will benefit the growing community.

[8.4] Planning obligations will meet the tests required by the NPPF if they will be:

• Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms
• Directly related to the development, and
• Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

[8.5] For the purposes of the West Winch Growth Area, infrastructure will be delivered in line with an Infrastructure Delivery Plan adopted by the Borough to which all major development proposals will be required to adhere to by planning condition.
A brief glossary of some terms used in this document.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCKLWN</td>
<td>Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk The local planning authority for King's Lynn and West Norfolk (alongside its other responsibilities as a ‘district’ local authority).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Strategy</td>
<td>The overall strategy for the use and development of land in the Borough, and a key part of the ‘Local Plan’. The current Core Strategy was adopted by the Council in 2011 and sets the overall level of growth and the general locations for development for the period until 2026. The Neighbourhood Plan is required to conform to this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADMP</td>
<td>Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan A planning document adopted by BCKLWN in September 2016. The document allows for and plans for at least 1,600 homes to come forward within the West Winch Growth Area from 2016 to 2026. The Neighbourhood Plan is required to conform to this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Plan</td>
<td>A local planning authority’s adopted plan(s) for the area (forming the main part of the development plan for the area). The Core Strategy and the SADMP are the main documents making up the current BCKLWN ‘Local Plan’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF)</td>
<td>This document sets out the Governments planning policies for England. Introduced in 2012, it replaced much longer policy guidance. The Neighbourhood Plan is required to conform to this.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green Infrastructure (GI)</td>
<td>Green Infrastructure (GI) is the name given to a network of green spaces, (urban and/or rural), which are capable of delivering multifunctional environmental and quality-of-life benefits for local communities. GI may include but is not restricted to parks, playing fields, woodlands, wetlands, grasslands, river and canal corridors allotments and private gardens.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitats Assessment (HRA)</td>
<td>An assessment, required under a European Directive, of the potential impact of a plan or programme on certain designated nature conservation sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>European Sites (also known as Natura 2000 sites)</td>
<td>Sites designated under a European Directive and include Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and Special Area of Conservation (SCAs). Ramsar Sites have an international designation. In the event of ‘Brexit’ these sites will still require special protection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCKLWN Natura 2000 Sites Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy (Sept 2015)</td>
<td>This Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy provides a framework for the avoidance of likely significant effects to the European sites (e.g. Roydon and Dersingham Special Area of Conservation (SAC). It addresses the actions required from the Habitats Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>also referred to as the BCKLWN HRA Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy</strong></td>
<td>Assessment (HRA) and includes a number of measures relevant to the West Winch Growth Area. The Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy will ensure that likely significant impacts identified in the HRA as a result of policies proposed in the SADMP document will be avoided or mitigated against. This Strategy will contribute to safeguarding the integrity of European sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA)</strong></td>
<td>An assessment by the local planning authority of the current supply of land available, and that expected to become available in the following years, for housing development within its area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heritage Assets</strong></td>
<td>Buildings, places or flora identified as having a degree of heritage significance meriting consideration in planning decisions. This includes archaeological sites, listed buildings, registered parks and gardens, scheduled ancient monuments, conservation areas and also the settings of these sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landscape Character Assessment</strong></td>
<td>The distinct and recognisable pattern of elements that occur in a particular type of landscape. It derives from particular combinations of geology, landform, soils, vegetation, land use and settlement. To be considered alongside historic landscape character assessments where development options are in sensitive areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Listed Building</strong></td>
<td>A building nationally designated (by English Heritage) as being of special architectural or historic interest. Such buildings are graded I (highest quality), II*, II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tree Preservation Order (TPO)</strong></td>
<td>A TPO is made by a Local Planning Authority to protect specific trees, groups of trees or woodland from deliberate damage and destruction. TPOs can prevent the felling, lopping, topping, uprooting or otherwise wilful damaging of trees without the permission of the Local Planning Authority.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The North Runcton &amp; West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy 2014 (SWMS 2014)</strong></td>
<td>The SWMS was a study prepared by the Middle Level Commissioners for the East of Ouse, Polver and Nar Internal Drainage Board and especially to support the Neighbourhood Plan. It was commissioned by West Winch and North Runcton parish councils with grant funding from Locality. The resulting strategy seeks to set out appropriate planning considerations for future drainage planning in the NP area.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A

Sustainability of the Plan and Evidence Base

An appraisal has been undertaken to ensure that evidence gathered has informed the objectives and policies of the Neighbourhood Plan. Some of the documents and other sources used in the evidence base are listed below. A full record of the consultation process has also been prepared and forms a separate supporting Consultation Statement document.

1. National Planning Documents
   - Department of Transport, Building Sustainable Transport into New Developments (2008)
   - Department of Transport, Cycle Infrastructure Design LTN 2/08
   - The Localism Act (2011)
   - Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (Section 61G) Neighbourhood Planning (General)
   - Regulations 2012 (Regulation 6) Designation of a Neighbourhood Area Approval
   - Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (Section 61G) Neighbourhood Planning (General)

2. Local Planning Documents
   - Partnership of Norfolk District Councils: Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (2008)
   - The BCKLWN Core Strategy (adopted 2011)
   - The BCKLWN Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan (adopted 2016)
   - The King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Green Infrastructure Study (2009)
   - The King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Housing and Economic Land Availability Assessment (2014)
   - The King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Landscape Character Assessment (2007)
   - BCKLWN Sports Recreation and Open Space Assessment (2006)
   - King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Infrastructure Study, May 2015 (prepared for CIL analysis)
   - Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Natura 2000 Sites Monitoring and Mitigation Strategy (September 2015)

3. Other Published Sources
   - UK National Censuses 1801–2011
   - Rural Services Network – May 2014
   - Natural England Green Space Standard (ANGST 2010)
   - Woodland Trust ‘Woodland Access Standard’
   - Fields in Trust (Formally NPFA) – Planning and Design Guidance
   - DEFRA Code of practice for sustainable use of soils on construction sites (2009)
North Runcton/West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy (2014) Prepared by the Middle Level Commissioners for the East of Ouse, Polver and Nar Internal Drainage Board on behalf of the Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group. (Refer to http://northrunctonpc.norfolkparishes.gov.uk)

- PADH1 – HSE’s Land Use Planning Methodology
- BREEAM – Code for a Sustainable Built Environment
- Rural East Anglia Partnership Borough Council’s Strategic Housing Market Assessment (Final draft 2007).
- Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO) – Secured by Design.
- Lifetime Homes Design Guide.

4. Selected Websites

- Norfolk County Council, Planning, Performance and Partnership
- Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk
- Norfolk Insight
- Planning Advisory Service

Other Sources and Acknowledgements

- North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group
- West Winch Parish Council
- North Runcton Parish Council
- Prince’s Foundation and their sub-consultants.
- Zurich Assurance / Threadneedle Investments and their consultants
- Hopkins Homes and their consultants
- Borough Council of King’s Lynn & West Norfolk’s LDF Team
- Residents and Businesses from North Runcton and West Winch
- Norfolk County Council – Environment, Transport and Development, Children’s Services and Property Services departments
- Highways Agency
- Anglian Water
- Internal Drainage Boards
- Norfolk Wildlife Trust
- Locality, Planning Aid and the Community Development Foundation
- Henry Bellingham MP
- Rural Services Network
- National Society of Allotment and Leisure Gardeners (NSALG)
- National Grid Transco
- Woodland Trust
- Britton Estate Agents, King’s Lynn
- Brown & Co Estate Agents, King’s Lynn
- HSE – Paul Elliott
Appendix B

Monitoring and Review

Assuming timely adoption of the Neighbourhood Plan, it will now cover the period 2016–2026. Development that takes place during this time, both within and beyond the parishes, will have an impact on the communities as well as on the physical fabric of the villages. Each new development will influence where and what happens next. It is, therefore, essential to the long-term success of the Plan that developments in North Runcton, West Winch/Setchey and neighbouring parishes are monitored and reviewed against the Plan’s objectives and against the policies designed to guide them. The Neighbourhood Plan Steering Group along with both Parish Councils will make arrangements to monitor developments and carry out an annual review of the Neighbourhood Plan. Assuming that Neighbourhood Plans remain as part of the Local Plan system, it is anticipated that a new Neighbourhood Plan will need to be prepared to address the planning cycle beyond 2026.
Appendix C

Memorandum of Agreement between North Runcton and West Winch Parish Councils.

Memorandum of Agreement; Neighbourhood Development Plan

The Parish Councils for West Winch and North Runcton agree to work together, under the terms of the Localism Act 2011, to draw up a Neighbourhood Plan with the following Vision and Aims.

Vision:
To create attractive villages with excellent facilities and quality of life where residents of all ages can live, work, play and contribute to the ongoing success of the community.

Aims:
To support the development of high quality, sustainable and successful new neighbourhoods whilst nurturing and enhancing the lives of existing residents in West Winch and North Runcton.
To identify the unique or distinctive physical and cultural assets of the parishes, and use these to shape the future environment and community.

Economy: To create an environment that fosters sustainable and rewarding jobs and supports education and skills development.
To ensure that residential expansion is supported by employment expansion and that the majority of employment opportunities are local. (within 5 miles)

Social: To nurture a diverse and supportive community with excellent internal communication and close links to neighbouring communities.
To ensure there are spaces, institutions and events where the whole community can regularly meet and socialise and from where new bonds and mutually beneficial initiatives can prosper.

Environment: To create a network of green spaces and corridors that is respected and embraced by all residents and that sustains local wildlife and a sense of rural living even within the settlements.
To sustain and safeguard agriculture in the parish in tandem with improved rural access and recreational opportunities for village residents

Transport: To provide an excellent network of public transport and non-vehicular transport routes that allow a genuine choice in future transport options.

The geographical area of the plan will cover the entirety of both parishes.
Each parish council will approve the steering group membership, consisting of current PC members and other parishioners.
Each parish council will approve each stage towards the final plan with the Neighbourhood Plan being an agenda item at each parish meeting.

The Neighbourhood Plan will seek to achieve the above vision and aims while conforming to required statutory planning guidance.

Signed for West Winch PC
Joe Sandey
Chairman West Winch PC
10.10.12

Signed for North Runcton PC
June Leamon
Chairman North Runcton PC
12.10.12
Appendix D

North Runcton and West Winch Age Structures

2011 census West Winch - age structure

2011 census North Runcton - age structure

North Runcton and West Winch Age Structures
For further information please contact the West Winch or North Runcton Parish council clerks via the Parish Council websites;

**North Runcton**
http://northrunctonpc.norfolkparishes.gov.uk

**West Winch**
http://westwinchparishcouncil.norfolkparishes.gov.uk
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SECTION 2

Summary

As the Independent Examiner appointed by Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk to examine the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan, I can summarise my findings as follows:

1. I find the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan and the policies within it, subject to the recommended modifications, does meet the Basic Conditions.
2. I am satisfied that the Referendum Area should be the same as the Plan Area, should the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan go to Referendum.
3. I have read the North Runcton and West Winch Consultation Statement and the representations made in connection with this subject. I consider that the consultation process was robust and that the Neighbourhood Development Plan and its policies reflect the outcome of the consultation process including recording representations and tracking the changes made as a result of those representations.
4. I find that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan can, subject to the recommended modifications, proceed to Referendum.
5. At the time of my examination the adopted local plan was the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Core Strategy 2011 and the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Site Allocations and Development Management Policies (SADMP) adopted 29th September 2016.
Introduction

1. Neighbourhood Plan Examination.

My name is Deborah McCann and I am the Independent Examiner appointed to examine the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan.

I am independent of the qualifying body, I do not have any interest in the land in the plan area, and I have appropriate qualifications and experience, including experience in public, private and community sectors.

My role is to consider whether the submitted North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan meets the Basic Conditions and has taken into account human rights; and to recommend whether the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan should proceed to Referendum. My role is as set out in more detail below under the section covering the Examiner’s Role. My recommendation is given in summary in Section 2 and in full under Section 5 of this document.

The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan has to be independently examined following processes set out in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) and the subsequent Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

The expectation is that the examination of the issues by the examiner is to take the form of the consideration of the written representations. However, there are two circumstances when an examiner may consider it necessary to hold a hearing. These are where the examiner considers that it is necessary to ensure adequate examination of an issue or to ensure a person has a fair chance to put a case. Having read the plan and considered the representations I did require clarification on a number of issues. These points were dealt with by written representations in a question and answer format. This additional information is publicly available on the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk website. I was satisfied that the written responses adequately clarified the issues and I decided that it was not necessary to hold a Hearing.

2. The Role of Examiner including the examination process and legislative background.

The examiner is required to check whether the neighbourhood plan:

- Has been prepared and submitted for examination by a qualifying body
- Has been prepared for an area that has been properly designated for such plan preparation
- Meets the requirements to i) specify the period to which it has effect; ii) not include provision about excluded development; and iii) not relate to more than one neighbourhood area and that
- Its policies relate to the development and use of land for a designated neighbourhood area.

The examiner must assess whether a neighbourhood plan meets the basic conditions and other matters set out in paragraph 8 of Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

As an independent Examiner, having examined the Plan, I am required to make one of the
following recommendations:

1. The Plan can proceed to a Referendum

2. The Plan with recommended modifications can proceed to a Referendum

3. The Plan does not meet the legal requirements and cannot proceed to a Referendum

I am also required to recommend whether the Referendum Area should be different from the Plan Area, should the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan go to Referendum.

In examining the Plan, I am required to check, under Paragraph 8(1) of Schedule 4B to the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, whether:

- the policies in the Plan relate to the development and use of land for a designated Neighbourhood Area are in line with the requirements of Section 38A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004:
- The Plan meets the requirements of Section 38B of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 to specify the period for which it has effect - the Plan has been prepared for an area designated under the Localism Act 2011 and has been developed and submitted for examination by a qualifying body.

I am also required to determine whether the Plan complies with the Basic Conditions, which are that the proposed Neighbourhood Plan:

- Has regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State;
- Contributes to the achievement of sustainable development; and
- Is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the Development Plan for the area.

The Plan must also not breach, and otherwise be compatible with EU obligations and Human Rights requirements.

The Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk will consider my report and decide whether it is satisfied with my recommendations. The Council will publicise its decision on whether or not the Plan will be submitted to a referendum, with or without modifications. If the Neighbourhood Plan is submitted to a referendum, then 28 working days notice will be given of the referendum procedure and Neighbourhood Plan details. If the referendum results in more than half those voting (i.e. greater than 50%), voting in favour of the plan, then the District Council must “make” the Neighbourhood Plan a part of its Development Plan as soon as possible. If approved by a referendum and then “made” by the local planning authority, the Neighbourhood Plan then forms part of the Development Plan.
SECTION 4

The Report

1. Appointment of the Independent examiner

The Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk appointed me as the Independent Examiner for the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan with the agreement of North Runcton and West Winch Parish Councils.

2. Qualifying body

I am satisfied that the North Runcton and West Winch Parish Councils are the qualifying bodies.

3. Neighbourhood Plan Area

The designated North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Area covers the Parishes of North Runcton and West Winch. The Basic Conditions Statement submitted with the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan confirms there are no other Neighbourhood Plans covering the Area of the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan.

4. Plan Period

It is intended that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan will cover the period 2016-2026.

5. Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk initial assessment of the Plan.

North Runcton and West Winch Parish Councils, the qualifying body for preparing the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan, submitted it to Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk for consideration as required by Regulation 15. The Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk has made an initial assessment of the submitted North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan and the supporting documents and is satisfied that these comply with the specified criteria under Regulation 15.

6. Site Visit

I carried out an unaccompanied site visit on Thursday 11th May 2017 to familiarise myself with the area.

7. The Consultation Process

The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan has been submitted for examination with a Consultation Report which sets out the consultation process that has led to the production of the plan, as set out in the regulations in the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

The Statement describes the approach to consultation, the stages undertaken and explains how the Plan has been amended in relation to comments received. It is set out according to the requirements in Regulation 15.1.b of the Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012):

(a) It contains details of the persons and bodies who were consulted about the proposed
neighbourhood development plan;

(b) It explains how they were consulted; (c) It summarises the main issues and concerns raised by the persons consulted; and

(d) It describes how these issues and concerns were considered and, where relevant, addressed in the proposed neighbourhood development plan.

Examination of the documents and representations submitted in connection with this matter have lead me to conclude that the consultation process was thorough, well conducted and recorded.

A list of statutory bodies consulted is included in the Consultation Statement.

8. Regulation 16 consultation by Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk and record of responses.

The Borough Council placed the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan out for consultation under Regulation 16 from the 19th of December 2016 to the 2nd of February 2017.

A number of detailed representations were received during the consultation period and these were supplied by the Borough Council as part of the supporting information supplied for the examination process. I considered the representations, have taken them into account in my examination of the plan and made reference to them where appropriate.

9. Compliance with the Basic Conditions

The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan working Group produced a Basic Conditions Statement on behalf of North Runcton and West Winch Parish Councils. The purpose of this statement is for the Neighbourhood Plan Working Group to set out in some detail why they believe the Neighbourhood Plan as submitted meets the Basic Conditions. It is the Examiner’s Role to take this document into consideration but also take an independent view as to whether or not the assessment as submitted is correct.

I have to determine whether the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan:

1. Has regard to national policies and advice
2. Contributes to sustainable development
3. Is in general conformity with the strategic policies in the appropriate Development Plan
4. Is not in breach and is otherwise compatible with EU obligations and Human Rights requirements.

Documents brought to my attention by the Borough Council for my examination include:

(a) The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan: This is the main document, which includes the policies developed by the community.

(b) The Consultation Statement: This is a statement setting out how the community and other stakeholders have been involved in the preparation of the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan and is supported by an evidence base which arose from the consultation.
(c) Basic Conditions Statement.

This is a statement setting out how North Runcton and West Winch Parish Councils consider that the Neighbourhood Plan meets the Basic Conditions.

(d) The Strategic Environmental Assessment and Habitat Regulations Assessment letter for the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan.

Comment on Documents submitted

I am satisfied having regard to these documents and other relevant documents, policies and legislation that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan does, subject to the recommended modifications, meet the Basic Conditions.

10. Planning Policy

10.1. National Planning Policy

National Policy guidance is in the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2012.

To meet the Basic Conditions, the Plan must have “regard to national policy and advice”. In addition, the NPPF requires that a Neighbourhood Plan "must be in general conformity with the strategic policies of the local plan". Paragraph 16 states that neighbourhoods should “develop plans that support the strategic development needs set out in Local Plans, including policies for housing and economic development; plan positively to support local development, shaping and directing development in their area that is outside the strategic elements of the Local Plan”.

The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan does not need to repeat these national policies, but to demonstrate it has taken them into account. In the Basic Conditions Statement, the Neighbourhood Plan Group have identified the elements of National Policy that they consider relevant to the Neighbourhood Plan and its policies.

I have examined the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan and consider that, subject to modification, the plan does have “regard for National Policy and Advice” and therefore the Plan does meet the Basic Conditions in this respect.

10.2. Local Planning Policy - The Development Plan

North Runcton and West Winch is within the area covered by the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk. The relevant Development Plan consists of the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Core Strategy 2011 and the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk proposed site allocations and Development Management Policies (SADMP) adopted 29th September 2016.

The Strategic Policies of the Development Plan have been identified both by the Borough of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk and by the Neighbourhood Plan Group in the submitted Basic Conditions Statement. The most relevant of these, for the purposes of my examination are those relating to the strategic growth of the area; Core Strategy Policies CS02, CS03, CS09 and SADMP Policy E2.1. I have considered these Strategic Policies together with the Policies of the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan. I find that subject to modification the policies are in general conformity with the Strategic Policies of the the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Core Strategy 2011 and the King’s Lynn

11. Other Relevant Policy Considerations

11.1 European Convention on Human Rights (ECMR) and other European Union Obligations

As a ‘local plan’, the Neighbourhood Development Plan is required to take cognisance of the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) Directive 2001/42/EC Office.

A Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) screening opinion was sought as required from the following organisations on the 11th of November 2016:

• Natural England
• Historic England
• Environment Agency
• Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk

The anticipated scale and type of development in West Winch / North Runcton was assessed as part of the Core Strategy and SADMP SEAs. The view of Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk was that a SEA (Strategic Environmental Assessment) was not required given the requirement for general compliance with the Local Plan policies and any minor variation from the development anticipated is most unlikely to be significant to SEA matters.

Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA)

A screening for likely significant effects is required to identify whether a plan is likely to have a significant effect on any European Site. The likely relevant impacts of potential development in the Borough have been examined in detail in the Habitats Regulations Assessment Reports for the Core Strategy and subsequent amended version for the adopted SADMP. The view was that the draft neighbourhood plan is in conformity with both of those plans, and it is therefore considered not to require additional assessment.

11.2 Sustainable development

I am satisfied that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan subject to the recommended modifications addresses the sustainability issues adequately.

The Neighbourhood Development Plan is required to take cognisance of the European Convention of Human Rights and to comply with the Human Rights Act 1998.

I am satisfied that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan has done so.

I am therefore satisfied that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan meets the basic conditions on EU obligations.

11.3 Excluded development

I am satisfied that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan does not cover County matters (mineral extraction and waste development), nationally significant infrastructure such as highways and railways or other matters set out in Section 61K of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.
10.4 Development and use of land

I am satisfied that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan, subject to modification, covers development and land use matters.

10.5 The Neighbourhood Plan Strategic Aims and Policies

THE NEIGHBOURHOOD PLAN VISION, AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The vision
West Winch and North Runcton will be two closely linked but separate parishes that are popular and attractive places to live. They will remain distinct from King’s Lynn and will be characterised by a predominantly rural setting. They will have a range of excellent facilities allowing residents of all ages to live, work, play and contribute fully to the ongoing success of the communities.

Aims (what we hope to achieve)

**General.** To support the development of high quality, sustainable and successful new neighbourhoods whilst nurturing and enhancing the lives of existing residents in West Winch and North Runcton. To safeguard the unique and distinctive physical and cultural assets of the parishes, using these to shape the future environment and community.

**Environment.** To create a network of green spaces and corridors that sustain a sense of rural living and are utilised and respected by everyone. To sustain and safeguard agriculture in the parish in tandem with improved rural access and recreational opportunities for village residents whilst supporting local wildlife.

**Social.** To nurture a diverse and supportive community with excellent internal communication and close links to neighbouring communities. To ensure there are spaces, institutions and events where the whole community can meet and socialise.

**Economy.** To provide a setting that fosters sustainable and rewarding jobs and supports education and skills development. To ensure that residential expansion is supported by commensurate local employment opportunities.

**Transport.** To enable local people to go about their business in a timely manner. To reduce the domination of through-traffic on residents’ day-to-day lives. To provide an excellent network of road, cycle and footpaths that allow genuine choice in future transport options and mitigate against excessive road traffic and car dependency.

**Sustainability.** To provide new housing which is high quality in plan, size and materials, adapted to the expected results of climate change and suitable for the whole-life needs of residents.

Objectives (how the plan will achieve its aims)

**Environment.** Identify and protect natural and cultural environmental assets. Where development will result in loss or degradation of assets, ensure there will be adequate sustainable compensation. The NP policies promote ‘joined-up thinking’ so that environmental assets can, where possible, be ‘multi-functional’ with, for example, benefits for recreation, local biodiversity, drainage, access provision and landscape amenity. Policies encourage community use of green space and community involvement in management. The Plan seeks to ensure that long-term management and maintenance requirements are identified and provided for. The Plan requires appropriate development design so that sustainable ‘breaks’ are established between settlements.

**Social.** The Plan seeks to ensure that new development brings new community facilities that can benefit all residents and bring people together. Policies aim to ensure that key social centres such as primary schools, community halls and health facilities are established at an early stage of development so as to foster social links and organisations.

**Economy.** The Plan aims to encourage planning applications that create sustainable job
opportunities without adverse impacts on the environmental, social or cultural character of the community. It supports home working and small-scale business. Policy encourages development that will support local shops, trades and services.

**Transport.** The Plan seeks to mitigate traffic and environmental problems on the A10 and A47, encouraging a future road hierarchy that will reduce the impact of proposed development. Policies encourage and support traffic calming measures. High standards of public transport, cycle path and footpath infrastructure are encouraged

I am satisfied that the Neighbourhood Plan Policies flow from the issues identified as a result of the community consultation carried out during the development of the plan.

### 12. North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan Policies

**POLICY WA01: Protecting sites of local value**

The areas illustrated on Figure 5.0 are identified as sites of local value. Where development proposals come forward that could directly or indirectly impact on these assets, a sequential approach shall be applied to their protection:

- first, and most preferable, retain, enhance and create sustainable features of these assets
- second, mitigate potential adverse impacts to them – including consideration of secondary impacts such as pollution, changes to drainage, visitor pressures and severance of ecological corridors
- as a last resort, adequately compensate for the loss or degradation of these features and their ecological value, where it is concluded that such losses and adverse impacts are inevitable and unavoidable.

#### A. Sites of landscape character value including views

1. **A1 Land adjacent to Long Lane and Hall Lane, West Winch.** This corridor separates the ‘Bovis Estate’ from central West Winch and contains playing fields (refer to B1) and farmland. It provides a visual corridor between the A10 and fenland to the west. Identified by community consultation. This land is also a gas pipeline corridor where development will be restricted. Retain to ensure separation of neighbourhoods.

2. **A2 Land south of the Old Windmill, West Winch** that contains farmland and paddocks and helps break up linear development along the A10. Identified by consultation. This land is within the strategic allocation area but development will be restricted due to the gas pipeline corridor (refer to Figure 3.0).

3. **A3 A narrow corridor of land either side of the A10, and north of Ivy Farmhouse,** featuring paddocks, hedges, mature trees and part of an important drainage course that extends east to Illington Lane, North Runcton. Identified by consultation and drainage surveys (refer to Surface Water Management Strategy). It is suggested that a sustainable surface water drainage function must be retained here. The meadows west of the A10 are also a County Wildlife Site (See C1 below).

4. **A4 Mature trees, hedges and verge along the A47 road corridor between New Road, North Runcton and the Hardwick Roundabout.** Important to the character of the local landscape and approach to King’s Lynn – especially where the road is elevated and prominent in views from the north. If it becomes necessary for mature vegetation to be removed here, it would be essential that adequate sustainable replacement planting is provided (notwithstanding that some veteran trees may be irreplaceable).
5. **A5** The open landscape between the A47 and Illington Lane, North Runcton. Existing open farmland that is important in sustaining the rural setting of North Runcton and a rural approach to King’s Lynn along the A47. Also identified in the BCKLWN SADMP – ‘Strategic Concept’ plan.

6. **A6** The open landscape south of the existing village of North Runcton – including paddocks, woodland and farmland, much of which is elevated and prominent in views from the Nar Valley and areas further south. Settlement expansion here would undermine the rural setting of the village and would also be likely to cause adverse traffic impacts to the community.

7. **A7** Paddocks and arable land between Rectory Lane and Common Lane, North Runcton – with mature trees and hedges, providing an extension to the character of North Runcton common and an important backdrop to the village. Identified in consultation.

8. **A8** The ‘rural’ sections and features of Rectory Lane, Common Lane, the ‘Twisty Twiney’, Setch Road, and Watering Lane, where mature trees, roadside hedgerows and grass verges are important to local landscape character. Identified in consultation.

9. **A9** Grass area with West Winch village sign and tree planting, east of A10, south of Chequers Lane – enhances local amenity. Identified in consultation.

10. **A10** Land south-west of Gravelhill Lane and South of Elmtree Drive. A large area of low-lying grassland that provides a rural outlook for residents and extends the ‘green corridor’ of West Winch common. Identified in consultation. This area is within the strategic allocation area but any development plans should aim to retain views and access to open countryside and to include rural features.

11. **A11** The predominantly open corridor along the Nar Valley west of Setch Bridge and east towards Blackborough End. Retain remaining open landscape adjacent to River. Identified in consultation.

12. **A12** Cholmondley/Blick Close grassed amenity area. Identified in consultation.

**B. Sites of sports, recreation and amenity value**

1. **B1** William Burt Centre Playing Field – important recreational and social centre and contributing to local ‘open’ setting (part of A1).

2. **B2** Leete Way Play Area – a small equipped play area enclosed by a fence and used regularly by local children.

3. **B3** Row Hill Play Area – this is a small grassed area in the centre of a large estate providing green space for local residents.

4. **B4** Public footpath from Common Lane to Rectory Lane, to Sheep’s Course Wood, North Runcton (passing through A5/A7). It is considered important to preserve and expand the local footpath network.

5. **B5** North Runcton Cricket Field, New Road. Privately owned but important landmark and open space at the centre of the village.

6. **B6** Footpaths across West Winch, Hardwick Narrows and North Runcton Commons – including access points beyond the common land. Important local footpath network.

7. **B7** Old railway track and bridge under the A47. This route provides important sections of a ‘ready-made’ cycle and pedestrian corridor between the proposed growth area and potentially King’s Lynn, Bawsey and other destinations. (The railway track is protected in BCKLWN SADMP Policy DM13.)

**C. Sites of nature conservation value**
1. C1 The Meadow, north of Coronation Avenue, West Winch (County Wildlife Site 2079 with some adjacent land set aside for horse grazing), and Rush Meadow (County Wildlife Site 399), which is actually several meadows west of the A10. Opportunity to enhance biodiversity.

2. C2 West Winch, North Runcton, Hardwick Narrows and Setchey Grazing Commons. (These areas are also important in terms of landscape value and, where paths exist, recreation value.) Many areas of common land are thought to be Saxon or older. (West Winch Common is County Wildlife Site 390).

3. C3 Sheep’s Course Wood, North Runcton. This is common land, a County Wildlife Site (2265), a local landmark and includes a public right-of-way. Important to protect the woodland from development and likely increased visitor impacts.

4. C4 Fringes of Hopkins proposed development site (Constitution Hill) including mature and maturing trees, remnant hedgerows, a large pond, species rich grassland and a low-lying semi-wetland area at the north-west corner. Botanical surveys for Hopkins and by others have confirmed these areas are especially rich in species and worthy of retention. Retaining and enhancing these assets, ideally within a network of green corridors linking into the wider landscape, will be essential to help compensate for the extensive loss of other habitat within the main Hopkins site.

**COMMENT**

I have considered this policy carefully and commend the Neighbourhood Plan Group and the wider community for their work in identifying the areas noted within the policy. A number of the areas identified in this policy could, in my opinion been designated as Local Green Spaces but the decision was made by the Neighbourhood Plan Group not to propose this.

I have received representations which express concern that this policy will make bringing forward development proposals for the growth area more difficult. I am however of the opinion that the sequential approach of the policy acknowledges that some areas will be impacted by some aspects of the development and where this occurs there are measures in place to provide mitigation and/or compensation. On this basis I do not consider that the policy needs modifying in order to meet the Basic Conditions.

**POLICY WA02: Sites with local heritage interest**

The following sites are valued locally as having significant interest and are not listed or protected by other conservation designation: (Also refer to Figure 5.0).

1. D1 Manor Farm Moat and paddock, south of West Winch Church on the eastern side of the A10. Medieval moated enclosure and important to the setting of West Winch Church.

2. D2 The Pound, Watering Lane, West Winch is owned by the West Winch Commoners and was used by farmers as a compound for their animals. Post medieval (?). Identified in consultation.

3. D3 Historic walls adjacent New Road in North Runcton that are related to the former North Runcton Hall. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.

4. D4 The former primary school at North Runcton, now the village meeting place. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.

5. D5 The ‘Church Rooms’ (former school) West Winch. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.
6. D6 ‘Church Green’ – at School Lane, North Runcton. This open space and the ‘informal’ nature of the unadopted roadway is considered important to the character of central North Runcton and especially the setting of the Grade I listed church.

7. D7 The Lodge and grounds with mature trees, Rectory Lane, North Runcton. This house is Grade II listed and is probably the oldest dwelling in the Neighbourhood Plan area. However, the setting of large gardens with mature trees also requires protection.

Inappropriate extensions or alterations to these properties and structures, or development resulting in significant adverse impacts to their grounds and settings, will be resisted. A balanced assessment of impact must be provided with any application, preferably prepared by an appropriately qualified heritage consultant.

**COMMENT**

The National Planning Policy Framework sets out clearly how Designated and Non Designated Heritage Assets are protected through the planning system. There is a clear requirement that applications affecting designated and non-designated heritage assets must be supported by an appropriately detailed assessment of their heritage significance and the impact of the proposals on that significance.

The policy should be modified as follows:

The following sites have been identified as non-designated heritage assets, valued locally as having significant interest: (Also refer to Figure 5.0).

1. D1 Manor Farm Moat and paddock, south of West Winch Church on the eastern side of the A10. Medieval moated enclosure and important to the setting of West Winch Church.
2. D2 The Pound, Watering Lane, West Winch is owned by the West Winch Commoners and was used by farmers as a compound for their animals. Post medieval (?). Identified in consultation.
3. D3 Historic walls adjacent New Road in North Runcton that are related to the former North Runcton Hall. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.
4. D4 The former primary school at North Runcton, now the village meeting place. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.
5. D5 The ‘Church Rooms’ (former school) West Winch. (19th-century.) Identified in consultation.
6. D6 ‘Church Green’ – at School Lane, North Runcton. This open space and the ‘informal’ nature of the unadopted roadway is considered important to the character of central North Runcton and especially the setting of the Grade I listed church.
7. D7 The Lodge and grounds with mature trees, Rectory Lane, North Runcton. This house is Grade II listed and is probably the oldest dwelling in the Neighbourhood Plan area. However, the setting of large gardens with mature trees also requires protection.

Any proposals which would impact the significance of a non-designated heritage asset must be supported by a Statement of Significance describing the significance of any heritage assets affected, including any contribution made by their setting. The level of detail should be proportionate to the assets’ importance and no more than is sufficient to understand the potential impact of the proposal on their significance.
POLICY WA03: Protecting and replacing natural features

Where a site includes trees, hedges, ponds, ditches, banks, established grassland or other natural landscape features, planning applications shall be supported when they are accompanied by:

- Professional survey reports undertaken to the appropriate standards (e.g. in 2016 – arboricultural reports to BS5837:2012 and ecological reports to BS42020:2013) identifying the landscape and biodiversity features of value on and adjoining the subject site.
- Plans showing how the subsequent layout and design of the development has been informed by the survey.
- Management plans to show how these features will be protected and sustained for the long term in the new environment, or indicate how features to be lost will be adequately compensated.

Where features will be lost, compensatory provision will be required demonstrating regard to the following guidelines:

- Any trees to be lost that have a trunk diameter greater than 7.5 cm at 1.5 m above ground level will be replaced with new tree planting based on the size of the tree(s) to be lost as follows:

  Trunk diameter of tree lost to development

  (measured at 1.5 m above ground level)  Number of replacement trees

  7.5–19.9 cm  1
  20–29.9 cm  2
  30–39.9 cm  3
  40–49.9 cm  4
  50–59.9 cm  5
  60–69.9 cm  6
  70–79.9 cm  7
  80 cm+  8

  - Replacement tree planting will normally mean containerised extra heavy standards (14–16 cm girth) with appropriate tree pit and staking details. Appropriate species will be agreed at the time.
  - 1 linear metre of mature native hedgerow lost will be replaced with a minimum of 2 linear metres of new mixed native double row hedge, allowing space for sustainable ongoing management.
  - Permanent water bodies will be replaced with permanent water bodies of the same extent, allowing space for sustainable ongoing management.
• Ditches, other seasonal water bodies, field margins and grassland will be replaced with the same quantity or greater of ecologically equivalent features, allowing space for sustainable ongoing management.

• Where the developer cannot replace such features within the application site, a negotiated contribution shall be made to a BCKLWN administered fund that will provide for habitat provision and open space management within the Neighbourhood Plan area. For example, for tree planting, financial contributions will be based on a standard replacement tree being an average priced containerised extra heavy standard (14–16 cm girth), planted and maintained for 5 years.

• New landscaping schemes should provide a varied, robust and sustainable species selection – considering factors such as the impact of future climate change and new pests and diseases. Provision for biodiversity such as bat and bird boxes will be strongly supported.

COMMENT

I understand the community concerns relating to the level of proposed development and the desire to retain and protect the landscape quality that currently exists within the Parishes. However, having read the policy and the representations I have received I conclude that the policy as it is currently worded is overly restrictive and would in reality be costly and difficult to implement and ultimately monitor. I am of the opinion that the policy as currently worded does not meet the basic Conditions and should be modified as follows:

Where a site includes trees, hedges, ponds, ditches, banks, established grassland or other natural landscape features, planning applications shall be supported when they are accompanied by:

• Professional survey reports undertaken to the appropriate standards (e.g. in 2016 – arboricultural reports to BS5837:2012 and ecological reports to BS42020:2013) identifying the landscape and biodiversity features of value on and adjoining the subject site.

• Plans showing how the subsequent layout and design of the development has been informed by the survey.

• Management plans to show how these features will be protected and sustained for the long term in the new environment, or indicate how features to be lost will be adequately compensated.

Where features will be lost, compensatory provision will be required. Where the developer cannot replace such features within the application site, a negotiated contribution shall be made to a BCKLWN administered fund that will provide for habitat provision and open space management within the Neighbourhood Plan area.

New landscaping schemes should provide a varied, robust and sustainable species selection – considering factors such as the impact of future climate change and new pests and diseases. Provision for biodiversity such as bat and bird boxes will be strongly supported.

POLICY WA04: Providing sustainable drainage
Development proposals involving new build will be supported where:

- Applicants have demonstrated regard to current surface water risk mapping as well as the recommendations set out in the *North Runcton and West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy* (April 2014), and provided a drainage plan following consultation with the relevant Internal Drainage Board (IDB) and the Lead Local Flood Authority.
- Design plans incorporate good sustainable urban drainage design, commensurate with current best practice design guidance.
- Detailed street and building design includes provision of permeable surfaces, rainwater re-use, green roofs and/or other measures to ensure sustainable water management unless it can be demonstrated that this is not practical.
- Development proposals are designed so as not to adversely affect (and, where possible, to improve) surface water drainage for properties and land, both ‘upstream’ and/or ‘downstream’ of the development.
- Financial contributions (in the form of commuted payments or CIL monies if applicable) may be required for the purpose of undertaking improvements to drainage infrastructure where these are necessary to make new development acceptable in drainage planning terms. These should be directly, fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development proposals.

**COMMENT**

This policy does not have regard to the size and scale of the proposed development and what is appropriate in relation to the size and scale of the proposed development. It also makes reference to CIL payments being required. The policy should be modified as follows:

Development proposals will be supported where they can show they have had appropriate regard for:

- Current surface water risk mapping as well as the recommendations set out in the *North Runcton and West Winch Surface Water Management Strategy* (April 2014), and provided a drainage plan following consultation with the relevant Internal Drainage Board (IDB) and the Lead Local Flood Authority.
- Good sustainable urban drainage design, commensurate with current best practice design guidance.
- Detailed street and building design including provision of permeable surfaces, rainwater re-use, green roofs and/or other measures to ensure sustainable water management unless it can be demonstrated that this is not practical.
- Design that will not adversely affect (and, where possible, to improve) surface water drainage for properties and land, both ‘upstream’ and/or ‘downstream’ of the development.

**POLICY WA05: Providing GI management resources**

Development proposals shall, where applicable, be accompanied by a clear commitment to provide a fully funded minimum 15-year management plan for all new green infrastructure areas and features (including, but not necessarily limited to, public open space, new habitat provision, surface drainage infrastructure, landscape mitigation areas, pedestrian and cycle paths and related furniture and structures).
The management plan (which might be confirmed by later condition or S106 agreement) should:

- Include detailed plans of the areas and features being provided, indicating clear boundaries and ownership details, details of easements and/or other relevant legal agreements and with clear annotation denoting any management restrictions and requirements, if applicable.
- Provide details of all management and maintenance practices that will be required in the first 15 years (and preferably beyond that time), indicating the tasks and activities, tools and machinery required, and the programme for the required practices.
- Provide a clear costing for the 15-year establishment management period and determine how such funds will be provided and administered over this period and whether the developer will provide the management services themselves or simply provide the revenue for others to deploy.
- The management plan must include provision for handover at the end of the minimum 15-year management period, indicating what agreements shall be in place with the adopting body.

**COMMENT**

*I have considered the wording of this policy and the representations I have received in connection with it. The issue of management is covered in policy DM16 of the Borough Council's SADMP and in order to be in general conformity with this policy and therefore meet the Basic Conditions the policy should be reworded as follows:*

Applications will be supported where they provide robust arrangements for the future maintenance of all new green infrastructure areas and features (including, but not necessarily limited to, public open space, new habitat provision, surface drainage infrastructure, landscape mitigation areas, pedestrian and cycle paths and related furniture and structures). Where necessary there will be a requirement for future maintenance to be funded for 15 years.

**POLICY WA06: Protecting agricultural land and soils**

Where development proposals involve the loss of agricultural land they will be expected to demonstrate that:

- Development site boundaries have been defined so as to ensure, as far as possible, the retention of viable parcels of agricultural land adjacent to, and outside of, the development site.
- Development on locally available brownfield sites has been favoured over greenfield sites.
- A soil conservation plan has been prepared and will be implemented, setting out how the topsoil resource on the particular site will be conserved and reused, following DEFRA and other best practice guidance.
- Support for future local food production has been considered, e.g. by providing viable gardens for food production (with appropriate soils, aspects, spatial arrangements
COMMENT

The Borough Council suggest deletion of this policy on the basis that the development proposed through the growth area will predominately be on agricultural land. I am however of the opinion that the Neighbourhood Plan covers all development within the Neighbourhood Plan Area and it is therefore reasonable to have a policy of this nature providing it does not impose unreasonable requirement upon any potential development proposal. I therefore recommend the policy is modified as follows:

Where possible, proposals involving the loss of agricultural land should be accompanied by information which demonstrates how the following has been taken into consideration in the development of the proposal:

- Development site boundaries have been defined so as to ensure, as far as possible, the retention of viable parcels of agricultural land adjacent to, and outside of, the development site.
- Where appropriate, development on locally available brownfield sites has been considered over greenfield sites.
- A soil conservation plan has been prepared and will be implemented, setting out how the topsoil resource on the particular site will be conserved and reused, following DEFRA and other best practice guidance.
- Support for future local food production has been considered, e.g. by providing viable gardens for food production (with appropriate soils, aspects, spatial arrangements and access), allotment gardens, and/or opportunities for shared community food production (e.g. orchards).

POLICY WA07: Design to protect and enhance local character

Development proposals shall recognise, sustain and develop the distinctive village characteristics of the existing neighbourhoods in relation to building design, spatial layout, height, density, scale, lighting and use of materials. This means:

- New residential development shall generally be no more than 2 storeys in height, unless justified by the immediate surroundings and setting, and of exemplary design.
- Materials used in the construction of dwellings, including boundary design, shall be high quality and respond positively to the characteristics of existing properties. The use of traditional local building materials (local brick types, carrstone, pantile) will be strongly supported. Proposals will be supported when they demonstrate how design detail has been fully considered to ensure good visual outcomes, e.g. that the potential impact of parked cars, meter boxes, downpipes, aerials and dishes, overhead services and the like have all been minimised.
- Boundary demarcation should embrace ‘rural’ character, e.g. by using hedging consisting of mixed native species (hawthorn, blackthorn, field maple, hazel, holly, etc.). Unsympathetic boundary design (e.g. unmitigated security railings or Leylandii hedging) will not be supported.
- Where possible, adequate space should be made for the establishment of larger tree species (as opposed to smaller trees and shrubs) so as to provide more significant amenity value over time.
• Night lighting should be restricted to essential public spaces, corridors and road junctions. All street lighting and other external building and space lighting should be designed to minimise light spillage and energy wastage.
• Demonstrating an adequate level of privacy and protection from noise in relation to neighbouring properties and public spaces.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy.*

**POLICY WA08: Enhancing West Winch local centre**

Development proposals in and adjacent to the historic village centre should demonstrate, where applicable, how they will contribute towards the key goals of strengthening and enhancing the local centre. This means

• mitigating the impacts of increased road traffic from new development.
• protecting historic buildings and features.
• improving the range of community facilities in scale with new proposed development.
• improving access – especially for pedestrians and cyclists but also with provision for short-term car parking and cycle parking.
• improving facilities for local shopping.
• creating a stronger ‘civic space’ and ‘village centre’ – ideally straddling the A10 and incorporating the presence of the church.

The preferable design options for achieving the above are illustrated on Figure 6.0 and set out in the supporting text below.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy.*

**POLICY WA09: Enhancing the A10 road corridor**

In line with the BCKLWN SADMP document, no development resulting in significant new traffic or additional accesses on to the A10 will be permitted until the relief road is complete and open. Development proposals within the neighbourhood plan area that are anticipated to have traffic impacts on the A10 shall provide or help to provide the following improvements to that road corridor:

• The introduction of new safe crossings and wider pedestrian areas at identified sections of the road, from Hardwick to Setchey, and especially at bus stops (refer to Figure 7.0)
• Redevelopment of a section of the A10 road in central West Winch, between Chapel Lane and Long Lane, to improve the village centre and create safe access to adjacent sites.
• The introduction of traffic calming measures for central West Winch.
• Improved junctions at Gravelhill Lane and Garage Lane.
• Improvements to sections of footpath / cycle path along the A10 and links to adjacent safe cycling routes.
**COMMENT**

The policy as currently worded does not have regard for Paragraph 32 of the National Planning Policy Framework:

32. All developments that generate significant amounts of movement should be supported by a Transport Statement or Transport Assessment. Plans and decisions should take account of whether:

- the opportunities for sustainable transport modes have been taken up depending on the nature and location of the site, to reduce the need for major transport infrastructure;
- safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all people; and
- improvements can be undertaken within the transport network that cost effectively limit the significant impacts of the development. Development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development are severe.

*In order to meet the Basic Conditions, it should be modified as follows:*

Development proposals within the neighbourhood plan area that are anticipated to have traffic impacts on the A10 shall provide or help to provide the following improvements to that road corridor:

- The introduction of new safe crossings and wider pedestrian areas at identified sections of the road, from Hardwick to Setchey, and especially at bus stops (refer to Figure 7.0)
- Redevelopment of a section of the A10 road in central West Winch, between Chapel Lane and Long Lane, to improve the village centre and create safe access to adjacent sites.
- The introduction of traffic calming measures for central West Winch.
- Improved junctions at Gravelhill Lane and Garage Lane.
- Improvements to sections of footpath / cycle path along the A10 and links to adjacent safe cycling routes.

**POLICY WA10: Adequate provision for cars**

Development proposals will be supported where:

- Adequate off-street parking is provided for each housing unit — with at least 2 spaces for one, two and three bedroom units and 3 spaces (per dwelling) for four or more bedroom units. Car parking should, where possible, be provided within the curtilage of dwellings. It should be clearly visible from the properties they serve. Any rear parking areas should serve a maximum of 5 homes.
- Front gardens and external space design are to be designed to soften the impact of cars parked at the front of houses, or in the street.
- Sufficient provision is made for unallocated on-street parking for visitors and delivery vehicles either by sufficient road width or creation of parking areas.
- Where garages are provided, they must be built in direct association with the properties whose inhabitants may be expected to use them. They should reflect the
architectural style of the property and should not obscure or dominate the principal elevation. Garages must be spacious enough to allow easy access to average modern cars (i.e. minimum internal dimensions of 7 m x 3 m).

COMMENT

I have received representations regarding this policy questioning why this policy seeks to impose standards that are more restrictive than policy DM17 of the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk SADMP. I have not been persuaded that there are overriding reasons why the standards should be higher in this circumstance and am mindful of the strategic requirements of policy E2.1 for the delivery of growth and how onerous parking standards could impact on the viability and deliverability of that growth. In order to meet the Basic Conditions, the policy should be modified as follows:

Development proposals are required, as a minimum to meet the parking standards as set out in policy DM17 of the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk SADMP but proposals including the following higher standards will be strongly supported:

- Providing 2 spaces for one, two and three bedroom units and 3 spaces (per dwelling) for four or more bedroom units, provided within the curtilage of dwellings and clearly visible from the properties they serve.
- Rear parking areas serving a maximum of 5 homes.
- Front gardens and external space designed to soften the impact of cars parked at the front of houses, or in the street.
- Sufficient provision for unallocated on-street parking for visitors and delivery vehicles either by sufficient road width or creation of parking areas.
- Where garages are provided, they must be built in direct association with properties, reflecting the architectural style of the property and should not obscure or dominate the principal elevation. Garages spacious enough to allow easy access to average modern cars (i.e. minimum internal dimensions of 7 m x 3 m).

POLICY WA11: Adequate provision for bicycles

Residential development proposals shall include provision for adequate secure, covered bicycle storage at the ratio of one bicycle store space per bedroom + one additional space, for each dwelling unit.

Access between the bicycle store and the highway must be possible without passing through the home living area.

COMMENT

I have received representations regarding this policy questioning why this policy seeks to impose standards that are more restrictive than policy DM17 of the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk SADMP. I have not been persuaded that there are overriding reasons why the standards should be higher in this circumstance and am mindful of the strategic requirements of policy E2.1 for the delivery of growth and how onerous parking standards could impact on the viability and deliverability of that growth. In order to meet the Basic Conditions, the policy should be modified as follows:
Residential development proposals shall include, where possible provision for adequate secure, covered bicycle storage at the ratio of one bicycle store space per bedroom + one additional space, for each dwelling unit.

Where possible, access between the bicycle store and the highway should be designed without passing through the home living area.

POLICY WA12: Adequate outside space

Residential development proposals will be expected to meet the following external space standards:

- All dwellings are designed with private outside space (not including driveway, garages and storage sheds). Flats should ideally have balconies with sufficient space for a table and chairs (e.g. 5 sqm or more).
- Houses of one or two bedrooms shall have a minimum garden size of 50 sqm but preferably more. Houses of 3 or more bedrooms shall have a minimum garden size of 100 sqm but preferably more. Residents of flats or multiple occupancy buildings should have access to an equivalent area of semi-private garden space. There may be scope for a reduction in the provision of external amenity space for dwellings which have immediate access to well landscaped and well maintained communal open space; or, where smaller garden sizes for certain homes can be demonstrated to be appropriate for the intended occupiers of the properties, and this is supported by an up-to-date market needs assessment.
- All garden space should be provided ‘ready to grow’ (i.e. with clean, free draining soil). All principal garden areas will ideally have direct sunlight for several hours a day.

COMMENT

I understand the community desire to ensure that the design of any new residential development does, where possible meet the highest standards of design and external space. I must ensure that the policy meets the Basic Conditions, and in particular the requirement of the NPPF that requirements should not be so onerous that there is an unacceptable impact upon viability and deliverability of proposals. In addition, I have received representation that this policy is overly restrictive. I agree with the concerns raised and consider that any “blanket” requirement of this nature does not take into consideration individual circumstances, could impact on viability and make the proposed development difficult to deliver. In order to meet the Basic Conditions, the policy should be modified as follows:

Residential development proposals will be expected to meet the following external space standards where appropriate and possible, subject to viability and deliverability considerations:

- All dwellings are designed with private outside space (not including driveway, garages and storage sheds). Flats should ideally have balconies with sufficient space for a table and chairs (e.g. 5 sqm or more).
- Houses of one or two bedrooms shall have a minimum garden size of 50 sqm but preferably more. Houses of 3 or more bedrooms shall have a minimum garden size of 100 sqm but preferably more. Residents of flats or multiple occupancy buildings should have access to an equivalent area of semi-private garden space. There may
be scope for a reduction in the provision of external amenity space for dwellings which have immediate access to well landscaped and well maintained communal open space; or, where smaller garden sizes for certain homes can be demonstrated to be appropriate for the intended occupiers of the properties, and this is supported by an up-to-date market needs assessment.

- All garden space should be provided ‘ready to grow’ (i.e. with clean, free draining soil). All principal garden areas will ideally have direct sunlight for several hours a day.

**POLICY WA13: Adequate bin storage**

Development proposals will be supported where:

- Storage space for both interior and exterior waste and recycling bins is provided, taking into consideration the level of waste separation required by the local authority.
- Bin storage areas are not visually prominent from the street or main living areas of the dwelling, but have easy access to bin collection points.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy*

**POLICY WA14: Affordable housing provision**

Provision of affordable housing will be supported where it is visually integrated with other housing throughout the development, in small groups of 4 or less, to achieve a mixed and balanced community. Exceptions may be acceptable where the applicant can show a particular benefit and need, such as in the provision of sheltered housing for the elderly.

**COMMENT**

*It is widely accepted that the integration of affordable housing with other housing tenures within a development is highly desirable however there are circumstances relating to deliverability and management costs that make this aspiration unachievable. I consider that the potential impact of the policy as currently worded would be overly restrictive and not meet the Basic Conditions. The policy should be modified as follows:*

The provision of affordable housing will be supported where it is visually integrated with other housing throughout the development and should where possible be in small groups to achieve a mixed and balanced community. Exceptions may be acceptable where the applicant can show a particular benefit and need, such as in the provision of sheltered housing for the elderly or due to viability and management considerations.

**POLICY WA15: Provision of play areas**

Residential development proposals will be required to provide for an acceptable quantity and quality of children’s play provision.
All play areas should be designed to be compatible with their setting, to complement and enhance the amenity of the area, to be robust and low maintenance, to provide for a range of abilities and to comply with RoSPA (Royal Society for Prevention of Accidents) health and safety guidelines:

Where communal recreational open space is provided, design shall ensure that:

- It is accessible to all.
- It is designed to consider microclimate, e.g. with a sunny aspect, shelter from wind, etc.
- It is integrated into the overall network of green infrastructure, cycle and footpaths, etc.
- Play areas designed for younger children are to be protected from dog access with fences and gates.

**Development proposals must demonstrate that:**

- Smaller local areas of play (LAPs – designed for children under six years of age) are provided in any development of 10 or more new family dwellings, and/or within a 5-minute walk of the majority of homes.
- A local ‘equipped’ area for play (LEAPs – designed for 4–12 year olds) is provided for every group of between 30 and 100 new family dwellings and/or within a 10-minute walk of the majority of homes.
- A ‘neighbourhood ‘equipped’ play area (a NEAP, designed for 4–14 year olds and suitable for a range of teenage play activities) has been provided within a 15-minute walk of the majority of homes.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy.*

**POLICY WA16: Existing employment areas**

Planning applications that sustain the existing employment areas at Hardwick Industrial Estate, Hardwick Narrows Industrial Estate and Garage Lane, Setchey will be supported where it can be demonstrated that any potentially adverse environmental impacts (especially, landscape, visual or transport impacts) will be acceptably mitigated.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy.*

**POLICY WA17: Supporting development of local centres**

- Applications for business premises A1 (shops), A2 (professional and financial) and A3 (restaurants and cafés) in the identified neighbourhood centres will be supported where it can be demonstrated that they will enhance the character and vitality of the centres and will not have demonstrable adverse impacts to local amenity (e.g. by generating a high level of HGV traffic, causing parking congestion or excessive noise, etc.).

**COMMENT**
I have no comment on this policy

POLICY WA18: Supporting farm-related businesses

- Applications for farm diversification that will demonstrably improve farm sustainability and/or increase employment opportunities will be supported where they sustain local landscape character, support local biodiversity and maintain opportunities for all residents to enjoy the local countryside.
- Proposals that will result in damage to residential amenity (e.g. through adverse environmental, visual, social or significant traffic impacts, such as the proliferation of HGV traffic on small lanes) will be resisted.

Comment

I have no comment on this policy.

POLICY WA19: Supporting tourism

Development proposals and initiatives that can contribute to the tourism appeal of the immediate area and Norfolk in general, that can create and/or enhance visitor attractions and that will demonstrably provide new activities and accommodation for visitors will be supported. Provision of new facilities that can also benefit local residents will be especially welcome. However, applications must demonstrate that proposals will not have adverse impacts on residential and rural amenity, particularly in respect of adverse traffic impacts.

Development proposals on sites alongside the A149 in North Runcton should consider the ‘gateway’ significance of the location for both King’s Lynn and North Norfolk and demonstrate exceptional architectural qualities. Site design and especially the peripheral landscape works must also complement the local landscape setting and enhance the urban fringe environment.

COMMENT

I have no comment on this policy.

POLICIES FOR THE WEST WINCH GROWTH AREA

POLICY GA01: Creating neighbourhoods

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where they demonstrate that they incorporate the following provisions:

- Designs help to create ‘walkable’ neighbourhoods that will reduce the need for local car journeys and provide an interconnecting network of cycle and footpaths.
- Proposals encourage the development of the ‘northern’ and ‘central’ neighbourhoods in advance of the southern neighbourhood*
- The residential neighbourhoods are separated from each other by corridors of ‘green infrastructure’, which will ideally be designed to fulfil a variety of uses and should enhance and complement local landscape character.
- Proposals demonstrate adequate consideration for known or expected climate change variables and ensure robust landscape design, for example through providing shelter, shade and opportunities for temperature mitigation (e.g. through street tree planting).
• The main green infrastructure corridors are used to define distinct residential
neighbourhoods and have a width of at least 236 metres (southern corridor) and 164
metres (northern corridor) as recommended by the HSE, but preferably wider.
• The neighbourhoods include community ‘local centres’ providing a focus for local
shopping, business and community activities and feature high quality civic space.
• Development proposals can demonstrate (through HRA screening where required
and adhering to the requirements set out in the Borough’s HRA Monitoring and
Mitigation Strategy) that there will be no significant effect on a European site.

Proposals should demonstrate regard to the goals of strengthening and enhancing
the West Winch local centre as set out in Policy WA08 and, where relevant,
contribute towards those goals.

The provision of a new community centre in the northern local centre is particularly
sought. It should be located and designed so as to provide maximum benefit and
value to the community, contributing to a sense of place, civic spirit, cultural diversity
and low levels of car dependency.

The provision of land or premises suitable for development of GP consulting rooms,
clinics, a pharmacy and/or other community health support initiatives will be
welcomed and is especially encouraged in the proposed ‘old’ West Winch local
centre.

* Any development proposals within the North Runcton ‘neighbourhood’ (i.e. the
existing main village) must comply with BCKLWN SADMP Policy DM3.

COMMENT

I have received representations that bullet point 2:

• “Proposals encourage the development of the ‘northern’ and ‘central’
neighbourhoods in advance of the southern neighbourhood”. “

is in conflict with policy E2.1 of the SADMP which requires:

“An orderly phasing of development ensuring that this proceeds broadly instep with
infrastructure provision. Development is encouraged to proceed concurrently in
northern and southern parts of the growth area.”

The concern appears to be that the phasing required by this policy could restrict
strategic delivery. Local residents wish to see the “northern” and “central” areas
developed first as they feel there would be least impact to the existing parish setting
with the initial new development at Constitution Hill, whilst development at West Winch
could help to improve the centre of the village by removing existing constraints. I have
considered this issue carefully however as Policy GA01 uses the phrase “encourage” I
see nothing which conflicts with E2.1 if this wording is retained.

Further concern has been raised regarding the width of the green infrastructure
corridors. The widths required are very precise and inflexible, not allowing for
variations based on site specific situations and this could impact upon the viability and delivery of the growth area and therefore be in conflict with the Basic Conditions.

Bullet point 5 of the policy should be reworded as follows:

- The main green infrastructure corridors are used to define distinct residential neighbourhoods and should be designed to meet, as a minimum, the HSE Policy requirements and be wide enough to perform the function of visual separation between neighbourhoods. The target being a minimum width of at least 236 metres (southern corridor) and 164 metres (northern corridor).

**POLICY GA02: Infrastructure delivery in the West Winch Growth Area**

In order to mitigate the impact of development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area, developers will be expected to contribute towards improving local services and infrastructure through either the payment of a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) and/or planning obligations (via a S106 agreement / planning condition) as follows:

- The delivery of a new primary school on a minimum 2 Ha site in the northern neighbourhood and improvements/expansion of existing schools serving the development.
- Making improvements to the existing library in King’s Lynn or provision of a library linked to another community facility within the new development (e.g. as part of the community centre, retail area or a doctors’ surgery).
- Fire service facilities (e.g. fire hydrants)
- A new community meeting space
- Healthcare provision
- Transport infrastructure improvements in line with Policy GA04
- Improvement of local bus services:
- Green infrastructure in line with Policies GA03 and WA01–WA06
- Additional open space and play space provision
- Affordable housing
- Commuted sums to assist in upgrading existing community facilities where it can be demonstrated that this will benefit the growing community.

Planning obligations will meet the tests required by the NPPF if they will be:

- Necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms
- Directly related to the development, and
- Fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

For the purposes of the West Winch Growth Area, infrastructure will be delivered in line with an Infrastructure Delivery Plan adopted by the Borough to which all major development proposals will be required to adhere to by planning condition.

**COMMENT**

*This is not a land use policy and should be clearly set out as a community aspiration.*
In order to meet the Basic Conditions, Neighbourhood plan policies can only address land use issues, therefore this Policy must be deleted from the policy section of the plan but could be included within the plan generally clearly marked as a community aspiration. The infrastructure requirements for the growth area are set out in Policy E2.1 However the last paragraph of the policy is relevant to Policy GA04 and I have included it in my modification to that policy.

POLICY GA03: Providing ‘green infrastructure’

Planning applications within the ‘growth area’ will be supported where they provide or contribute towards the phased establishment of a comprehensive network of new public open spaces, sustainable urban drainage corridors, bridle paths, cycle paths, footpaths and other amenity and nature conservation areas that will benefit existing and new residential areas.

Development proposals must be accompanied by adequate green infrastructure, demonstrating regard to the Settlement Concept (Figure 9.0) and the following requirements which are based on nationally promoted standards and guidelines and reflect additional need generated from delivery of between 1,600 and 3,500 homes:

- 10 Ha of new active recreation facilities should be provided (which could include sports pitches, courts, multi-use games facilities, children’s play areas, or trim trails within the Neighbourhood Plan area).
- An additional 20 Ha of informal public open space, preferably with at least one public space of at least 5 Ha in area. These areas should be designed to provide attractive and varied recreation and amenity spaces suitable for, amongst other things, exercising dogs and informal play – all within easy walking distance of homes. Provision of grasslands, specimen trees, copses and small woodland should be included. The spaces will also provide wildlife habitat and could include non-vehicular access corridors and surface-water attenuation areas (if suitably designed for public access, amenity and wildlife benefit). Shelterbelt or screen planting could also be counted in this area (where it is wider than 10 m and designed for public access).
- An additional 5 Ha of new ‘nature reserve’ should be provided with varied habitat and managed specifically for the benefit of local biodiversity with controlled public access.
- An additional 0.6 Ha of allotment gardens (indicatively 24 x 250 sqm plots) or equivalent garden space suitable for food production. Provision should include access from the highway, clear boundary delineation of the land (preferably with concrete posts and rabbit- and deer-proof fencing of at least 1200 mm height). Provision of a potable water supply to the site and a lockable store shed per holding would be anticipated. Definitive land registry details should be provided. An ongoing management arrangement with a community association or Parish Council would also be required.
- A dedicated dual-use path connection to the Hardwick industrial estate and links to the existing King’s Lynn cycle path network (refer to Figure 9.0) within a ‘green corridor’.
- Provision for connection to a future dedicated dual-use path connection to the Bawsey Country Park and a dual-use path connection to the village of Middleton (refer to Figure 9.0) within a ‘green corridor’.

COMMENT

I recognise that securing the provision of “green infrastructure “is an important element of the Neighbourhood Plan however in order to meet the Basic Conditions the
policy must not seek to exceed the guidelines on its provision in National and Local Policy. I have received representation that this policy does in fact seek to require more “green infrastructure” than the Development Plan. The requirement of additional green infrastructure can impact upon the viability and deliverability of proposals and I have not been provided with any evidence in relation to this matter. In order to meet the Basic Conditions, the policy should be modified as follows:

Planning applications within the ‘growth area’ will be supported where they provide or contribute towards the phased establishment of a comprehensive network of new public open spaces, sustainable urban drainage corridors, bridle paths, cycle paths, footpaths and other amenity and nature conservation areas that will benefit existing and new residential areas.

New developments will be expected, at a minimum to meet nationally recognised standards for the provision of open space. The Fields in Trust’s Planning and Design for Outdoor Sport and Play suggested standard of 2.4 hectares of outdoor playing space per 1,000 of population will be used and the provision will be required to reflect additional need generated from delivery of between 1,600 and 3,500 homes. The following provision will be strongly supported:

• 10 Ha of new active recreation facilities should be provided (which could include sports pitches, courts, multi-use games facilities, children’s play areas, or trim trails within the Neighbourhood Plan area).
• An additional 20 Ha of informal public open space, preferably with at least one public space of at least 5 Ha in area. These areas should be designed to provide attractive and varied recreation and amenity spaces suitable for, amongst other things, exercising dogs and informal play – all within easy walking distance of homes. Provision of grasslands, specimen trees, copses and small woodland should be included. The spaces will also provide wildlife habitat and could include non-vehicular access corridors and surface-water attenuation areas (if suitably designed for public access, amenity and wildlife benefit). Shelterbelt or screen planting could also be counted in this area (where it is wider than 10 m and designed for public access).
• An additional 5 Ha of new ‘nature reserve’ should be provided with varied habitat and managed specifically for the benefit of local biodiversity with controlled public access.
• An additional 0.6 Ha of allotment gardens (indicatively 24 x 250 sqm plots) or equivalent garden space suitable for food production. Provision should include access from the highway, clear boundary delineation of the land (preferably with concrete posts and rabbit- and deer-proof fencing of at least 1200 mm height). Provision of a potable water supply to the site and a lockable store shed per holding would be anticipated. Definitive land registry details should be provided. An ongoing management arrangement with a community association or Parish Council would also be required.
• A dedicated dual-use path connection to the Hardwick industrial estate and links to the existing King’s Lynn cycle path network (refer to Figure 9.0) within a ‘green corridor’.
• Provision for connection to a future dedicated dual-use path connection to the Bawsey Country Park and a dual-use path connection to the village of Middleton (refer to Figure 9.0) within a ‘green corridor’.

POLICY GA04: Ensuring transport infrastructure

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area that total cumulatively 50 or more houses will be supported, subject to there being a
binding commitment to an Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or comprehensive transport strategy which confirms:

- The detailed route and design of the new ‘relief road’ and associated required improvements to the Hardwick interchange. These must be fully costed, with land secured and surveyed so they are known to be deliverable within an agreed budget and timetable.
- A delivery plan for the ‘relief road’ and Hardwick interchange improvements must be agreed with the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk. This will include all commitments to funding mechanisms that guarantee delivery of the whole of the new route.

It must be demonstrated that the programme for delivery of the ‘relief road’ and other required local road improvements are commensurate with maintaining a functioning, safe local road system throughout development of the ‘growth area’, whilst minimising congestion and disruption to local residents and the local economy.

**COMMENT**

*In considering whether this policy meets the Basic Conditions I have had regard to the representations made by the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk. In accordance with policy E2.1, part B the Borough Council is developing an Infrastructure Delivery Plan and this is the mechanism which should deal with this element of the plan. The Borough Council recognises the need for the delivery of the relief road to be linked to the phasing of development but has concerns about the reference to 50 units. As the Borough council will prepare this Infrastructure Delivery Plan it seems appropriate that this policy is modified to require development proposals to be consistent with that Infrastructure Delivery Plan. The policy should be modified as follows:*

For the purposes of the West Winch Growth Area, infrastructure will be delivered in line with an Infrastructure Delivery Plan adopted by the Borough Council to which all major development proposals will be required to adhere to by planning condition and/or Section 106 Legal Agreement.

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area will be supported, subject to the proposals being consistent with the Infrastructure Delivery Plan and/or a comprehensive transport strategy which should include:

- The detailed route and design of the new ‘relief road’ and associated required improvements to the Hardwick interchange.
- A programme for delivery of the ‘relief road’ and other required local road improvements that will maintain a functioning, safe local road system throughout development of the ‘growth area’, whilst minimising congestion and disruption to local residents and the local economy.

**POLICY GA05: Design of ‘relief road’**

The proposed relief road will be supported with the following design provisions or designs providing similar outcomes:
• There will be a roundabout or similar ‘free flow’ junction at Gravelhill Lane to eradicate congestion and queues and to provide safe local access to the A10/relief road.
• Rectory Lane and Chequers Lane should remain as through roads and incorporate safe cycle and pedestrian crossings.
• Cycle and/or pedestrian paths should be provided on both sides of the relief road corridor and these should be generally separated from the road carriageway by a sustainable soft landscape strip (grass verge, hedge, tree planting – or preferably a mix of all three).
• Appropriate safe cycle and pedestrian crossing points should be provided at regular intervals along the road – and specially to link desire lines between local centres, recreational facilities and public transport nodes. Safe wildlife crossings must also be considered.
• Design should fully integrate environmental impact mitigation features – especially ensuring that existing and proposed settlement is mitigated from traffic noise and night lighting impacts. Night lighting should be minimised, especially in sections adjacent to an agricultural backdrop. The road surface should be designed to minimise tyre noise.
• A maximum speed of 40 mph is anticipated for the relief road – but a 30 mph limit may be appropriate and desirable in some sections. The carriageway design should discourage speeding.

COMMENT

The proposed relief road will be designed to the relevant Highway Standards. In order to meet the Basic Conditions, the policy should be modified as follows:

In designing the proposed relief road, the following design elements should be included where possible:

• A roundabout or similar ‘free flow’ junction at Gravelhill Lane to eradicate congestion and queues and to provide safe local access to the A10/relief road.
• Rectory Lane and Chequers Lane to remain as through roads and incorporate safe cycle and pedestrian crossings.
• Cycle and/or pedestrian paths to be provided on both sides of the relief road corridor and these should be generally separated from the road carriageway by a sustainable soft landscape strip (grass verge, hedge, tree planting – or preferably a mix of all three).
• Appropriate safe cycle and pedestrian crossing points to be provided at regular intervals along the road – and specially to link desire lines between local centres, recreational facilities and public transport nodes. Safe wildlife crossings should also be considered.
• The design should fully integrate environmental impact mitigation features – especially ensuring that existing and proposed settlement is mitigated from traffic noise and night lighting impacts. Night lighting should be minimised, especially in sections adjacent to an agricultural backdrop. The road surface should be designed to minimise tyre noise.
• A maximum speed of 40 mph for the relief road – but a 30 mph limit may be appropriate and desirable in some sections. The carriageway design should discourage speeding.
POLICY GA06: Principles of new development design

Development proposals in the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where they achieve the following:

- A ‘comfortable’ scale with clear distinctions between public and private spaces, avoiding unused or un-owned space.
- A sense of quality: attractive, well-built and well-detailed buildings; spaces and features with good quality, low maintenance materials and unique features (e.g. public art).
- Recognisable landmarks and focal points; clear, safe, navigable routes; views; gateways; signage and way markers.
- A compatible mix of land-use, building form, architectural styles, landscape features and natural habitat.
- A ‘sense of place’ and local distinctiveness through use of traditional local materials and appropriate native species.
- Interconnection with the existing landscape and settlement – e.g. with retention or enhancement of existing views or incorporation of existing features (ponds, banks, trees, hedges, etc.).

COMMENT

I have no comment on this policy

POLICY GA07: Residential street design

Development proposals coming forward as part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area, and including new roads and streets, will seek to demonstrate the following principles:

- Streets are designed so as to prioritise the movement and safety of pedestrians and cyclists of all ages and abilities.
- Where car and cycle parking is provided, it must not dominate the street environment or reduce the comfort and safety of other street users.
- Streets are designed to facilitate desire lines and links between key buildings and spaces and to help create attractive spaces between them.
- Streets are planned and designed to regulate the speed of traffic – where the majority of routes within the ‘growth area’ will remain predominantly residential in character. Designers should seek to restrict vehicle speeds to 20 mph or below in residential areas.
- Pedestrians and cyclists must be able to use and cross all roads safely and conveniently.
- Residential streets shall be designed as social spaces, helping to facilitate social interaction and safe for children and the elderly.
- Design should incorporate soft landscape, where possible featuring medium or large species of tree that can provide a significant contribution to streetscape character over time. Design must ensure that soft landscape is sustainable in the long term – where planting will not adversely conflict with carriageways, buildings, services or pavements. Species selection should consider climate change variables.
COMMENT

For clarity the policy should be modified as follows:

Development proposals forming part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area, including new roads and streets, should include the following design elements:

- Streets designed to prioritise the movement and safety of pedestrians and cyclists of all ages and abilities.
- Where car and cycle parking is provided, it must not dominate the street environment or reduce the comfort and safety of other street users.
- Streets designed to facilitate desire lines and links between key buildings and spaces and to help create attractive spaces between them.
- Streets planned and designed to regulate the speed of traffic – where the majority of routes within the ‘growth area’ will remain predominantly residential in character. Designers should seek to restrict vehicle speeds to 20 mph or below in residential areas.
- Pedestrians and cyclists must be able to use and cross all roads safely and conveniently.
- Residential streets designed as social spaces, helping to facilitate social interaction and safe for children and the elderly.
- Incorporating soft landscape and where possible featuring medium or large species of tree that can provide a significant contribution to streetscape character over time. Design must ensure that soft landscape is sustainable in the long term – where planting will not adversely conflict with carriageways, buildings, services or pavements. Species selection should consider climate change variables.

POLICY GA08: Cycle and footpath provision

Development proposals coming forward as part of the West Winch Growth Area should contribute towards the design, delivery and funding of an integrated network of cycle paths, footpaths and dual-use paths throughout the Neighbourhood Plan area. Development should facilitate a hierarchy of cycle and footpaths from streetscapes to open countryside, and linking important community assets such as local centres, employment areas, public open space, and key destinations (e.g. King’s Lynn town centre and Hardwick industrial estate).

Applications should demonstrate that:

- Cycle path design (widths, surfaces, signage, junctions, etc.) are implemented to current best practice standards.
- Pedestrian/cyclist desire lines form the basis for route planning and streetscape design (where some principal suggested routes are illustrated in Figure 9.0).
- Routes are established between principal residential areas and principal community facilities (e.g. schools, recreation areas).
- Routes are planned and designed to facilitate ‘passive security’ and safety.
- Main cycle routes are predominantly provided on dedicated paths with safe separation from motorists and pedestrians.
- In street design, protected space is provided for cyclists at road junctions where appropriate.
• For development proposals providing destinations that might attract visitors, customers, workers or others on bicycles, appropriate provision is made for cycle parking.
• ‘Leisure routes’ for pedestrians and cyclists have also been considered and provided.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy.*

**POLICY GA09: Provision for public transport**

Development proposals coming forward as part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where:

- Residential development is predominantly designed to lie within 200 metres walking distance of a bus stop.
- Footpath connections and road crossing points are provided for each bus stop.
- All stops are designed to enable easy access to buses for all users.
- Each local centre should have at least one appropriately designed bus shelter, preferably with an ‘intelligent’ display, with ‘real-time’ timetable information in line with current available technology.

**COMMENT**

*I have no comment on this policy.*

**POLICY GA10: Opportunities for small-scale and self-build development**

Applicants coming forward with development proposals as part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area are encouraged to offer a proportion of land (either as serviced plots or simply as small land parcels) for sale to small-scale builders or self-build projects. It is envisaged that such development would be limited to no more than 5 dwelling units in any one application.

The scale, design and materials palette for such development would be required to subscribe to the wider character of existing and proposed development and result in an acceptably harmonious outcome for the whole neighbourhood. Applications for buildings with excellent design and sustainability criteria will be strongly supported. An acceptable period for construction and completion of self-build projects (for example, 2 years from the purchase of the plot) would be expected.

**COMMENT**

*Whilst the rationale for encouraging development by small scale builders and self build projects is clear and supported at National and Local policy level it is unclear why the limit of 5 units has been determined and this seems unnecessarily restrictive. To meet the Basic Conditions, the policy should be modified as follows:*

Applicants coming forward with development proposals as part of the implementation of the West Winch Growth Area are encouraged to offer a proportion of land (either as serviced plots or simply as small land parcels) for sale to small-scale builders or self-build projects.
The scale, design and materials palette for such development should reflect the character of existing and proposed development. Applications for buildings with excellent design and sustainability criteria will be strongly supported. An acceptable period for construction and completion of self-build projects (for example, 2 years from the purchase of the plot) would be expected.

**POLICY GA11: Provisions for a successful primary school**

Development applications in the West Winch Growth Area will be supported where provision is made for the development of a new primary school in the ‘northern neighbourhood’ to support the proposed 1,600 dwellings to 2026. The school should be located on a minimum size 2 Ha site and include suitable pre-school premises, commensurate with predicted demographics. The following provisions are considered necessary:

- Early establishment of the new school so that it can provide a social focal point for the new and existing community at an early stage of ‘growth area’ development.
- A suitable site for pre-school facilities on or near the primary school site.
- Facilities that could be ‘shared’ with the local community at appropriate times and whilst ensuring the security of pupils.
- A site that is readily accessible and safe for children and young families to travel to and discourages car dependency. The school will therefore ideally be located away from main roads and connected to principal residential areas by an excellent network of safe cycle and pedestrian paths. It should be sited to ensure equitable access for the whole catchment area. It should however have adequate arrangements for parents who need to use their cars (e.g. dropping off children en route to work).
- The school should be designed to provide maximum benefit and value to the community, contributing to the sense of place, and providing a robust, energy efficient and attractive building.
- The school should have sufficient external space to allow the appropriate recommended provision of playing fields, free play and outdoor education opportunities. Facilities that can benefit the wider community will be encouraged.
- Where further development beyond 2026 or in other neighbourhoods within the West Winch Growth Area demonstrates the need for an additional primary school, similar provisions will be expected.

**COMMENT**

I have no comment on this policy.
SECTION 5

Conclusion and Recommendations

1. I find that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan has been prepared in accordance with the statutory requirements and processes set out in the Town and County Planning Act 1990 (as amended by the Localism Act 2011) and the subsequent Neighbourhood Planning (General) Regulations 2012.

2. The Neighbourhood Plan does not deal with County matters (mineral extraction and waste development), nationally significant infrastructure such as highways and railways or other matters set out in Section 61K of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990.

3. The North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan does not relate to more than one Neighbourhood Area and there are no other Neighbourhood Development Plans in place within the Neighbourhood Area.

4. A screening for the requirement of a Strategic Environmental Assessment and amended Habitats Regulations Assessment was conducted satisfactorily and meets the EU Obligation.

5. The policies and plans in the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan, subject to the recommended modifications would contribute to achieving sustainable development. They have regard to national policy and to guidance, and generally conform to the strategic policies of the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Core Strategy 2011 and the King’s Lynn and West Norfolk Site Allocations and Development Management Policies (SADMP) adopted 29th September 2016.

6. I therefore consider that the North Runcton and West Winch Neighbourhood Plan subject to the recommended modifications can proceed to Referendum.

Deborah McCann BSc MRICS MRTPI Dip Arch Con Dip LD
Planning Consultant
NPIERS Examiner
CEDR accredited mediator
6th June 2017
North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan

- Summary of the representations submitted to the independent Examiner

The Draft North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan was published and consulted on by the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk from 19 December 2016 to the 13 February 2017.

15 representations were received in response to that consultation, and provided to the independent Examiner. These representations came from (or on behalf of):

- Historic England
- Mrs Karen Loane
- Middleton Parish Council
- The Borough Council of King’s Lynn & West Norfolk
- Maddox Associates
- Councillor Peter Gidney
- Mrs Gillian Sergeant
- Barton Willmore
- Mr Melvin Fox
- Norfolk Wildlife Trust
- Anglian Water Services Ltd
- Natural England
- Norfolk County Council
- Marine Management Organisation

Summary of the representations made:

- General Neighbourhood Planning advice
- Issues not directly for area of the Neighbourhood Plan to address, such as the strategic level of growth set in place by the Local Plan (Core Strategy 2011 & Site Allocations and Development Management Policies Plan 2016)
- Support for the Neighbourhood Plan
- Seeking further clarification and detail with regard to policies
- Suggestions made for inclusion or modification to polices to ensure they are consistent with the Strategic Polices of the Local Plan
- Suggestions that ensure the policies of the Neighbourhood Plan do not stifle the viability and deliverability
- Specific support from the Norfolk Wildlife Trust for polices relating to the natural environment
• Further policy suggestion and detail relating to traffic, future school planning, the natural environment and foul drainage
• Information from Norfolk County Council in relation to infrastructure funding, transport and flooding

These representations were provided to the independent examiner to inform the examination of the Neighbourhood Plan. They are available for inspection on the Borough Council’s website via the following link:

http://west-norfolk.objective.co.uk/portal/neighbourhood_plan/neighbourhood_plan_consultations/ww_nr_np/west_winch_and_north_runcton_np_consultation_2016?tab=info
The Draft North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan was considered by the Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk’s Cabinet on 1st August together with the Report of the independent Examiner.

The Cabinet agreed, on behalf of the Borough Council, with the Examiner’s recommendations that the submitted North Runcton & West Winch Neighbourhood Plan should be amended in the ways specified by the Examiner in order to ensure it meets the basic conditions, and that, so modified, it should proceed to a local referendum covering the areas of North Runcton & West Winch Parishes.

The Draft Neighbourhood Plan has now been so amended, and thus the Borough Council is satisfied that the Draft Neighbourhood Plan being presented in the referendum meets the basic conditions set out in paragraph 8(2) of Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 (as amended).

Alan Gomm
Planning Policy Manager
Borough Council of King’s Lynn and West Norfolk